

I13 Rupert Pedrin Jr.

- I13-1** The County of San Diego acknowledges the comment and notes that it expresses opposition to the Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project) but does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR). No further response is required.
- I13-2** The comment states that the author has noticed a decline in the wildlife population, and also notes the sight of dead birds, including golden eagles. The comment further states that the area around the turbines is no longer friendly for riding horses. For a discussion of the proposed Project's wildlife impacts and mitigation measures, please refer to Chapter 2.3, Biological Resources, of the Draft EIR. Also please refer to Global Response GR-5, Biological Resources, for a discussion of impacts to golden eagles and other birds. The comment does not raise a specific issue related to the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I13-3** The comment expresses concern about the water table being depleted. The comment also states the author witnessed dead animals in the road after heavy equipment went through during the Tule Wind Project. In regard to groundwater supply, please refer Section 3.1.5.3 of Chapter 3.1.5, Hydrology and Water Quality, of the Draft EIR; Appendix J-1, Groundwater Resources Evaluation, of the Draft EIR; and Appendices J-2 and J-3, Groundwater Resources Investigation Reports for Boundary Creek Watershed and Flat Creek Watershed, respectively, of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Global Response GR-6, Groundwater. In regard to Project impacts to wildlife, please refer to Chapter 2.3 of the Draft EIR.
- I13-4** The comment expresses concern regarding protection of wildlife resources. The comment does not raise a specific issue related to the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I13-5** The comment expresses concern related to water use from other wind projects in the area. However, the comment does not identify a specific issue related to inadequacy of the Draft EIR. In regard to Project water use, please refer to Global Response GR-6.
- I13-6** The comment states a concern for the health and wellbeing of residents who live in the area. The comment further states that the increased occurrence of cancer and other health issues such as vertigo from the rotation of the blades is a huge concern. Please refer to Global Response GR-2, Public Health.

Responses to Comments

- I13-7** The comment expresses general concern regarding location of the Project. This comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I13-8** The comment states that the author would like the planning board and decision makers on the Project to remember that they are elected officials that are supposed to be looking out for the taxpayer's interest, and that the author has been told that, as of January 23, 2020, the Project has yet to be approved. The comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I13-9** The comment states many residents in the area are not aware of what is going on and it seems more could be done, perhaps a mailer, to inform them about the meetings and proposed projects. For the proposed Project, a Notice of Preparation for the EIR was mailed to residents in the Project vicinity. A public meeting during the Notice of Preparation public review period was also held at the County Fire Authority Boulevard Fire Station (40080 Ribbonwood Road, Boulevard) on February 28, 2019. Similarly, a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIR was mailed to residents in the Project vicinity and a public meeting was held on January 23, 2020, at the Backcountry Resource Center in Boulevard. The comment does not raise any issues regarding the adequacy of the analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.