

From: [Beddow, Donna](#)
To: [Slovick, Mark](#)
Cc: [Smith, Ashley](#); [Brown, Bronwyn](#); [Koutoufidis, Nicholas](#); [Harris, Susan](#)
Subject: RE: [External] New eComment for Board of Supervisors Meeting
Date: Monday, August 16, 2021 1:40:14 PM
Attachments: [Consultation History - 080521.doc](#)
[image001.png](#)

Mark –

Below are the high-level issues. Attached are the details for the historic comments that were received.

-
Historic Resources (Buildings)

-
- Commenters question the determination that the dairy is not a significant resource. They believe it to be a significant resource.
 - Dairy and Ranch complex were evaluated for significance under all criterion of CEQA and found not to be a significant resource – lacks significant historic associations and has compromised integrity.
 - Resources evaluated under National Register of Historical Places (NRHP), California Register of Historic Resources (CRHR), and Local Register of Historic Resources (Local Register).

-
Archaeological

-
- 28 impacted sites/portion of sites (8) determined not significant.
 - 39 sites/portion of sites (8) outside ADI – avoided and preserved.
 - Mitigation – Temp Fencing, Monitoring, Treatment Agreement & Preservation Plan, Long-Term Preservation Plan (Decommissioning)

Tribal Cultural Resources

- Sacred lands file check (conducted by consultant)/**AB-52 Consultation** – no TCRs/Sacred Lands identified but area identified as sensitive.
 - Carmen Lucas (Kwaaymii) stated she did not have knowledge of any specific TCRs that may be impacted by the Proposed Project but that Jacumba is a sacred area and that “not one inch” of the area does not have cultural significance. She recommended that a qualified Native American monitor be present during survey of the Proposed Project. She also recommended that forensic dogs be used to identify human remains. **She did not respond to County outreach for AB-52 consultation.**
 - **County consulted with Barona, Campo, Jamul, Manzanita, Viejas.**
 - **Consultation was concluded with Barona, Campo, Jamul, and Viejas. No TCRs were identified.**
 - **Manzanita – consultation concluded due to lack of response on 5/27/2020. Outreach sent on 1/7/2020, 2/25/2020, 4/7/2020, and 5/27/2020.**
 - **Outreach to Manzanita as a stakeholder – County still willing to meet to discuss concerns – 2/25/2021, 8/12/2021. No response received.**
- Manzanita comment letter (public review) primarily focused on government-to government

consultation and claims that the County did not consult in good faith. Letter identified two sacred mountains which were already identified by the project consultant.

-
Let me know if you need anything else.

Donna Beddow
Senior Adjunct Archaeologist

For local information and daily updates on COVID-19, please visit www.coronavirus-sd.com. To receive updates via text, send **COSD COVID19** to **468-311**.

Coronavirus Disease 2019
COVID-19

5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
619-840-0097

From: Slovick, Mark <Mark.Slovick@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 8:16 AM
To: Beddow, Donna <Donna.Beddow@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Cc: Smith, Ashley <Ashley.Smith2@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Brown, Bronwyn <Bronwyn.Brown@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Koutoufidis, Nicholas <Nicholas.Koutoufidis@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: [External] New eComment for Board of Supervisors Meeting

Hi Donna,

Could you please send me a quick summary of the cultural issues on JVR by COB today?
Nothing too complicated, just a summary of the report and tribal outreach. Thx.

Thanks,

Mark Slovick, Deputy Director
County of San Diego | Planning & Development Services
T. [619.517.8067](tel:619.517.8067)

From: Flannery, Kathleen <Kathleen.Flannery@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Saturday, August 14, 2021 4:02 PM
To: Slovick, Mark <Mark.Slovick@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Koutoufidis, Nicholas <Nicholas.Koutoufidis@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Beddow, Donna <Donna.Beddow@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: [External] New eComment for Board of Supervisors Meeting

This is one of many that refers to the “sacred” land, tribal land, etc., so I would expect Board to ask us about it and what we’re hearing from the tribes.

From: noreply@granicusideas.com <noreply@granicusideas.com>

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2021 6:10 PM

To: BOS_eComment-Wednesday <BOS_eComment-Wednesday@sdcounty.ca.gov>

Subject: [External] New eComment for Board of Supervisors Meeting

[SpeakUp](#)

New eComment for Board of Supervisors Meeting

Twila Foresees submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Board of Supervisors Meeting

Item: 1. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING: JVR ENERGY PARK MAJOR USE PERMIT, FIRE PROTECTION AND MITIGATION AGREEMENT, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

eComment: Jacumba is on the ancient trade route that linked the valley of Mexico w. Chaco Canyon NM, thus it is sacred Toltec land. Through our preservation of Jacumba mt. landscape, we instigated the addition of a thousand acres to the Anza Borego park system. We are stepping out of the shadows to defend this RAPE of the Earth. While drought engulfs our world, in Northern Mexico cattle are dying by the thousands, you are using precious water to build robots, killing birds, destroying Earth! Shame on you!

[View and Analyze eComments](#)

This email was sent from <https://granicusideas.com>.

[Unsubscribe](#) from future mailings

JVR Solar
PDS2018-MUP-18-022

Date	Activity
1/31/2019	AB-52 tribal outreach (Barona, Campo, Jamul, Kwaaymii, Manzanita, Santa Ysabel, Sycuan, Viejas)
2/17/2019	Campo requested formal consultation.
3/7/2019	Viejas requested formal consultation.
4/10/2019	Jamul requested formal consultation.
4/29/2019	Santa Ysabel deferred to Campo.
5/3/2019	Manzanita requested formal consultation. County advised Manzanita that they missed deadline to request AB-52 but did meet the deadline for SB-18.
6/7/2019	Meeting with Jamul.
8/20/2019	Meeting with Jamul.
9/20/2019	Meeting with Campo.
10/15/2019	Meeting with Viejas.
11/8/2019	Meeting with Jamul.
1/6/2020	Meeting with Campo.
1/7/2020	Requested Manzanita to provide date/time for consultation meetings.
2/25/2020	Provided Manzanita with suggested meeting dates. Requested Manzanita to confirm if dates work for them.
3/10/2020	Meeting with Jamul.
3/17/2020	Meeting with Viejas.
4/27/2020	Provided cultural study to Campo, Jamul, and Viejas. Requested conclusion concurrence.
4/27/2020	Reminded Manzanita that they have not responded to County request for setting meetings.
4/28/2020	Jamul concluded consultation.
5/27/2020	Concluded consultation with Manzanita due to lack of response.
5/28/2020	Requested consultation conclusion from Campo and Viejas.
6/16/2020	Meeting with Viejas. Viejas agreed to conclude consultation.
6/28/2020	Concluded consultation with Campo due to lack of response.
9/18/2020	Meeting with Campo. Campo requested that a Kumeyaay NA monitor be present during construction activities.
11/12/2020	Request concurrence from Campo, Jamul, Manzanita, and Viejas for curation of artifacts with

	Imperial Valley Desert Museum.
11/13/2020	Provided Campo, Jamul, Manzanita, and Viejas with clarification of IVDM request for curation with their facility.
11/16/2020	Email correspondence received from Johnny Elliott of Manzanita. Claims County did not consult in good faith.
1/13/2021	Mailed (certified) Manzanita copy of cultural study.
2/25/2021	County responded to Johnny Elliott (Manzanita) concerns. Advised County still open to meeting with Manzanita as a stakeholder. No response received.