

### I12 Janet Ryan

- I12-1** The comment provides a general statement of opposition to the Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project), and states the commenter's concerns regarding residents' health, the depletion of wildlife and aesthetics of the area, the depletion of water use from the local area, noise pollution, and a decrease in property value. In regard to health concerns, please refer to Global Response GR-2, Public Health. For a discussion of impacts to wildlife, refer to Chapter 2.3, Biological Resources, of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR). For a discussion of impacts related to groundwater use, please refer to Chapter 3.1.5, Hydrology and Water Quality, of the Draft EIR. For a discussion of impacts regarding noise, please refer to Chapter 2.6, Noise, of the Draft EIR. In regard to property values, please refer to Global Response GR-1, Socioeconomic Impacts.
- I12-2** The comment states that the Project should be built in more deserted areas. The comment also states the turbines are very unattractive and make the community ugly, and that the turbines will have an effect if they try to sell their property. Aesthetic impacts are analyzed in Chapter 2.1, Aesthetics, of the Draft EIR. In regard to selling property, please refer to Global Response GR-1.
- I12-3** The comment states that over the past 4 years since installation of wind turbines, natural wildlife has decreased. The comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained within the Draft EIR. However, for an analysis of the proposed Project's impacts to wildlife, please refer to Chapter 2.3 of the Draft EIR.
- I12-4** The comment states that the author has heard of many health-related issues regarding turbines. Please refer to Global Response GR-2. The comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I12-5** The County of San Diego acknowledges the comment and notes that it provides concluding remarks that do not raise any issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK