

### **I34 Jeff and Tamara Morrison**

**I34-1** The comment provides details regarding the authors' place of residence, stating that the authors property adjoins the Boulder Brush Road Improvement, and is attached to the Torrey Wind Project. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR); therefore, no further response is required.

**I34-2** The comment states these wind turbines create major health issues. The comment refers to the author's wife's vertigo and that she can no longer live here. The comment also states the shadow flicker (from turbines) will only get worse. In response, the topic of shadow flicker is addressed in Chapter 2.1, Aesthetics, and Chapter 2.5, Section 2.5.3.5, Public Health Concerns, of the Draft EIR. A Shadow Flicker Analysis is also included as Appendix O of the Draft EIR. A Supplemental Shadow Flicker analysis is also included in the Final EIR as Attachment 1 to Appendix O.

As noted in the Draft EIR, there are no state or local regulations under the California Environmental Quality Act applicable to shadow flicker; however, the Shadow Flicker Analysis was prepared in order to evaluate potential shadow flicker effects from Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project) turbines. Based on the shadow flicker modeling conducted, On- and Off-Reservation receptors may experience shadow flicker effects from Project turbines. While shadow flicker is not regulated in applicable state or federal law, because some receptors may experience shadow flicker, Project Design Features PDF-AE-1 and PDF-AE-2 would be implemented for the Campo Wind Facilities to reduce potential shadow flicker effects experienced at On- or Off-Reservation receptors. Please also refer to Global Response GR-2 Public Health and Global Response GR-8 Visual Impacts.

**I34-3** The comment states that property values have already been proven to go down due to the windmills. The comment further states if the County of San Diego (County) and the State of California want this area for wind generation, then the County or the big corporations should buy local residents' homes. In regard to property values, please refer to Global Response GR-1, Socioeconomic impacts. The comment does not raise an issue pertaining to the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

**I34-4** The comment expresses concerns about the County not caring about the impacts that the Project has on people, property, and the environment. The County acknowledges the comment and notes that it expresses the opinions of the commenter and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the analysis in the Draft EIR. Therefore, no further response is required.

## Responses to Comments

---

- I34-5** The comment discusses San Diego Gas & Electric roadway easements and the taking of private land to construct roadway improvements. The commenter also states that these roadway improvements in front of her house would require the removal of brush and trees; would be paved allowing for water to flow over; and paved improvements will result in hundreds of cars per day traveling on this road. For a discussion of anticipated roadway widening and improvements, refer to Chapter 1, Project Description, Location, and Environmental Setting, of the Draft EIR. These statements do not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR pertaining to biological resources, hydrology and water quality, or traffic and transportation. For a discussion of biological resource impacts, refer to Chapter 2.3 of the Draft EIR. For a discussion of hydrology and water quality impacts, refer to Chapter 3.1.5 of the Draft EIR. For a discussion of traffic and transportation impacts, refer to Chapter 2.8 of the Draft EIR.
- I34-6** The comment provides a statement of opposition to the Project and the Torrey Wind project due to the health impacts wind turbines create. Please refer to Global Response GR-2. The comment does not raise an issue pertaining to the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I34-7** The comment states that east County property owners should be bought out. The comment does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.