

Response to Comment Letter I91

Kirk Roberts

- I91-1** The commenter states “Hopefully you can see the beauty of this area.” The commenter also states, “Hopefully you can see the monstrous solar farm destruction to our wild Agricultural zoned land.” In response, for clarification the Proposed Project’s development footprint would primarily be located on land zoned as Specific Plan (S88). Please refer to Section 3.1.4 Land Use and Planning and Figure 3.1.4-1 which shows the existing zoning. The Project is not proposing a change to the existing zoning. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I91-2** The commenter states that “this project means the end of our town as any form of a tourist destination.” The commenter also states that “the Spa and surrounding natural landscape is all we have...if you take that away...we are doomed forever.” In response, the County acknowledges the commenter’s opposition to the Proposed Project. Please refer to Global Response GR-1 in the Final EIR which discusses the relationship between socioeconomic considerations and CEQA. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis contained within the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.