

INTRODUCTION

A Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) for the Proposed Project was prepared and circulated for public review from October 8, 2019 to December 7, 2020. During that time, the County received comment letters from Tribes, Agencies, Organizations and Utilities, and Individuals. The County has prepared responses to each of the written comment letters (refer to Table 1). The comment letters and responses are included in Volume II of the Final EIR. In addition, Global Responses have been prepared for recurring comment topics. In some cases, comments received prompted changes to the Draft EIR. These changes are shown in strikeout/underline in the Final EIR and are summarized in the Table 2 - Errata Summary Table below.

The responses to each comment on the Draft EIR represent a good-faith, reasoned effort to address the environmental issues identified by the comments. Under the State CEQA Guidelines, the County is not required to respond to all comments on the Draft EIR, but only those comments that raise environmental issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR. In accordance with CEQA Guidelines 15088 and 15204, the County has independently evaluated the comments and prepared the attached written responses describing the disposition of any significant environmental issues raised. CEQA does not require the County to conduct every test or perform all research, study, and experimentation recommended or demanded by commenters.

Rather, CEQA requires the County to provide a good faith, reasoned analysis supported by factual information. To fulfill these requirements, the County's experts in planning and environmental sciences consulted with and independently reviewed analysis responding to the Draft EIR comments prepared by Dudek and other experts, which include experts in aesthetics, air quality, biology, cultural resources, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use planning, noise, public services, transportation and traffic, utilities and service systems, energy, and environmental studies, each of whom has years of educational and field experience in these categories of environmental sciences; is familiar with the Project and the environmental conditions in the County; and is familiar with the federal, state, and local rules and regulations (including CEQA) applicable to the proposed Project. Accordingly, County staff's final analysis provided in the responses to comments are backed by substantial evidence. Likewise, the County Counsel's Office prepared and/or independently reviewed legal analysis supplementing the responses to the Draft EIR comments.

Global Responses

Several of the comment letters on the Draft EIR repeat comment topics. To address recurring comments, a series of "Global Responses" (Global Response GR-1 through Global Response GR-6) have been prepared. The Global Responses included in Volume II of this Final EIR consist of:

- Global Response GR-1 – Socioeconomic Impacts and Environmental Justice

- Global Response GR-2 – Photovoltaic Heat Island Effects
- Global Response GR-3 – Biological Resources
- Global Response GR-4 – Electromagnetic Field Impacts
- Global Response GR-5 – Airport Impacts
- Global Response GR-6 – Alternatives

The absence of a specific response to every comment does not violate CEQA if the response would merely repeat other responses. Several of the comment letters repeat issues the County addressed in Global Responses and other written responses as part of the Final EIR. Due to the repetition, the County relies on those other responses addressing the same or similar issues, even if an individual response does not reference other applicable response(s). This is justified by the voluminous comments provided, and by the same or similar issues raised in such comments. For this reason, each reviewer is encouraged to review the Global Responses and the other written responses for further information.

List of Tribes, Agencies, Organizations and Utilities, and Individuals that Commented on the Draft EIR

During the Draft EIR public review period, the County received three Tribal comment letters (T-1, T-2 and T-3), five Agency comment letters (A-1 through A-5), seven Organization and Utility comment letters (O-1 through O-7), and 138 Individual comment letters (I-1 through I-136 and late letters L1 and L2). Volume II of the Final EIR includes all “Comment Letters” and “Comment Letter Responses.” The comments have each been assigned an alphanumeric label, and the specific comments within each written comment letter are bracketed and numbered. For example, Individual Comment Letter I2 contains 3 comments that are numbered I2-1 through I2-3.

Please refer to the table below titled Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report for a comprehensive list of all written comments received during the public comment period. The Comment Letters and Response to Comment Letters within Volume II are organized in in the same order as outlined in the following table.

Table 1
Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report

Designation	Commenter
<i>Tribal</i>	
T1	Member of Viejas Band of Indians
T2	Members of Campo Band of Mission Indians
T3	Member of the Manzanita Band of Indians
<i>Agencies</i>	
A1	California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
A2	California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
A3	California Department of Parks and Recreation
A4	United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFW)
A5	San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)
<i>Organizations and Utilities</i>	
O1	Imperial Valley Desert Museum
O2	San Diego Gas & Electric
O3	Imperial Valley Desert Museum
O4	Anza Borrego Foundation
O5	Backcountry Against Dumps
O6	Boulevard Planning Group
O7	Donna Jones Law on Behalf of Human Kind
<i>Individuals</i>	
I1	Shirley Perez (via Victor Inunza)
I2	Diane and Janin Ang
I3	Harold Meredith and Julie Atherton
I4	Kevin Keane
I5	Cherry Diefenbach
I6	Teresa and Andy DeGroot
I7	Erin Tuatagaloa
I8	H Meredith and J Atherton
I9	Aisha Acevedo
I10	Julie Atherton
I11	Victor Ayala
I12	P Chorvat
I13	Christine Cortesi
I14	Jacari Cousins
I15	Patricia Fauble
I16	Kirk Gilliam
I17	Janet Hix
I18	Teresa Knight
I19	Daniel Leon
I20	Alex Lievanos
I21	Ismael Lopez
I22	Michael Marchand
I23	Walter McKee

Table 1
Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report

Designation	Commenter
I24	Harold Meredith
I25	Marsha Nelson
I26	Richard Nelson
I27	Lorrie Ostrander
I28	Myra Price
I29	Peter Rajknecht
I30	Jose Ravenel
I31	Nadine Rodgers
I32	Jeanette Shumacker
I33	Alice Seward
I34	Donald Shirley
I35	Elvera Shirley
I36	Beatrice Turner
I37	Karen Woodward
I38	William Woodward
I39	Scott Simpson
I40	Beatrice Bachmeier
I41	Barbara Gable
I42	Demetris Glaros
I43	J Ed Graham
I44	Morgan Stevens
I45	Katrina Westley
I46	Beverly Woodward
I47	Victor Zanevici
I48	Kellie Lee Bolger
I49	Chris Carton
I50	Blanca Casanova
I51	Cherry Diefenbach
I52	Felix Bachmeier
I53	Bradley Lambert
I54	Jenene Lambert
I55	Kathryn Leidy
I56	Janice Middleton
I57	Alta Murphy
I58	John Murphy
I59	S Shirley
I60	Andrew Shumaker
I61	Loretta Simon
I62	Roy Simon
I63	Gwendolyn Sneed
I64	Catherine Weate
I65	John Weate
I66	Paula Whisenant

Table 1
Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report

Designation	Commenter
I67	Name Unknown
I68	Name Unknown
I69	Kimberly Jump
I70	Audrey Leppo
I71	Tracey McPherson
I72	Diana Sherwood
I73	Judie Stutler
I74	Dennis Tatum
I75	Mike Viertel
I76	Terry Weinbaum
I77	Darcy Bergen
I78	Irma Garcia
I79	Kevin Lewis
I80	Melvina Leon
I81	Rafael Leon
I82	Corina Hernandez
I83	Allen Stanko
I84	Jeff Osborne
I85	Marsh Nelson
I86	Richard Nelson
I87	Jeff Osborne
I88	Blanca Casanova
I89	Nancy Cordova
I90	Ayakel Jaguar Quetzalcoatl
I91	Kirk Roberts
I92	Anthony Anderson
I93	Ethel Anderson
I94	Gary Barton
I95	Karen and Richard Conshafter
I96	P Hanson
I97	Janet Hil
I98	Preston Hoyt
I99	David Kehrer
I100	Dan Lehman
I101	Janet Miner
I102	Sarah Misquez
I103	Richard Morrison
I104	William Pelke
I105	Simon Revilla
I106	Diana Sherwood
I107	Gabrielle Shultz
I108	Leona Grunow
I109	Kathryn Graze

Table 1
Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report

Designation	Commenter
I110	Alasdar Mullarney
I111	Renee Rosenberg
I112	Petition – Various Individuals
I113	Mary Hicklin
I114	Douglas Harrison
I115	Erin Tuatagaloa
I116	Katrina Westley
I117	Cherry Diefenbach
I118	Mark Ostrander
I119	Beatrice Bachmeier
I120	Felix Bachmeier
I121	Kathryn Graze
I122	Francisco Ulloa
I123	Hortencia Ulloa
I124	Ulloa Family
I125	Randy Hoyland
I126	Cherry Diefenbach
I127	Petition – Various Individuals
I128	Karen Lebarron
I129	Douglas Lebarron
I130	Cherry Diefenbach
I131	Petition – Various Individuals
I132	Rebecca Person
I133	Morgan Stevens
I134	Tammy Daubach
I135	Jeffrey Osborne
I136	Mark and Lori Summers
L1	David Moss
L2	Sandra Chappel

Summary of Changes to the Draft EIR and Appendices

In some cases, comments received on the Draft EIR prompted changes to the final version of the document – i.e., the Final EIR. These are shown in ~~strikeout~~/underline format in the Final EIR. The Final EIR also includes informational updates and clarifications. These, too, are shown in ~~strikeout~~/underline format. Consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(b), these revisions have been made to clarify text for consistency or revise punctuation as appropriate throughout the document, and these revisions do not result in what constitutes new significant information that would require recirculation of the document. A summary of these revisions are

provided in the Errata Summary Table below. The Final EIR chapters and sections that include revisions consist of:

- Executive Summary
- Chapter 1 – Project Description
- Section 2.1 – Aesthetics
- Section 2.2 – Air Quality
- Section 2.3 – Biological Resources
- Section 2.4 – Cultural Resources
- Section 2.5 – Geology and Soils
- Section 2.6 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Section 2.7 – Hydrology and Water Quality
- Section 2.8 – Mineral Resources
- Section 2.9 – Noise
- Section 2.10 – Paleontological Resources
- Section 2.11 – Tribal Cultural Resources
- Section 2.12 - Wildfire
- Section 3.1.1 – Agricultural Resources
- Section 3.1.2 - Energy
- Section 3.1.3 – Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Section 3.1.4 – Land Use and Planning
- Section 3.1.5 – Parks and Recreation
- Section 3.1.6 – Public Services
- Section 3.1.7 – Transportation
- Section 3.1.8 – Utilities and Service Systems
- Chapter 4 – Project Alternatives
- Chapter 5 – Mitigation Measures
- Chapter 6 – Other CEQA Considerations
- Chapter 7 – References
- Chapter 8 – Preparers

In addition, many of the Draft EIR appendices were updated or revised based on comments received during public review, as summarized in Table 3.

The Draft Environmental Impact report (Draft EIR) for the Proposed Project was prepared and circulated for public review from October 8, 2020 to December 7, 2020. During that time, the County received comment letters from Tribes, Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals. As a result of the comments received regarding the Proposed Project, minor changes have been made to the Proposed Project design. These minor changes to the Proposed Project are summarized below and are shown on Figure 1-2, Project Components, Figure 1-3, Enlarged Site Plan Index, and Figure 1-4, New Setbacks from Old Highway 80 and Jacumba Community Park in Chapter 1 in Volume I of the Final EIR.

- **Revised Major Use Permit Boundary:** As a result of the increased setbacks described below, the size of the Major Use Permit area has been reduced from 643 acres to 623 acres.
- **Increased Setbacks from Old Highway 80:** Along Old Highway 80, the Proposed Project fence line on both sides of the roadway has been set back further to provide a larger buffer between the roadway and the Proposed Project. The fence line along the north side of Old Highway 80 will be 70 feet from the Project property line to the fence line (110 feet from the edge of the pavement on Old Highway 80 to the fence line), providing a buffer to the north that is 52 feet more than described in the Draft EIR. The fence line along the south side of Old Highway 80 will be 140 feet from the Project property line to the fence line (175 to 180 feet from the edge of pavement on Old Highway 80 to the fence line), providing a buffer to the south that is 122 feet more than described in the Draft EIR.
- **Increased Setback from Jacumba Community Park:** Adjacent to the Jacumba Community Park, the Proposed Project fence line has been set back further to provide a larger buffer between the park and the Proposed Project. The fence line adjacent to the park will be 300 feet from the Project property line to the fence line.
- **Water Main Realignment:** An existing water main that connects the existing Jacumba Valley Ranch well to commercial uses along Carrizo Gorge Road is proposed to be realigned. The Proposed Project will realign the existing water main north from the Jacumba Valley Ranch Water Company well along the western edge of the Proposed Project, then east along the Proposed Project fence line south of the SDG&E easement, as shown in Figure 1-2. The realigned water main will connect with the existing water main which crosses the SDG&E easement and continue north to connect with the existing commercial uses along Carrizo Gorge Road. No construction will occur within the SDG&E easement. The water main realignment will impact approximately three acres

outside of the revised MUP boundary. However, less than one acre impacted by the water main realignment is located outside the original MUP boundary analyzed in the Draft EIR.

Revisions made to the Final EIR do not constitute significant new information nor did the revisions result in changes to impact significance conclusions or the introduction of new mitigation for the Project. The following errata summary table identifies the revisions.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
<i>Throughout Final EIR</i>		
Global Change	Throughout the Final EIR, the switchyard and the 138 kV Transmission Line Tie-in are referred as the "Switchyard Facilities." The Switchyard Facilities would be accessed through improvements to an existing SDG&E access road. In addition, throughout the Final EIR, the total area of Switchyard Facilities up to the existing SDG&E access road has been changed from approximately 3.2 acres to 8.18 acres. The size of the switchyard pad has not changed.	Revised based on comments from San Diego Gas & Electric on the Draft EIR
Global Change	The San Diego County Fire Authority (SDCFA) has been renamed to the San Diego County Fire Protection District (SDCFPD) throughout the Final EIR.	Change made because the Agency has been renamed
Global Change	The area of disturbance has been changed throughout the Final EIR from 643 acres to 626 acres as a result of minor changes to the Proposed Project. The area of disturbance includes approximately 623 acres within the Major Use Permit (MUP) boundary and approximately 3 acres of temporary disturbance outside of the MUP boundary for a water main realignment.	Clarification of the area of disturbance as a result of minor changes to the Proposed Project
Global Change	The amount of impervious surface acre has been corrected throughout the Final EIR from 1.9 acres to 6.65 acres.	Correction
Global Change	Motion sensor lighting has been changed to low level lighting throughout the Final EIR.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Global Change	The amount of grading has been revised throughout the Final EIR from 264,000 cubic yards to 280,000 cubic yards.	As explained in the new Revised Construction Water Demand Memorandum (Appendix F of the Groundwater Resources Investigation), the amount of grading required for the Proposed Project changed from 264,000 cubic yards to 280,000 cubic yards
Global Change	The construction water demand has been updated throughout the Final EIR from 140 acre-feet to 141.4 acre-feet.	As explained in the new Revised Construction Water Demand Memorandum (Appendix F of the Groundwater Resources Investigation), the amount of grading required for the Proposed Project changed from 264,000 cubic yards to 280,000 cubic yards which requires a slight increase in the amount of construction water required

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
<i>Summary</i>		
Page S-1	Introductory text has been added to describe the Draft EIR public review period, the comment letters received throughout the public review period, and how responses to comments and changes to the Draft EIR resulting from responses to comments are addressed in the Final EIR.	Clarification regarding the Final EIR organization and content
Pages S-2 and S-3 Section S.1.1	In Section S.1.1, Project Description, text has been included explaining that as a result of the comments received regarding the Proposed Project during public review of the Draft EIR, minor changes have been made to the Proposed Project design. The minor changes include increased setbacks adjacent to Jacumba Community Park and along both sides of Old Highway 80. The Proposed Project also includes the realignment of an existing water main.	As a result of comments received regarding the Proposed Project during public review of the Draft EIR
Page S-9 Section S.5	In Section S.5, Project Alternatives, text has been inserted to explain how the Community Buffer alternative was revised to include increased setbacks adjacent to Jacumba Community Park and along both sides of Old Highway 80, and the realignment of an existing water main.	As a result of comments received regarding the Proposed Project during public review of the Draft EIR
Page S-11 and S-12 Section S.5.1.2	In Section S.5.1.2, Community Buffer Alternative, text has been updated to include minor revisions made to the Proposed Project, which include the increased setbacks and the existing water main realignment	As a result of comments received regarding the Proposed Project during public review of the Draft EIR
Page S-12 Section S.5.1.3	In Section S.5.1.3, Reduced Project Alternative, text has been updated to include realignment of an existing water main	As a result of comments received regarding the Proposed Project during public review of the Draft EIR
Page S-13, Section S.5.2	In Section S.5.2, Environmentally Superior Alternative, the summary has been updated to clarify the impacts in the Reduced Project Alternative.	Update
Page S-13 and S-20 Table S-1	Table S-1, Summary of Significant Effects, has been updated for any changes in the text of mitigation measures	Revised to reflect text changes to mitigation measures
Page S-21 and S-22 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, M-AE-6 has been updated with additional language regarding the tan-colored slats and visual screening material where flood flow heights do not allow slats.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-AE-6 to address flood flow
Page S-23 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-BI-1 to include a Worker Environmental Awareness Program requirement.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-1

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page S-25 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-BI-3 in order to clarify that even with the reduced Major Use Permit area in the new Project design, the applicant shall provide a total of 435 acres of biological open space easement as described in the Draft and Final EIR.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-3
Page S-27 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-BI-4 to include Project specific requirements and Goals in the Final Resources Management Plan	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-4
Page S-27 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-BI-5 to include language about avoiding trampling and crushing special-status reptiles, San Diego desert woodrat or American badger	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Page S-29 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, M-BI-5 has been updated to remove the language take avoidance and replace it with preconstruction surveys.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Page S-30 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-BI-5 to clarify how Special-Status Preconstruction Surveys and Relocation Plan shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-BI-5
Pages S-31 and S-32 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been revised and/or added to M-BI-6 to clarify how Bat Surveys and Roost Avoidance or Exclusion activities shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-BI-6
Page S-35 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-CR-2 to clarify that the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation and Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians shall be notified of any unanticipated discovery and, should a potential TCR be identified, the Project Archaeologist shall consult with consulting tribes for a final determination.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on Draft EIR
Page S-36 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-CR-2 to states that the Project Archaeologist shall notify the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Nation, and Viejas Bank of Kumeyaay Indians of the identification of human remains.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page S-36 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-CR-2 to state that the final cultural Material Conveyance report shall	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	include evidence that all prehistoric materials have been curated at a San Diego County, Imperial County, or culturally affiliated Tribal curation facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79.	
Page S-36 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-CR-3 to clarify that the Project archaeologist shall coordinate with consulting tribes and Kumeyaay Native American monitors	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-3 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page S-37 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-GEO-1 to clarify how the mitigation measure shall be carried out.	Clarification in M-GEO-1 based on the new information in the Preliminary Geotechnical Report (Appendix F to EIR
Page S-39 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text in M-NOI-2 regarding the noise emission from self-propelled PV panel wasters has been deleted because of the inclusion of a new project design feature in the Proposed Project (PDF-NOI-1) has been included.	Clarification in M-NOI-2 based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M to EIR)
Page S-43 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-TCR-2 to clarify that the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation and Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians shall be notified of any unanticipated discovery and, should a potential TCR be identified, the Project Archaeologist shall consult with consulting tribes for a final determination.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page S-44 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-TCR-2 to states that the Project Archaeologist shall notify the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Nation, and Viejas Bank of Kumeyaay Indians of the identification of human remains.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page S-44 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been added to M-TCR-2 to state that the final cultural Material Conveyance report shall include evidence that all prehistoric materials have been curated at a San Diego County, Imperial County, or culturally affiliated Tribal curation facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page S-45 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been revised in M-WF-1 to specify that signage with contact information for a 24-hour remote operations center will be provided.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-1 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to EIR)

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page S-46 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, text has been deleted from M-WF-2 as follows: The Project will be equipped with at least one and up to two water trucks each of 4,000- gallon capacity.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-2 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to EIR)
Page S-46 Table S-2	In Table S-2, Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project, the following language has been removed from M-WF-2 During construction, the project site will have at a minimum two pick-up trucks outfitted with Type 6 Skid-Mounted Units, including fire pump, hose, and nozzle, that are staffed with personnel properly trained to use the equipment.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-2 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to EIR)
Pages S-48 to S-50 Table S-3	Table S-3, Project Design Features for the Proposed Project, was added to clarify all Project Design Features that are part of the Proposed Project.	Provide summary table of all Project Design Features in Summary Chapter
Pages S-50 to S-54 Table S-4	Table S-4, Summary of Alternatives to the Proposed Project, was updated per edits in Chapter 4, Alternatives.	Updated per edits in Chapter 4, Alternatives
Pages S-54 to S-108 Table S-6	Table S-5, Errata Summary Table JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes was added to summarize all Errata changes made for the Final EIR.	Provide a summary of all Errata changes made for the Final EIR
Pages S-109 and S-110 Table S-5	Table S-6, Summary of Appendices, was added to provide a summary of revisions to appendices in Draft EIR and new appendices in Final EIR	Provide a summary table which includes overview of revisions to Draft EIR appendices and a list of new appendices added to Final EIR
<i>Chapter 1 - Project Description</i>		
Pages 1-2 and 1-3 Section 1.2	In Section 1.2, text added to describe how the Proposed Project's area of disturbance and Major Use Permit boundary was reduced as a result of minor changes to the Proposed Project. Added text to describe minor changes that have been made to the Proposed Project. Specifically, the changes include a revised Major Use Permit boundary, increased setbacks from Old Highway 80 and Jacumba Community Park, and the realignment of an existing water main	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR
Pages 1-3 and 1-4 Section 1.2.1	Text in the list of primary components has been slightly revised to account updates in the Proposed Project.	Update to the Proposed Project components
Page 1-4 Section 1.2.1	Text has been added to Page 1-4 to clarify that the PV modules would be bifacial and capable of generating solar energy on both side s of the PV module.	Update to PV module description

