

Response to Comment Letter I3

Harold Meredith and Julie Atherton

- I3-1** The commenters state they would like to voice their concern regarding the Proposed Project. In response, the comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I3-2** The commenters question why the Proposed Project would be considered for such a beautiful area and destroy the whole area for the residents of Jacumba. In response, the County acknowledges the commenters’ opposition to the location of the Proposed Project. Please refer to Chapter 4, Project Alternatives, of the Draft EIR which includes a discussion of alternative locations that were considered and rejected. Please also refer to Global Response GR-6 Alternatives in the Final EIR. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I3-3** The commenters state the Proposed Project would be an end to the town of Jacumba. The commenters ask “As a landowner in the area I do not see why this area is being considered at all due to the proximity of the people of Jacumba! This will ruin the lifestyle as well as the historical Jacumba Hot Springs.” The County acknowledges the commenters’ opposition to the Proposed Project. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I3-4** The commenters state the Proposed Project would result in an economic impact that would decimate the entire area for years to come. The commenters also state there are better areas that could be used for this type of project and that the Project would ruin a whole town with this Project being 20-40 feet away from actual residences. The commenters further state, “I don’t think you would even consider such a project if it was on your town on your street.” In response, please refer to Global Response GR-1 in the Final EIR, which discusses the relationship between socioeconomic considerations and CEQA. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I3-5** The commenters state, “I will be a vocal opponent through this whole project and will do whatever it takes to stop this from being built. Please reconsider this project.” In response, the County acknowledges the commenters’ opposition to the Proposed Project. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK