
144 Michelle Strand

- The comment states opposition to the Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project). The comment does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of analysis in the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR); therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment states the author's endorsement of the comments submitted by Donna Tisdale, as both an individual and as a member of the Boulevard Planning Group. This comment does not raise a specific issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment states the existing industrial projects have negatively impacted night skies due to blinking red lights and noise from the existing wind turbines. The comment also states the amount of wildlife the author sees in McCain Valley has dwindled significantly since turbines were built. For a discussion of impacts regarding noise, please refer to Chapter 2.6, Noise, in the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Global Response GR-4, Noise. For a discussion of impacts regarding biological resources and wildlife, please refer to Chapter 2.3, Biological Resources, of the Draft EIR. For a discussion regarding impacts due to light and glare, refer to Chapter 2.1, Aesthetics.
- The comment asks why not produce the energy where it is being consumed. The comment states the proposed turbines are too big and no one wants to be in the flicker area. For a discussion of impacts regarding aesthetics and shadow flicker, please refer to Chapter 2.1 and the Shadow Flicker Analysis (Appendix O) of the Draft EIR. Please also see Global Response GR-8, Visual Impacts. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment requests that the Project not move forward, and states that the 1.1 setback is obnoxious and likely will be detrimental to their health. The comment also raises the issue regarding property values. Please refer to Global Response GR-1, Socioeconomic Impacts, and Global Response GR-2, Public Health. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

September 2020 10212



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

September 2020 10212