

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>VOLUME I</u>	
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	ACR-I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Project Synopsis.....	ES-2
ES.1.1 Project Description.....	ES-2
ES.1.2 Project Objectives	ES-4
ES.1.3 Project Location	ES-5
ES.1.4 Environmental Setting	ES-6
ES.2 Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures that Reduce or Avoid the Significant Effects.....	ES-8
ES.3 Areas of Controversy	ES-8
ES.4 Issues to Be Resolved by the Decision-Making Body.....	ES-9
ES.5 Project Alternatives.....	ES-10
ES.5.1 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative	ES-11
ES.5.2 Alternative 2: No Boulder Brush Facilities on Private Lands Alternative.....	ES-12
ES.5.3 Alternative 3: Alternative Gen-Tie Line Route within Boulder Brush Boundary.....	ES-13
ES.5.4 Alternative 4: Underground Gen-Tie Line within Boulder Brush Boundary Alternative.....	ES-14
ES.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-17
1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING.....	1-1
1.1 Project Objectives	1-1
1.2 Project Description.....	1-2
1.2.1 Component Parts of the Project	1-3
1.2.2 Construction.....	1-18
1.2.3 Safety Requirements	1-39
1.2.4 Construction Traffic Control Plan	1-40
1.2.5 Construction Waste and Hazardous Materials	1-40
1.2.6 Soil Stabilization.....	1-41
1.2.7 Site Revegetation and Noxious Weeds/Invasive Species Control	1-41
1.2.8 Facility Testing and Commissioning	1-42
1.2.9 Fire Protection.....	1-42
1.2.10 Technical, Economical, Environmental Characteristics	1-43
1.3 Project Location	1-47

1.4 Environmental Setting 1-48

1.5 Intended Uses of the EIR 1-50

 1.5.1 Project Approvals/Permits 1-50

 1.5.2 Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements 1-52

1.6 Project Inconsistencies with Applicable Regional and General Plans..... 1-52

1.7 List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects in
the Project Area..... 1-52

1.8 Growth-Inducing Impacts 1-53

**2 SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE
PROPOSED PROJECT 2-1**

2.1 Aesthetics 2.1-1

 2.1.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.1-2

 2.1.2 Regulatory Setting 2.1-7

 2.1.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination of Significance 2.1-16

 2.1.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.1-67

 2.1.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.1-70

 2.1.6 Mitigation Measures 2.1-77

 2.1.7 Conclusion 2.1-79

2.2 Air Quality 2.2-1

 2.2.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.2-1

 2.2.2 Regulatory Setting 2.2-10

 2.2.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.2-18

 2.2.4 Cumulative Impacts Analysis 2.2-33

 2.2.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.2-45

 2.2.6 Mitigation Measures 2.2-45

 2.2.7 Conclusion 2.2-48

2.3 Biological Resources 2.3-1

 2.3.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.3-2

 2.3.2 Regulatory Setting 2.3-35

 2.3.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.3-43

 2.3.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.3-108

 2.3.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.3-116

 2.3.6 Mitigation Measures 2.3-122

 2.3.7 Conclusion 2.3-148

2.4 Cultural Resources 2.4-1

 2.4.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.4-1

 2.4.2 Regulatory Setting 2.4-9

 2.4.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.4-19

 2.4.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.4-27

2.4.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	2.4-29
2.4.6	Mitigation.....	2.4-29
2.4.7	Conclusion	2.4-36
2.5	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	2.5-1
2.5.1	Existing Conditions.....	2.5-2
2.5.2	Regulatory Setting	2.5-11
2.5.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	2.5-31
2.5.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	2.5-53
2.5.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	2.5-58
2.5.6	Mitigation Measures	2.5-63
2.5.7	Conclusion	2.5-72
2.6	Noise.....	2.6-1
2.6.1	Existing Conditions.....	2.6-1
2.6.2	Regulatory Setting	2.6-8
2.6.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	2.6-14
2.6.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	2.6-28
2.6.5	Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation.....	2.6-29
2.6.6	Mitigation Measures	2.6-33
2.6.7	Conclusion	2.6-34
2.7	Tribal Cultural Resources	2.7-1
2.7.1	Existing Conditions.....	2.7-1
2.7.2	Regulatory Setting	2.7-4
2.7.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	2.7-13
2.7.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	2.7-18
2.7.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	2.7-19
2.7.6	Mitigation Measures	2.7-19
2.7.7	Conclusion	2.7-27
2.8	Traffic and Transportation	2.8-1
2.8.1	Existing Conditions.....	2.8-1
2.8.2	Approach and Methodology	2.8-5
2.8.3	Regulatory Setting	2.8-6
2.8.4	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	2.8-11
2.8.5	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	2.8-28
2.8.6	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	2.8-32
2.8.7	Mitigation Measures	2.8-32
2.8.8	Conclusion	2.8-34
2.9	Wildfire.....	2.9-1
2.9.1	Existing Conditions.....	2.9-1
2.9.2	Regulatory Setting	2.9-9

2.9.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.9-24

2.9.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.9-38

2.9.5 Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation 2.9-42

2.9.6 Mitigation Measures 2.9-45

2.9.7 Conclusion 2.9-53

3 EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT 3-1

3.1 Effects Found Not Significant as Part of the EIR Process 3-1

3.1.1 Agricultural Resources..... 3.1.1-1

3.1.1.1 Existing Conditions..... 3.1.1-2

3.1.1.2 Regulatory Setting 3.1.1-11

3.1.1.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as
to Significance 3.1.1-18

3.1.1.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 3.1.1-27

3.1.1.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 3.1.1-29

3.1.1.6 Conclusion 3.1.1-29

3.1.2 Energy 3.1.2-1

3.1.2.1 Existing Conditions..... 3.1.2-1

3.1.2.2 Regulatory Setting 3.1.2-4

3.1.2.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as
to Significance 3.1.2-17

3.1.2.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 3.1.2-28

3.1.2.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 3.1.2-30

3.1.2.6 Conclusion 3.1.2-30

3.1.3 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 3.1.3-1

3.1.3.1 Existing Conditions..... 3.1.3-1

3.1.3.2 Regulatory Setting 3.1.3-7

3.1.3.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as
to Significance 3.1.3-13

3.1.3.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 3.1.3-25

3.1.3.5 Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation 3.1.3-27

3.1.3.6 Conclusion 3.1.3-27

3.1.4 Greenhouse Gas Emissions..... 3.1.4-1

3.1.4.1 Existing Conditions..... 3.1.4-1

3.1.4.2 Regulatory Setting 3.1.4-7

3.1.4.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as
to Significance 3.1.4-23

