## 146 Ri Parrish

- The comment states the author's opposition to the Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project), stating that their financial and physical life will be ruined by the Project. The comment does not raise any issues regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained in the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR); therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment provides background regarding the author and states that the author's investment in their property would be greatly diminished if the Project moves forward. In regard to property values, please refer to Global Response GR-1, Socioeconomic Impacts. The comment does not raise any issues regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained in the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment states that directly outside of the author's only living room windows will be a straight view onto a line of 586-foot-tall wind generators. The comment also states that the height of the towers and propellers will be three times the depth of the valley, and they will be anchored on top of the ridges and would obscure most of the sky view. The comment further states that the turbines would generate perpetual motion and blinking red lights, which demands attention. Visual impacts of the Project, including the proposed wind turbines and lights, are analyzed in Chapter 2.1, Aesthetics, and the Visual Resources Report (Appendix B) of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Global Response GR-8, Visual Impacts. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment states that the perpetual motion of the turbines would distract attempts to relax, and that the constant low frequency sounds that permeate the house walls cause stress and anxiety. Please refer to Global Response GR-2, Public Health. For a discussion of noise impacts, please refer to Chapter 2.6, Noise, and the Acoustical Analysis Report (Appendix G) of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Global Response GR-4, Noise. The comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- The comment discusses the current situation at the author's place of residence relative to a transmission line that was installed, which reduced the value their property, and states that the Project would further reduce the value of the property. The comment also states that the vibrating electrical wire sounds and the visual and sound effects of these monstrous windmills would be beyond toleration. In regard to property values, please refer to Global Response GR-1. Noise impacts are analyzed in Chapter

 September 2020
 10212

## **Responses to Comments**

2.6 and the Acoustical Analysis Report (Appendix G) of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Global Response GR-2 and Global Response GR-4. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

The comment discusses the reasons why the author moved to their current home in Miller Valley, stating that it was the quiet atmosphere that drew the author there. The comment concludes by stating that none of the people who would gain a financial benefit from this Project would be living in this area, and that the author would be forced to live near the Project Area against their will. The statement concludes with a statement of opposition to the Project. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

September 2020 10212