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 1-5 Section 1.2.1	Text has been added to the PV Module Support Structures discussion to clarify the height of the PV modules would vary depending on terrain and other factors but will maintain a maximum PV module height of 12 feet above grade or will not be installed within certain locations.	Clarification in the PV Modules Support Structures discussion
Page 1-6 Section 1.2.1	Text has been added to the Inverter/Transformer Platforms discussion has been revised to discuss that Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-1 would require the inverter/transformer platforms to be mounted above the 100-year flood elevation via a set of piles driven into the ground and covered by an earth or gravel mount that is built up to the top of the skid to provide a working clearance to all access points and how the height of the base of the inverter/transformer will range from 18 inches to approximately 4.5 feet above ground level depending on the flood depth at each location.	Clarification in the Inverter/Transformer Platforms discussion
Pages 1-8 and 1-9 Section 1.2.1	The text in Switchyard Facilities discussion has been updated to clarify which components area considered part of the Switchyard Facilities and would be transferred to SDG&E after project construction.	Text clarification in response to SDG & E comments on Draft EIR (Comment Letter O-2)
Page 1-10 Section 1.2.1	Text has been added to clarify the height of the battery storage containers would be increased above ground level due to the 100-year flood depths	Clarification in the Battery Energy Storage System discussion
Pages 1-16 and 1-17 Section 1.2.1	In Section 1.2.1.2, Water Main Realignment, has been added to describe the new realignment of an existing water main as part of the Proposed Project.	Addition of water main realignment to Proposed Project in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 1-21 Section 1.2.1.5	In Section 1.2.1.4, Decommissioning, to clarify all project components except the Switchyard Facilities and the realigned water main would be dismantled and removed upon decommissioning.	Clarification in the Decommissioning discussion
Page 1-22 Section 1.2.1.5	Text has been updated in the Dismantling discussion to clarify that all underground and transmission components would be removed upon decommissioning.	Clarification in the Dismantling discussion
Page 1-23 – 1-24 Section 1.2.1.7	Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-BIO-1 APLIC Standards has been added	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-BIO-1 in response to comments on Draft EIR
Page 1-24 Section 1.2.1.7	In Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF HAZ-1 PV Panel Tracking has been added	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-HAZ-1 in response to comments on Draft EIR regarding impacts to glider pilots from potential glare

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 1-24 and 1-25 Section 1.2.1.7	In Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 Vegetative Cover On-Site During Operation was added	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-HAZ-3 in response to comments on Draft EIR regarding potential erosion and dust control
Page 1-25 Section 1.2.1.7	In Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 Flood Fencing Types, was added to the Final EIR	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 clarifying flood fencing types
Page 1-25 Section 1.2.1.7	In Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1 was added	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1 based on updated analysis of panel washing noise impacts
Page 1-27 Section 1.2.1.7	In Section 1.2.1.7, Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-TR-4, Traffic Demand Management Program was added	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-TR-4 in response to comments on the Draft EIR regarding potential construction traffic
Page 1-28 Section 1.2.2.1	In Section 1.2.2.1, Technical Considerations, text was revised to clarify that the PV panels would measure approximately 3.7 feet in width and 7.5 feet in length and would have a total height of up to 12 feet.	Clarification in the measurements of the PV panels
Pages 1-28 and 1-29 Section 1.2.3.3	In Section 1.2.3.3, Environmental Considerations, text has been added to the Aesthetics discussion	Additional discussion added in response to comments on Draft EIR regarding potential aesthetics impacts
Page 1-33 Section 1.5.1	In Section 1.5.1, text has been added to Project Approvals/Permits to clarify that other than the Switchyard Facilities, the Proposed Project is considered a Major Impact Service and Utility type, which may be conditionally permitted in any zone if it is determined that public interest supersedes the usual limitations placed on land use and transcends the usual restraints of zoning for reasons of necessary location and community wide interest.	Clarification in the Project Approval/Permits Section in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Pages 1-33 and 1-34 Section 1.5.1	In Section 1.5.1, Project Approvals/Permits, text has been added to clarify the Switchyard Facilities, including the switchyard and the transmission lines connecting the switchyard to the existing SDG&E transmission infrastructure, are considered a Minor Impact Utility. (County Zoning Ordinance Section 1355.) Pursuant to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2884, until a Specific Plan applicable to the property is adopted, Minor Impact Utilities are a permitted use in the area zoned as Specific Planning Area upon issuance of a Minor Use Permit. While the Major Use Permit will govern both the interim uses subject to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2888 and the Switchyard Facilities, the Switchyard Facilities will not be required to be decommissioned because it is	Clarification in the project Approval/Permits Section in response to comments on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	only subject to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2884. Additionally, after the Switchyard Facilities are constructed, the facilities will be transferred to SDG&E and therefore only subject to California Public Utilities Commission jurisdiction.	
Page 1-35 Figure 1-9	A callout to Figure 1-9, Cumulative Projects Map, has been added to Chapter 1	Figure 1-9 added to Chapter 1 in response to comments on Draft EIR regarding cumulative project locations
Page 1-40 Table 1-3	In Table, 1-3, Encroachment and trip permits for specialized haul trucks as necessary have been added to the California Department of Transportation Approvals/Permits	Clarification in response to comments on the Draft EIR from Caltrans
Page 1-43 to 1-44 Table 1-4	In Table 1-4, Cumulative – Reasonably Foreseeable, Approved, and Pending Project, the following project have been added: Jacumba Solar, Sunrise Powerlink, Southwest Powerlink and ECO Substation.	Revisions made in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 1-45 Figure 1-1	Figure 1-1, Project Location on Page 1-45 has been updated to reflect the revised MUP Boundary.	Revised Figure 1-1 due to revision to MUP boundary in Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 1-47 Figure 1-2	Figure 1-2, Project Components on Page 1-47 has been updated to reflect the revised Project Components and the new MUP boundary.	Revised Figure 1-2 due to revised Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Pages 1-49 to 1-57 Figures 1-3 to 1-3D	The following figures have been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Figure 1-3, Enlarged Site Plan Index on Page 1-49 Figure 1-3A, Enlarged Site Plan Figure 1-3B, Enlarged Site Plan Figure 1-3C, Enlarged Site Plan Figure 1-3D, Enlarged Site Plan 	Revised Figures in the Enlarged Site Plan Index due to the revised Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 1-61 Figure 1-5	Figure 1-4, Photovoltaic Module and Support Structure from the Draft EIR has been changed to Figure 1-5 and moved to page 1-61	Change in figure number
Pages 1-59 and 1-61 Figures 1-4	New Figure 1-4, New Setbacks from Old Highway 80 and Jacumba Community Park has been added to show the revised Proposed Project's increased setbacks	Addition of new Figure showing increased setbacks from Old Highway 80 and Jacumba Community Park
Page 1-63 Figure 1-6	Figure 1-5, Typical Battery Energy Storage Container has been changed to Figure 1-6 and moved to page 1-63.	Change in Figure number