3.1.4.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 3.1.4-37

3.1.4.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 3.1.4-38

3.1.4.6 Conclusion 3.1.4-38

3.1.5 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 3.1.5-1

3.1.5.1 Existing Conditions..... 3.1.5-1

3.1.5.2 Regulatory Setting 3.1.5-6

3.1.5.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	3.1.5-19
3.1.5.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.1.5-36
3.1.5.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	3.1.5-39
3.1.5.6	Mitigation Measures	3.1.5-39
3.1.5.7	Conclusion	3.1.5-40
3.1.6	Land Use and Planning	3.1.6-1
3.1.6.1	Existing Conditions.....	3.1.6-1
3.1.6.2	Regulatory Setting	3.1.6-5
3.1.6.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	3.1.6-30
3.1.6.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.1.6-38
3.1.6.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	3.1.6-40
3.1.6.6	Mitigation Measures	3.1.6-40
3.1.6.7	Conclusion	3.1.6-40
3.1.7	Paleontological Resources	3.1.7-1
3.1.7.1	Existing Conditions.....	3.1.7-1
3.1.7.2	Regulatory Setting	3.1.7-2
3.1.7.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	3.1.7-4
3.1.7.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.1.7-6
3.1.7.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	3.1.7-6
3.1.7.6	Conclusion	3.1.7-6
3.1.8	Public Services.....	3.1.8-1
3.1.8.1	Existing Conditions.....	3.1.8-1
3.1.8.2	Regulatory Setting	3.1.8-5
3.1.8.3	Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	3.1.8-8
3.1.8.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.1.8-18
3.1.8.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	3.1.8-20
3.1.8.6	Conclusion	3.1.8-21
3.1.9	Utilities and Service Systems.....	3.1.9-1
3.1.9.1	Existing Conditions.....	3.1.9-1
3.1.9.2	Regulatory Setting	3.1.9-5
3.1.9.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	3.1.9-13
3.1.9.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.1.9-26
3.1.9.5	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation.....	3.1.9-28
3.1.9.6	Mitigation Measures	3.1.9-29
3.1.9.7	Conclusion	3.1.9-29
3.2	Effects Found Not Significant during Initial Study	3.2-1
3.2.1	Mineral Resources	3.2-1
3.2.2	Population and Housing.....	3.2-2
3.2.3	Parks and Recreation.....	3.2-2

