REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF PDS2020-STP-20-008, PDS2020-ER-09-08-007A

January 29, 2021

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
boundaries of the of any off-site ir Permit/Coastal S	Multiple Sp nprovement age Scrub	ecies Conse ts do not o Ordinance.	te improvements are located outside of the ervation Program, the project site and locations contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss idings is not required.
			ect conform to the Multiple Species igation Ordinance?
Y	ES _	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
Discussion:			
located outside	of the bou	ndaries of h the Multi	provements related to the proposed project are the Multiple Species Conservation Program. ple Species Conservation Program and the uired.
III. GROUNDWAT			es the project comply with the requirements of nance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □
Discussion:			

The project will obtain its water supply from the Valley Center Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is approximately a 7 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. As such, the project complies with the RPO.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

A Priority Development Project Stormwater Quality Management Plan (SWQMP) was prepared for the project by <u>Wynn Engineering</u>, dated September 2021. The SWQMP was reviewed and determined to be acceptable for discretionary approval. The SWQMP is a living document and will be updated at Final Engineering to ensure compliance with the most current storm water requirements.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

Staff has reviewed the plot plan and noise information provided. Staff determined that the information provided is sufficient. The project consists of a 7,572 square foot veterinary clinic and the 3,140 square foot dental clinic located on the commercially zoned portion of the lot. The project site is a split zone parcel, which consists of General Commercial (C36) on the eastern portion of the property and Rural Residential (RR) on the western portion of the parcel. The surrounding parcels to the east, northeast, and southeast are zoned Residential, therefore, is subject to the one-hour average sound level limits of 60 dBA daytime and 55 dBA nighttime. The surrounding parcels to the west, southwest, and northwest are zoned Residential and is subject to the one-hour arithmetic mean of 55 dBA daytime and 50 dBA nighttime noise threshold. The main source of operational noise from this project would be from the mechanical system. A typical Heating Ventilating Air Conditioning unit (HVAC) range from 65 to 70 dBA at five feet. The nearest residential property line is located at approximately 200 feet. At that distance the noise levels from the HVAC would be attenuated to compliance with the Noise Ordinance Section 36.404.

The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance which regulates temporary construction noise associated with the project, Sections 36.408 and 36.409. Section 36.409 of the County Noise Ordinance states that construction noise shall not exceed 75 dBA at the property line during an eight-hour period between 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. It is unlawful to operate construction equipment between 7 pm and 7 am and no work shall be done on Sundays and Holidays, per Section 36.408. Temporary noise from construction and grading is not expected to exceed the 75 dBA. Construction work would be limited to Monday through Saturday between 7a.m. to 7 p.m. The project will be conditioned with a "Good Practice Measures," to ensure compliance with the Noise Ordinance, Sections 36.408 and 36.409. Based on the information provided, the noise level generated from the construction activities is not anticipated to exceed the standards and therefore compliance with the Noise Ordinance, Sections 36.408 and 36.409.

Furthermore, the project would not result in significant increase in traffic volume that would result in exposing the existing and future noise sensitive receptors to noise levels that exceed the County's noise standards. Based on the SANDAG's Transportation Forecast for year 2050, the existing traffic volume on Valley Center Road is 18,1000 ADT, and, as a worst-case analysis, using the SANDAG Rates, this project will generate 545 ADT based on 50 ADT per 1000 sqft. Typically, a project would have to double the traffic volume on a roadway in order to have a significant direct noise increase of 3 dB or more or to be major contributor to the cumulative traffic volumes. An increase of 545 trips on Valley Center Road would result in a noise increase of less than 300 ADT, which would not be an audible change in noise levels.

Based on the information above, the project would not expose surrounding existing and future noise sensitive land uses to noise levels that exceeds the County's Noise Ordinance and Noise Flements standards