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 1-65 Figure 1-7	New Figure 1-7, Equipment Buildup Detail, has been added as Page 1-65 of the Final EIR.	Addition of new Figure to show Equipment Buildup Detail
Page 1-6 Figure 1-8	New Figure 1-8, Perimeter Fence Types, has been added as Page 1-67 of the Final EIR.	Addition of new Figure to show the different perimeter fence types
Page 1-69 Figure 1-9	Figure 1-9, Cumulative Project List Map, has been added to Page 1-69 of the Final EIR.	Addition of a Cumulative Projects List Map in response to comments on the Draft EIR regarding other cumulative projects in the area
<i>Section 2.1 – Aesthetics</i>		
Page 2.1-1 Section 2.1	In Section 2.1, Aesthetics, the list of reports relied upon for the Aesthetics Section was updated to include the JVR Solar Project 2021 Glare Study (Appendix A of Visual Resources Technical Report) and the Proposed Project Revision Technical Memorandum (Appendix R).	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-1 Section 2.1	In Section 2.1, the following text was added to page 2.1-1 of the Final EIR as follows: <u>In addition, and subsequent to public review of the Draft EIR, a site visit was conducted in February 2021 to document views from Old Highway 80 where the highway parallels the Project site.</u>	Text added to disclose a site visit conducted in February 2021
Page 2.1-1 Section 2.1	In Section 2.1, the introduction has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. These changes will not change any significance determinations in this section and this section has been revised to account for these changes.	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR
Pages 2.1-2 and 2.1-3 Section 2.1.1.1	In Section 2.1.1.1, Project Site and Surrounding area text has been updated on Page 2.1-2 and 2.1-3.	Updated text regarding the Project site and surrounding area
Page 2.1-18 Section 2.1.3	In Section 2.1-18, the following text has been added and/or deleted on Page 2.1-18 under Section 2.1.3, Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance, as follows: <u>In addition and subsequent to the release of the Draft EIR for public review, a site visit was conducted in February 2021 to obtain photographs documenting</u>	Text added to document an additional site visit and photographs taken of Old Highway 80

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	available views from west and eastbound Old Highway 80 where the highway parallels the Project site. Winds were mild, and local conditions were generally sunny and clear, except for the site visits conducted in February 2019 and February 2021 during which local conditions were mostly cloudy. Digital photographs of the Project site and surrounding area were taken with a location-services-enabled iPhone 6s to document the characteristics of the Project site, and surrounding area, and quality of existing views.	
Page 2.1-19 Section 2.1.3	In Section 2.1.3, under Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance, the following text has been added as follows: <u>In addition, a mobile vantage point was located on westbound Old Highway 80 to illustrate visual change associated with perimeter Proposed Project components (e.g., fencing, and solar panels) as highway parallels the Project site and motorists approach the Jacumba Hot Springs community. The locations of selected key views (including the three vantage points on westbound Old Highway 80 that function as a mobile vantage point intended to illustrate visual change to corridor views at fixed locations on the highway) are shown in Figure 2.1-8A, Key Views.</u>	Text added to document the addition of a mobile vantage point on westbound Old Highway 80
Pages 2.1-21 and 2.1-22 Section 2.1.3.1	In Section 2.1.3.1, Visual Character or Quality, the analysis under Theme and Style was updated on Pages 2.1-21 - 2.1-22 to address minor revisions in the Proposed Project.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.1-22 and 2.1-23 Section 2.1.3.1	In Section 2.1.3.2, Visual Character or Quality, the analysis under Size, Scale, and Massing was updated on page 2.1-22 to 2.1-23 to address minor revisions in the Proposed Project.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.1-24 Section 2.1.3.1	In Section 2.1.3.1, Visual Character or Quality, the analysis under Color and Building Materials was updated on Page 2.1-24 to address minor revisions in the Proposed Project.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.1-25 and 2.1-26 Section 2.1.3.1	In Section 2.1.3.1, Visual Character or Quality, the analysis under Setbacks was updated on Pages 2.1-25 – 2.1-26 to address minor revisions in the Proposed Project.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.1-29 and 2.1-31 Section 2.1.3.2	In Section 2.1.3.2, Valued Visual Character or Image of Neighborhood or Community, text was added to the Proposed Project analysis on Page 2.1-29 to Page 2.1-31 to address minor revisions in the Proposed Project.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.1-32 and 2.1-33 Section 2.1.3.3	In Section 2.1.3.3, Focal or Panoramic Vistas, the Interstate 8 analysis has been updated to include PDF-HYD-3 on pages 2.1-32 and 2.1-33.	Analysis added to address the implementation of Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3
Pages 2.1-33 and 2.1-35 Section 2.1.3.3	In Section 2.1.3.3, Focal or Panoramic Vistas, the Old Highway 80 analysis has been updated to include minor project revisions on pages 2.1-33 and 2.1-35.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.1-37 and 2.1-38 Section 2.1.3.3	In Section 2.1.3.3., Focal or Panoramic Vistas, the Jacumba Community Park analysis was updated for the minor revisions to the Proposed Project on Pages 2.1-37 – 2.1-38.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.1-38 Section 2.1.3.3	In Section 2.1.3.3., Focal or Panoramic Vistas, the Anza-Borrego Desert State Park Lands analysis was updated for the minor revisions to the Proposed Project on Page 2.1-38.	Analysis added to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project and based on comments from California Department of Parks and Recreation on the Draft EIR
Pages 2.1-48 and 2.1-50 Section 2.1.3.5	In Section 2.1.3.5, Light and Glare, the operation analysis regarding light fixtures that do not conform to the lamp type and shielding requirements, light trespass that exceeds 0.2-foot candles and highly reflective building materials has been updated to account for minor revisions in the Proposed Project. Specifically, the analysis was updated to account for low-level light instead of motion-sensored lights, PDF-HAZ-1 and the findings of the 2021 Glare Study on pages 2.1-48 – 2.1-50.	Analysis revised based on comments from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR and other comments on the Draft EIR regarding light and glare
Page 2.1-53 Section 2.1.3.5	In Section 2.1.3.5, Light and Glare, the Switchyard analysis regarding outdoor light fixtures has been updated to account for minor Proposed Project revisions on page 2.1-53.	Analysis revised to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project and to address comments on the Draft EIR regarding light and glare
Pages 2.1-59 and 2.1-60 Section 2.1.4	In Section 2.1.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, additional analysis was added to the Focal or Panoramic Vistas on Page 2.1-59 – 2.1-60.	Analysis revised to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project and to address comments on the Draft EIR regarding cumulative impacts
Pages 2.1-61 and 2.1-62 Section 2.1.4	In Section 2.1.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, additional analysis was added to Light and Glare discussion on Page 2.1-61 – 2.1-62. The new analysis includes information from the new 2021 Glare Study.	Analysis revised to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project and to address comments on the Draft EIR regarding light and glare and cumulative impacts
Pages 2.1-67 and 2.1-68 Section 2.1.5	In Section 2.1.5, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, under the conformance with applicable Federal, State or local statute or regulation related to dark skies or glare was updated to account for minor revisions in the Proposed Project. The discussion specifically revised to address, low-level lighting, the 2021 Glare Study and PDF-HAZ-1 on pages 2.1-67 -2.1-68.	Analysis revised to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project and to address comments on the Draft EIR regarding light and glare