4 ALTERNATIVES..... 4-1

4.1 Rationale for Alternatives Selected 4-1

4.1.1 Project Overview, Objectives, and Impacts 4-2

4.2 Alternatives Considered But Rejected 4-3

4.3 Alternatives Carried Forward for Consideration 4-4

4.3.1 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative 4-5

4.3.2 Alternative 2: No Boulder Brush Facilities on Private
Lands Alternative..... 4-11

4.3.3 Alternative 3: Alternative Gen-Tie Line Route within Boulder
Brush Boundary 4-17

4.3.4 Alternative 4: Underground Gen-Tie Line within Boulder Brush
Boundary Alternative..... 4-30

4.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 4-46

5 REFERENCES..... 5-1

5.1 Project Description..... 5-1

5.2 Aesthetics 5-1

5.3 Air Quality 5-3

5.4 Biological Resources 5-7

5.5 Cultural Resources 5-11

5.6 Hazards and Hazardous Materials 5-11

5.7 Noise 5-14

5.8 Tribal Cultural Resources 5-16

5.9 Traffic and Transportation 5-16

5.10 Wildfire 5-18

5.11 Agricultural Resources..... 5-20

5.12 Energy 5-22

5.13 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 5-24

5.14 Greenhouse Gas Emissions..... 5-26

5.15 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 5-30

5.16 Land Use and Planning 5-32

5.17 Paleontological Resources 5-35

5.18 Public Services..... 5-35

5.19 Utilities and Service Systems..... 5-37

5.20 Effects Found Not Significant During Initial Study 5-38

5.21 Project Alternatives..... 5-39

6 LIST OF EIR PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED 6-1

6.1 EIR Preparers 6-1

 6.1.1 County of San Diego..... 6-1

 6.1.2 Dudek..... 6-1

 6.1.3 Persons and Organizations Contacted..... 6-2

7 LIST OF PROJECT DESIGN FEATURES, MITIGATION MEASURES, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS..... 7-1

7.1 Aesthetics 7-2

 7.1.1 Mitigation Measures 7-2

 7.1.2 Project Design Features 7-3

7.2 Air Quality 7-4

 7.2.1 Mitigation Measures 7-4

 7.2.2 Project Design Features 7-7

7.3 Biological Resources 7-10

 7.3.1 Mitigation Measures 7-10

 7.3.2 Project Design Features 7-35

7.4 Cultural Resources 7-36

 7.4.1 Mitigation Measures 7-36

 7.4.2 Project Design Features 7-43

7.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials 7-43

 7.5.1 Mitigation Measures 7-43

 7.5.2 Project Design Features 7-51

7.6 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 7-51

 7.6.1 Mitigation Measures 7-51

 7.6.2 Project Design Features 7-51

7.7 Noise 7-52

 7.7.1 Mitigation Measures 7-52

 7.7.2 Project Design Features 7-53

7.8 Traffic and Transportation 7-54

 7.8.1 Mitigation Measures 7-54

 7.8.2 Project Design Features 7-56

7.9 Tribal Cultural Resources 7-56

 7.9.1 Mitigation Measures 7-56

 7.9.2 Project Design Features 7-63

7.10 Wildfire 7-63

 7.10.1 Mitigation Measures 7-63

 7.10.2 Project Design Features 7-71

8 OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS 8-1

8.1 Significant Environmental Effects of the Project That Cannot Be Mitigated to Less Than Significant..... 8-1

8.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes 8-7

8.3 Growth-Inducing Effects 8-8

APPENDICES

A Notice of Preparation and Comment Letters

B Visual Resources Report

C Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis Technical Report

D Biological Resources Technical Report

E Cultural Resources Report

F-1 Campo Wind Preliminary ESA

F-2 Phase I ESA Memo and Phase I ESA for the Boulder Brush Boundary

G Acoustical Analysis Report

H Transportation Impact Analysis

I Fire Protection Plan for the Boulder Brush Facilities

J-1 Groundwater Resources Evaluation

J-2 Boundary Creek Groundwater Report

J-3 Flat Creek Groundwater Report

K Preliminary Hydrology Study for the Boulder Brush Facilities

L Agricultural Resources Report

M Geology and Soils Evaluation

N Water Supply Assessment

O Shadow Flicker Analysis

FIGURES

1-1 Project Location 1-61

1-2 Project Area 1-63

1-3 Project Site Plan..... 1-65

1-4 Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-67

1-4A Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-69

1-4B Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-71

1-4C Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-73

1-4D Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-75

1-4E Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-77

1-4F Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-79

1-4G Boulder Brush Site Plan..... 1-81

1-5 Transmission Line Pole Structure Example..... 1-83

1-6 Typical Substation Design 1-85

1-7 Switchyard Layout 1-87

1-8 SDG&E Connection Schematic 1-89

1-9A Project Access Roads 1-91

1-9B Boulder Brush Access Roads 1-93

1-10 Typical Wind Turbine Specifications 1-95

1-11 Fire Stations 1-97

1-12 Cumulative Projects 1-99

2.1-1 Existing Conditions Photographs Key Map 2.1-91

2.1-2 Existing Conditions: Boulder Brush Boundary 2.1-93

2.1-3 Existing Conditions: Surrounding Area 2.1-95

2.1-4 Modeled Topographic Viewshed Analysis: Campo Wind Facilities 2.1-97

2.1-5 Modeled Topographic Viewshed Analysis: Boulder Brush Facilities 2.1-99

2.1-6 Key Observation Points – Campo Wind Facilities 2.1-101

2.1-7 Key Observation Points – Boulder Brush Facilities 2.1-103

2.1-8 Key Observation Point 1 2.1-105

2.1-9 Key Observation Point 2 2.1-107

2.1-10 Key Observation Point 3 2.1-109

2.1-11 Key Observation Point 4 2.1-111

2.1-12 Key Observation Point 5 2.1-113

2.1-13 Key Observation Point 6 2.1-115

2.1-14 Key Observation Point 7 2.1-117

2.1-15 Key Observation Point 8 2.1-119

2.1-16 Key Observation Point 9 2.1-121

2.1-17 Key Observation Point 10 2.1-123

2.1-18 Key Observation Point 11 2.1-125

2.1-19 Key Observation Point 12 2.1-127

2.3-1 Existing Biological Resources – Boulder Brush – Index 2.3-181

2.3-2 Existing Biological Resources - Campo Corridor - Index 2.3-183

2.3-3 Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor – Index 2.3-185

2.3-3a Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-187

2.3-3b Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-189

2.3-3c Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-191

2.3-3d Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-193

2.3-3e Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-195

2.3-3f Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-197

2.3-3g Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-199

2.3-3h Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-201

2.3-3i Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-203

2.3-3j Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-205

2.3-3k Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-207

2.3-3l Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-209

2.3-3m Impacts to Biological Resources – Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-211

