

Response to Comment Letter I131

Various Individuals – Petition Signatures

- I131-1** The comment states, “We, the undersigned, oppose the 90MW Jacumba Valley Ranch (JVR) Energy Park.” In response, the County acknowledges the commenters (signatures to petition) opposition to the Proposed Project. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-2** The comment states this industrial-sized project seeks to put 300,000 photovoltaic cells on 643 acres immediately adjacent to Jacumba residences, the senior center and community park, the Jacumba airport, and along both sides of Old Highway 80. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-3** The comment states, “If approved, the project will destroy scenic vistas, lower our property values, displace wildlife, and introduce mechanical noise into our quiet, rural landscape.” In response, please refer to Response to Comment I112-4.
- I131-4** The comment states, “More importantly, the JVR solar park squanders Jacumba’s ability to expand by consuming the best available land for at least the next 38 years.” The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-5** The comment refers to a visual simulation of the Proposed Project, which is included in the comment letter. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-6** The comment states, “As a resident of Jacumba, I want our elected leaders to vote for the No Project Alternative” of the Draft EIR. The comment also states please help save our town. In response, the County acknowledges the commenters opposition to the Proposed Project and their support for the “No Project Alternative.”
- I131-7** The comment includes one signature to the petition and states “make it more beautiful for all.” The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-8** The comment includes four signatures to the petition. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

Volume II – Individual Responses to Comments

- I131-9** The comment includes one signature to the petition and states, “Take them to the distant far away hills. We have plenty of them.” The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-10** The comment includes one signature to the petition. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-11** The statement and photo are the same as appears on page 1 of this comment letter. Please refer to Responses to Comments I131-1 through I131-6.
- I131-12** The comment includes seven signatures to the petition. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I131-13** The comment on the petition is the same statement as the petition statement on page 2 of comment letter I127. Please refer to Responses to Comments I127-3 through I127-8.
- I131-14** The comment includes two signatures to the petition. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.