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.1-69 and 2.1-70 Section 2.1.6	In Section 2.1.6, Mitigation Measures, has been updated to include revisions to Mitigation Measure M-AE-6 on Pages 2.1-69 – 2.1-70 of the Final EIR.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-AE-6 to address fence screening types based on flood flow
Page 2.1-93 Figure 2.1-8B	Figure 2.1-8B, Conceptual Landscape Plan, has been updated to reflect the revised site plan.	Figure added to provide new Conceptual Landscape Plan
Page 2.1-95 Figure 2.1-9	Figure 2.1-9, Key View 1 – Eastbound I-8, has been updated to show the existing view south from Eastbound I-8 at Carrizo Gorge Road Offramp, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 1 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-97 Figure 2.1-10	Figure 2.1-10, Key View 2 – Northbound Carrizo Gorge Road, has been updated to show the existing view north from Carrizo Gorge Road, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 2 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-99 Figure 2.1-11	Figure 2.1-11, Key View 3 – Eastbound Old Highway 80, has been updated to show the existing view southeast from Old Highway 80 at Laguna Street, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 3 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-101 Figure 2.1-12	Figure 2.1-12, Key View 4 – Jacumba Community Park, has been updated to show the existing view existing view east from Jacumba Community Park, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 4 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-103 Figure 2.1-13	Figure 2.1-13, Key View 5 – Westbound Old Highway 80, has been updated to show the existing view northwest from Old Highway 80, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 5 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-105 Figure 2.1-13A	Figure 2.1-13A, Key View 5A – Westbound Old Highway 80: Mobile Vantage Point A, has been updated to show the existing view west from Old Highway 80 (approximately 0.6-mile from Laguna Street), the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 5A in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-107 Figure 2.1-13B	Figure 2.1-13B, Key View 5B – Westbound Old Highway 80: Mobile Vantage Point B, has been updated to show the existing view existing view west from Old	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 5B in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	Highway 80 (approximately 0.25-mile from Laguna Street), the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	
Page 2.1-109 Figure 2.1-13C	Figure 2.1-13C, Key View 5C – Westbound Old Highway 80: Mobile Vantage Point C, has been updated to show the existing view west from Old Highway 80 (approximately 385 feet from Laguna Street), the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 5C in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-111 Figure 2.1-14	Figure 2.1-14, Key View 6 – Undeveloped Brawley Avenue Property, has been updated to show the existing view east from the Undeveloped Brawley Avenue Property, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 6 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-113 Figure 2.1-15	Figure 2.1-15, Key View 7 – State Park Lands, has been updated to show the existing view southeast from state parks lands Adjacent to the Project site, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 7 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-115 Figure 2.1-16	Figure 2.1-16, Key View 8 – Airport Mesa, has been updated to show the existing view west from Airport Mesa, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 8 in the Final EIR
Page 2.1-117 Figure 2.1-17	Figure 2.1-17, Key View 9 – Mesa South of Table Mountain, has been updated to show the existing view southwest from mesa landform south of Table Mountain, the Visual simulation of the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR and the Visual Simulation of the Project in the Final EIR.	Figure revised to show Visual Simulation of Key View 9 in the Final EIR
<i>Section 2.2 Air Quality</i>		
Page 2.2-1 Section 2.2	In Section 2.2, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) memorandum has been added to the list of technical data relied upon for Section 2.2, Air Quality.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.2-1 Section 2.2	In Section 2.2, the introduction has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. These changes will not change any	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	significance determinations in this section and this section has been revised to account for these changes.	
Page 2.2-3 Section 2.2.1.1	In Section 2.2.1.1, Climate and Topography, text regarding the average temperatures in the Site-Specific Conditions has been updated.	Correction in the average temperature in the site-specific conditions
Page 2.2-8 Section 2.2.1.3	In Section 2.2.1.3, Pollutants and Effects, the following text was added and/or deleted in the Valley Fever discussion of as follows: The Project site is wholly contained within the 91934 zip code. For the 91934 zip code, there were no cases of Coccidioidomycosis between 2008 and 2017-2019 (Nelson 2018; Coccidioidomycosis Case Counts and Rates by Zip Code, 2010-2019, County Public Health Services, Epidemiology and Immunization Services Branch, January 8, 2021). Statewide incidences in 2016 were 13.7 per 100,000 people (CDPH 2016).	Updated information for coccidioidomycosis (Valley Fever) cases
Pages 2.2-22 and 2.2-23 Section 2.2.3.1	In Section 2.2.3.1, Conformance to the Regional Air Quality Strategy, under the Switchyard Facilities discussion, the following text was added and/or deleted on page 2.2-22 of the Final EIR as follows: Operation of the switchyard <u>Switchyard Facilities</u> would result in a negligible increase in associated operational trips. As shown in Table 2.2-5, the switchyard <u>Switchyard Facilities</u> would comprise 5.14-8.1 acres of parcel 661-010-30. As such, based on the existing zoning of S88 and density of 0.25 units per acre, the maximum buildout would be 4-3 <u>2.025</u> single-family residential units. Assuming 4-2 single-family units was were built, the buildout would result in an average daily trip rate of 40 20 and 34,964 69,922 VMT annually.	Clarification based on minor Proposed Project revisions
Page 2.2-27 Section 2.2.3.2	In Section 2.2.3.2, Impacts to Sensitive Receptors, under the Valley Fever Exposure discussion, the following text has been added on page 2.2-27 of the Final EIR as follows: <u>In addition, the Proposed Project will implement Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 to ensure that those portions of the Project site not overlain by roads, inverters, battery storage containers, Switchyard Facilities, and the substation will have at least 70% vegetative cover during Project operations. The areas shall be reseeded with a native hydroseed mix and the vegetation cover shall be</u>	Added text regarding new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 to address dust control