2.3-4 Impacts to Biological Resources – Campo Corridor – Index 2.3-213

2.3-5 Biological Cumulative Study Area Vegetation..... 2.3-215

2.5-1 Reservation Boundary Hazards..... 2.5-77

2.5-2 Boulder Brush Boundary Hazards 2.5-79

2.6-1 Noise Measurement Locations..... 2.6-43

2.6-2 Operational 60 dBA CNEL Noise Contour by Average Hub-height
Wind Speed..... 2.6-45

2.6-3 Operational 50 dBA Leq Noise Contour by Average Hub-height Wind Speed 2.6-47

2.6-4 Operational 45 dBA Leq Nighttime Noise Contour by Average Hub-height
Wind Speed..... 2.6-49

2.6-5 Operation Noise Contours: L_{Ceq} - RBSC = 20 dB by Average Hub-height
Wind Speed..... 2.6-51

2.6-6 Operational 55 dBA L_{dn} Noise by Average Hub-height Wind Speed..... 2.6-53

2.6-7 Off-Reservation Boulder Brush Facilities Transmission Line Audible Corona
Noise - Rainy Conditions..... 2.6-55

2.7-1 Area of Potential Effects..... 2.7-29

2.8-1 Regional Location and Traffic Study Area..... 2.8-47

2.8-2 Existing Roadway and Intersection Conditions..... 2.8-49

2.8-3 Existing Traffic Volumes..... 2.8-51

2.9-1 Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Project Area 2.9-57

3.1.1-1 Zone of Influence Important Farmland..... 3.1.1-37

3.1.1-2 Soils..... 3.1.1-39

3.1.1-3 Slope of Land Available for Agricultural Use 3.1.1-41

3.1.1-4 Historical Agricultural Land..... 3.1.1-43

3.1.1-5 Cumulative Projects and FMMP Designations..... 3.1.1-45

3.1.1-6 Cumulative Project Soils 3.1.1-47

3.1.3-1 Project Soils, Topography, Slopes, and Liquefaction Map 3.1.3-31

3.1.5-1 Hydrologic Areas 3.1.5-43

3.1.5-2 Watersheds 3.1.5-45

3.1.6-1 Existing Land Use Designations..... 3.1.6-75

3.1.6-2 Existing Zoning 3.1.6-77

3.1.6-3 Surrounding Land Uses..... 3.1.6-79

4-1 Alternative 3: Alternative Gen-Tie Line Route within Boulder Brush Boundary 4-49

4-2 Alternative 4: Underground Gen-Tie Line with Boulder Brush
Boundary Alternative..... 4-51

TABLES

ES-1 Summary of Significant EffectsES-17

ES-2 Errata Summary Table Campo Wind with Boulder Brush Facilities Final EIR
 Text ChangesES-28

1-1 Estimated Construction Equipment and Vehicles..... 1-54

1-2 Assessor’s Parcel Numbers within Boulder Brush Boundary 1-56

1-3 Possible Authorizations, Permits, Reviews, and Approvals 1-56

1-4 Cumulative – Reasonably Foreseeable, Approved, and Pending Projects 1-57

2.1-1 Key Observation Points 2.1-83

2.1-2 Mountain Empire Subregional Plan Consistency Analysis for Boulder
 Brush Facilities 2.1-83

2.1-3 Boulevard Community Plan Consistency Analysis for Boulder Brush Facilities 2.1-85

2.1-4 Campo Land Use Plan Consistency Analysis for Campo Wind Facilities 2.1-87

2.2-1 San Diego Air Basin Attainment Classification 2.2-55

2.2-2 Local Ambient Air Quality Data..... 2.2-56

2.2-3 San Diego Air Pollution Control District Air Quality Significance Thresholds 2.2-58

2.2-4 Ambient Air Quality Standards 2.2-58

2.2-5 Construction Activity Health Risk Assessment Results – Unmitigated 2.2-60

2.2-6 Estimated Project Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions –
 Unmitigated (with Project Design Features and San Diego Air Pollution Control
 District Rules) 2.2-61

2.2-7 Estimated Boulder Brush Facilities Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air
 Pollutant Emissions – Unmitigated (San Diego Air Pollution
 Control District Rules)..... 2.2-61

2.2-8 Estimated Campo Wind Facilities Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air
 Pollutant Emissions – Unmitigated (with Project Design Features)..... 2.2-62

2.2-9 Estimated Maximum Daily Decommissioning Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions –
 Unmitigated (with Project Design Features and San Diego Air Pollution Control
 District Rules) 2.2-62

2.2-10 Estimated Maximum Annual Operational Emissions – Unmitigated..... 2.2-62

2.2-11 Estimated Project Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant
 Emissions – Mitigated (with Project Design Features and Mitigation Measures)..... 2.2-63

2.2-12 Project Construction Activity Health Risk Assessment Results – Mitigated 2.2-63

2.3-1 Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within the Campo Corridor and
 Boulder Brush Corridor..... 2.3-157

2.3-2 Special-Status Plant Species within the Boulder Brush Corridor 2.3-158

2.3-3 Group 1 and/or California Department of Fish and Wildlife Species of Special Concern Wildlife Species Present within the Boulder Brush Corridor or with High Potential to Occur 2.3-160

2.3-4 Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters 2.3-170

2.3-5 East County Multiple Species Conservation Program Planning Agreement Conservation Objectives 2.3-170

2.3-6 Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters 2.3-171

2.3-7 Cumulative – Vegetation Communities 2.3-172

2.3-8 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation 2.3-174

2.4-1 Frequency of Cultural Resources Identified within and outside of the Project ADI 2.4-37

2.4-2 Cultural Resources within the Project ADI 2.4-38

2.4-3 Cultural Resources Outside the Project ADI 2.4-39

2.4-4 Management Summary 2.4-42

2.4-5 Resource Mitigation Measures 2.4-63

2.6-1 Comparison of A-Weighting and C-Weighting Adjustments 2.6-37

2.6-2 Typical Sound Levels in the Environment and Industry 2.6-38

2.6-3 San Diego County Noise Ordinance Sound Level Limits 2.6-38

2.6-4 Predicted Construction Noise Modeling Summary Results at Off-Reservation Receptors 2.6-39

2.6-5 Predicted Campo Wind Facilities Construction Noise Modeling Summary Results at On-Reservation Receptors 2.6-39

2.6-6 Predicted Boulder Brush Facilities Construction Noise Modeling Summary Results at Reservation Boundary 2.6-40

2.6-7 Predicted A-Weighted Aggregate Project Wind Turbine Noise Levels (CNEL) 2.6-40

2.6-8 Predicted A-Weighted Aggregate Project Wind Turbine Noise Levels (Hourly L_{eq}) 2.6-41

2.6-9 Predicted Aggregate Project Wind Turbine Noise Levels: dBC minus RBSC 2.6-41

2.6-10 Predicted A-Weighted Aggregate Project Wind Turbine Noise Levels – On-Reservation 2.6-41

2.6-11 Predicted Future Cumulative Noise Levels due to Project Operation 2.6-42

2.6-12 Predicted Cumulative Noise Levels from Project Operation 2.6-42

2.8-1 Level of Service for Intersections using HCM Methodology 2.8-35

2.8-2 Existing Weekday Peak-Hour Intersection Level of Service 2.8-35

2.8-3 County of San Diego Daily Roadway Segment LOS Thresholds 2.8-36

2.8-4 Existing Daily Roadway Segment Level of Service 2.8-36

2.8-5 Levels of Service for Freeway Segments using HCM Methodology 2.8-37

2.8-6 Existing Freeway Mainline Segment Level of Service 2.8-37

2.8-7 Measure of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion of Road Segments:
 Allowable Increases on Congested Road Segments 2.8-37