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	<u>maintained through the life of the Project. PDF-HYD-3 will provide dust control during Project operations.</u>	
Page 2.2-29 Section 2.2.3.2	In Section 2.2.3.2, Impacts to Sensitive Receptors, under the Health Impacts of Criteria Air Pollutants of the Switchyard Facilities discussion, text has been added on Page 2.2.-29 of the Final EIR to clarify impacts would be less than significant.	Clarification regarding health impacts as a result of comments during public review of the Draft EIR
Page 2.2-36 Section 2.2.4.1	In Section 2.2.4.1, Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Criteria Pollutants (Construction and Decommissioning), text has been added to the analysis to explain that although the air quality analysis prepared in June 2021 assumed backup water would be imported during construction activities from the Jacumba Community Services District, construction water would be provided through onsite wells only and the Jacumba Community Services District would not be relied upon for backup water.	Clarification that the Jacumba Community Services District would not be relied upon for back water
Page 2.2-37 Section 2.2.4.1	In Section 2.2.4.1, Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Criteria Pollutants (Construction and Decommissioning), the Water Main Realignment Component has been added to Site Mobilization construction phase on Page 2.2.37 of the Final EIR.	Update to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.2-37 Section 2.2.4.1	In Section 2.2.4.1, Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Criteria Pollutants (Construction and Decommissioning), the following text has been added to the analysis discussion as follows: <u>As described in Section 1.2 Project Description in the Final EIR, the Proposed Project's setbacks would result in a net reduction of 17 acres in the Proposed Project's area of disturbance. However, the reduction would not result in a material decrease in construction activity as analyzed herein. The realignment of the existing water main would result in a marginal increase in construction equipment resulting in additional construction activity. The worker, vendor, and haul trips would not change based on the realignment of the existing water main.</u>	Updated the cumulative analysis to address minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.2-38 Section 2.2.4.1	In Section 2.2.4.1, Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Criteria Pollutants (Construction and Decommissioning), the following text has been added and/or deleted to the analysis as follows:	Clarification of information in the Cumulative Impacts Analysis

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	Table 1-4 in Chapter 1 provides a list of 18-21 reasonably foreseeable, approved, and pending projects within 18 miles of the Project site. Of those projects, seven-ten have been completed, three are approved but not constructed, and six are under review.	
Page 2.2-55 Table 2.2-6	Table 2.2-6, Construction Activity Health Risk Assessment Results – Unmitigated, was updated on Page 2.2-55 of the Final EIR.	Updated Table data for minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.2-55 Table 2.2-7	Table 2.2-7, Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions – Unmitigated, was updated on Page 2.2-55 of the Final EIR.	Updated Table data for minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.2-58 Table 2.2-13	Table 2.2-13, Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions – Mitigated, was updated on Page 2.2-58 of the Final EIR	Updated Table Data for minor revisions to the Proposed Project
<i>Section 2.3 Biological Resources</i>		
Global Change	Throughout Section 2.3, Biological Resources, all references to Appendix D have now been changed to Appendix E and all references to Appendix E have been changed to Appendix F.	Global change due the addition of two new appendices to the Biological Resources Technical Report (Appendix D to the EIR)
Page 2.3-1 Section 2.3	In Section 2.3, Biological Resources, the following technical documents were added to the list of technical data relied upon: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bat Survey Results for the JVR Energy Park Project (Appendix B of Biological Resources Technical Report) • Draft Resource Management Plan for the JVR Energy Park Project (Appendix I of the Biological Resources Technical Report) • Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) • U.S./Mexico Border Wildlife Corridor and Crossings - JVR Energy Park Project (Appendix S) 	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.3-1 Section 2.3	In Section 2.3, the introduction has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. These changes result in biological resources impacts that are slightly less than those presented in the Draft EIR and do not change any significance determinations in this section and this section has not been updated to account for this changed Project area and conservatively	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	reports impact associated with the 643-acre Project as analyzed in the Draft EIR.	
Page 2.3-7 Section 2.3.1.3	In Section 2.3.1.3, Flora, the following text was added or deleted from Page 2.3-7 as follows: total of 255 vascular plant species, consisting of 187 native species (83%), and 38 non-native species (17%), were recorded within the Project site during initial surveys (Appendix B C, <u>List of Plant Species Observed</u> , of Appendix D in the <u>Final EIR</u> , <u>List of Plant Species Observed</u>).	Update to the Appendix designation in the Biological Technical Resources Report (Appendix D to the EIR)
Page 2.3-8 Section 2.3.1.4	In Section 2.3.1.4, Fauna text has been updated.	Update to the Fauna discussion
Page 2.3-9 Section 2.3.1.4	In Section 2.3.1.4, Fauna, the Mammals discussion has been updated to include information from the Bat Survey conducted in February 2021.	Update to the Mammal discussion
Page 2.3-19 Section 2.3.1.6	In Section 2.3.1.6, Special-Status Animal Species, the Golden Eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> ; BCC/FP, WL/County Group 1) discussion has been updated to include a review of USGS data from 2015 to 2017 for golden eagle.	Updated based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-23 Section 2.3.1.6	In Section 2.3.1.6, Special-Status Animal Species, the Pallid Bat discussion has been updated with the results from the February 2021 bat survey.	Update to the Special-Status Animal Species Pallid Bat discussion based on February 2021 bat survey
Page 2.3-29 Section 2.3.1.6	In Section 2.3.1.6, Special-Status Animal Species, the Yuma Myotis (<i>Myotis yumanensis</i> , County Group 2) discussion has been updated.	Update to the Special-Status Animal Species Yuma Myotis discussion
2.3-29-30 Section 2.3.1.6	In Section 2.3.1.6, Special Status Animal Species, the Cougar (<i>Puma concolor</i> ; County Group 2, Specially Protected Mammal) discussion has been inserted into Page 2.9-30 of the Final EIR.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-37 Section 2.3.1.8	In Section 2.3.1.8, Habitat Connectivity and Wildlife Corridors, the Special Habitat Management Areas discussion has been updated for the Planning Agreement for the North and East County Multiple Species Conservation Program.	Update to the Habitat Connectivity and Wildlife Corridors discussion based on updated information on the Planning Agreement for the MSCP Program
Pages 2.3-41 to 2.3-43 Section 2.3.2.3	In Section 2.3.2.3, Local Regulations, the discussion of the East County Multiple Species Conservation Program has been updated to discuss the Amended Planning Agreement for the North and East County Multiple Species	Update to the discussion of the East County Multiple Species Conservation Program discussion