2.8-8 Measures of Significant Project Impacts 2.8-38

2.8-9 Estimated Trip Generation for Project 2.8-38

2.8-10 Estimated Trip Generation for Boulder Brush Facilities 2.8-38

2.8-11 Estimated Trip Generation for Campo Wind Facilities 2.8-39

2.8-12 Existing Plus Project (Peak Construction) Peak-Hour Intersection Level
 of Service 2.8-40

2.8-13 Existing Plus Boulder Brush Facilities (Peak Construction) Peak-Hour
 Intersection Level of Service 2.8-41

2.8-14 Existing Plus Project (Peak Construction) Roadway Segment Level of Service 2.8-42

2.8-15 Existing Plus Boulder Brush Facilities (Peak Construction) Roadway
 Segment Level of Service 2.8-43

2.8-16 Existing Plus Project (Peak Construction) Freeway Segment Operations..... 2.8-44

2.8-17 Existing Plus Boulder Brush Facilities (Peak Construction) Freeway
 Segment Operations 2.8-45

3.1.1-1 Soil Classifications within the Boulder Brush Boundary 3.1.1-30

3.1.1-2 Soil Quality within the Boulder Brush Boundary 3.1.1-30

3.1.1-3 Local Agricultural Resource Assessment Model Factor Ratings 3.1.1-31

3.1.1-4 Interpretation of Local Agricultural Resource Assessment Model Results 3.1.1-32

3.1.1-5 Agricultural Goals and Policies 3.1.1-32

3.1.2-1 Estimated Hours of Operation for Construction and
 Decommissioning Equipment 3.1.2-31

3.1.2-2 Estimated Construction and Decommissioning Equipment Diesel Demand..... 3.1.2-32

3.1.2-3 Estimated Construction and Decommissioning Worker Vehicle
 Gasoline Demand 3.1.2-33

3.1.2-4 Estimated Construction and Decommissioning Vendor Truck Diesel Demand..... 3.1.2-34

3.1.2-5 Estimated Construction and Decommissioning Haul Truck Diesel Demand..... 3.1.2-34

3.1.2-6 Estimated Petroleum Consumption – Operation..... 3.1.2-35

3.1.3-1 Soil Types within the Project Site..... 3.1.3-28

3.1.4-1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Sources in California..... 3.1.4-40

3.1.4-2 San Diego County Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sectors 3.1.4-40

3.1.4-3 Estimated Construction Workers, Vendor Trips, and Equipment Use per Day 3.1.4-40

3.1.4-4 Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions 3.1.4-42

3.1.4-5 Estimated Annual Decommissioning Greenhouse Gas Emissions 3.1.4-43

3.1.4-6 Estimated Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions 3.1.4-43

3.1.4-7 Project Consistency with Scoping Plan GHG Emission Reduction Strategies..... 3.1.4-45

3.1.4-8 San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan Consistency Analysis..... 3.1.4-49

3.1.5-1 Watershed Designations by Agency/Source 3.1.5-41

3.1.5-2 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Impairments..... 3.1.5-41

3.1.6-1 County Board of Supervisors Consistency Analysis for Boulder Brush Facilities . 3.1.6-41

3.1.6-2 County of San Diego Land Development Ordinances Consistency Analysis for
 Boulder Brush Facilities 3.1.6-44

3.1.6-3 County General Plan Consistency Analysis for Boulder Brush Facilities..... 3.1.6-45

3.1.6-4 Mountain Empire Subregional Plan Consistency Analysis for Boulder
 Brush Facilities 3.1.6-65

3.1.6-5 Boulevard Community Plan Consistency Analysis 3.1.6-68

3.1.6-6 Campo Land Use Plan Consistency Analysis for Campo Wind Facilities 3.1.6-71

3.1.8-1 Primary Study Area Fire Resources..... 3.1.8-23

3.1.8-2 Project Area Police Protection 3.1.8-24

4-1 Comparison of Impacts from Alternatives to the Project 4-47

VOLUME II Public Comment Letters and Response to Comments