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	Conservation Plan with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the United States Wildlife Service.	
Pages 2.3-49 and 2.3-50 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, Impacts BI-WLC-1, BI-WLC-2, and BI-WLC-3: Impacts to Cougar have been updated and a footnote inserted at the bottom of Page 2.3-49.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Pages 2.3-50 and 2.3-52 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, Impacts BI-W2, Impacts to Tricolored Blackbird have been updated and a footnote inserted at the bottom of Page 2.3-50.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-54 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, the Golden Eagle discussion on Page 2.3-54 has been updated to include the implementation of Project Design Feature PDF-BIO-1.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-55 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, the Impact BI-W-4; Permanent Direct Impacts on Maternity Bat Roosts discussion has been updated to discuss the February 2021 Bat Surveys.	Revised based on comments received during public review of the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-57 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, the Impact BI-W-4; Permanent Direct Impacts on Maternity Bat Roosts discussion has been updated to discuss the February 2021 Bat Surveys.	Revised based on comments received during public review of the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-61 Section 2.3.3.2	In Section 2.3.3.2, Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species, the Project Effects Relevant to Guideline A Switchyard Facilities discussion has been updated to discuss Cougars.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-69 Section 2.3.3.3	In Section 2.3.3.3, Riparian Habitat or Sensitive Natural Community, the Impact BI-V-3: Temporary Indirect Impacts to Riparian Habitat or Sensitive Vegetation Communities has been updated to address edge effects on adjacent undeveloped lands or other natural habitat areas.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-70 Section 2.3.3.3	In Section 2.3.3.3, Riparian Habitat or Sensitive Natural Community, the Impact BI-V-4: Permanent Indirect Impacts to Riparian Habitat or Sensitive Vegetation Communities has been updated to address undeveloped or other natural habitat areas adjacent to construction activities as a result of adverse edge effects.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-75 Section 2.3.3.5	In Section 2.3.3.5, Wildlife Movement and Nursery Sites, a footnote has been added to Guideline C for the Determination of Significance.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.3-78 Section 2.3.3.5	In Section 2.3.3.5, Wildlife Movement and Nursery Sites, the Project Effects Relevant to Guideline E has been updated include PDF-BIO-1	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR (
Page 2.3-83 Section 2.3.3.6	In Section 2.3.3.6, Local Policies, Ordinance, and Adopted Plans, the Project Effect as Relevant to Guideline B has been updated to discuss the Planning Agreement for the North and East County Multiple Species Conservation Plan with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the United States Wildlife Service.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-85 Section 2.3.3.6	In Section 2.3.3.6, Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans, the Impact BI-WLC-1, BI-WLC-2, and BI-WLC-3 has been updated to discuss Impacts to Cougar.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Pages 2.3-85 and 2.3-86 Section 2.3.3.6	In Section 2.3.3.6, Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans, the Impact BI-W-2, Impacts to Tricolored Blackbird has been updated	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-88 Section 2.3.3.6	In Section 2.3.3.6, Local Policies, Ordinance, and Adopted Plan, the Project Effect as Relevant to Guideline J has been updated to discuss Cougars.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-88 Section 2.3.4	In Section 2.3.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, the Cumulative Methodology discussion has been updated to include the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Line.	Update to the Cumulative Methodology discussion to include the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission
Page 2.3-95 Section 2.3.5	In Section 2.3.5, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, the Project Effects Relevant to Guideline A discussion has been updated to discuss Cougar.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-105 Section 2.3.5	In Section 2.3.5, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, the Project Effects Relevant to Guideline J discussion has been updated to discuss Cougar.	Revised based on comments from California Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Draft EIR
Page 2.3-108 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been deleted in M-BI-1 , Biological Monitoring as follows: c. Review and/or designate the construction area in the field with the contractor in accordance with the final grading plan prior to clearing, grubbing, or grading.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-1
Page 2.3-108 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-1 , Biological Monitoring, to include a Worker Environmental Awareness Program requirement.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-1

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.3-111 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-3 , Habitat Preservation, in order to clarify that even with the reduced Major Use Permit area in the new Project design, the applicant shall provide a total of 435 acres of biological open space easement as described in the Draft and Final EIR.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-3
Pages 2.3-114 and 2.3-115 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-4 , Resource Management Plan (RMP) to include Project specific requirements and Goals in the Final Resources Management Plan	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-4
Page 2.3-115 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-5 to include language about avoiding trampling and crushing special-status reptiles, San Diego desert woodrat or American badger	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Page 2.3-118 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, M-BI-5 has been updated to remove the language take avoidance and replace it with preconstruction surveys.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Pages 2.3-119 to 2.3-121 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-5 to clarify how Special-Status Preconstruction Surveys and Relocation Plan shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Page 2.3-121 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-5 to include American badger, San Diego desert woodrat, and special status reptile species.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-BI-5
Pages 2.3-121 to 2.3-123 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been revised and/or added to M-BI-6 , Bat Surveys and Roost Avoidance or Exclusion, to clarify how Bat Surveys and Roost Avoidance or Exclusion activities shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-BI-6
Page 2.3-124 Section 2.3.6	In Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, text has been added to M-BI-8 , Prevention of Chemical Pollutants, to include pesticides.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-BI-8
Page 2.3-127 Section 2.3.6	Project Design Feature PDF-BIO-1, APLIC Standards, has been added to Section 2.3.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features.	Addition of new Project Design Feature PDF-BIO-1 APLIC Standards
Pages 2.3-128 and 2.3-129 Section 2.3.7	In Section 2.3.7, Conclusion, the Candidate, Sensitive or Special-Status Species discussion has been updated.	Update to Candidate, Sensitive or Special-Status Species conclusion

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.3-133 to 2.3-152 Table 2.3.3	Table 2.3-3, Permanent Impacts to Special-Status Wildlife Species Present within the Project Site or with High Potential to Occur, has been updated.	Update to Permanent Impacts to Special-Status Wildlife Species Present within the Project Site
Pages 2.3-156 and 2.3-158 Table 2.3-7	Table 2.3-7, Consistency Analysis for the North and East County MSCP Principles, has been added to Page 2.3-156 of the Final EIR.	Addition of Table 2.3-7 to discuss the Proposed Project's consistency with the North and East County MSCP Principles
Pages 2.3-158 to 2.3-165 Table 2.3-8	Table 2.3-7, Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation, has been updated to be Table 2.3-8 and to include impacts to Cougar.	Update of Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation in Table 2.3-8
Section 2.4 Cultural Resources		
Page 2.4-1 Section 2.4	In the introduction to Section 2.4, the list of reports the analysis was based upon has been updated to include Ground Penetrating Radar Study Fieldwork Summary (Appendix F of Appendix E, Cultural Resources Report) and the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R).	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.4-1 Section 2.4	In the introduction to Section 2.4, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80, and the water main realignment. These revisions to the Proposed Project will not change any significance determinations in this section. Section 2.4 has been revised to account for the Proposed Project revisions.	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR. Updated Section 2.4 as needed.
Page 2.4-2 Section 2.4.1	In Section 2.4.1, Existing Conditions, the has been added to the Natural Setting discussion clarifying that additional information pertaining to the biological resources in the Project area, including vegetation communities and wildlife, can be found in Section 2.3, Biological Resources, of the EIR	Clarify which section in EIR includes additional information regarding biological resources
Page 2.4-3 Section 2.4.1	In Section 2.4.1, Existing Conditions, text was added in the Area of Direct Impact discussion to include the water main realignment component and the trenching required to realign the water main.	Updated analysis to include water main realignment
Page 2.4-5 Section 2.4.1.1	In Section 2.4.1.1, Methodology, text has been added to the Field Methods discussion about the Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) Study that was conducted in November 2020 in the portion of the Project ADI where the substation and Switchyard Facilities are proposed. Text was also added referring readers to the new Appendix F of the Cultural Resources Report (Appendix E) for details regarding the GPR methodology and results.	Additional analysis added regarding Ground Penetrating Radar survey that was conducted November 2020 in response to comments received from the Imperial Valley Desert Museum on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.4-8 and 2.4-9 Section 2.4.1.3	Section 2.4.1.3, Survey and Evaluation Results to document the Ground Penetrating Radar Results has been added.	Additional analysis added regarding the Ground Penetrating Radar survey
Page 2.4-18 Section 2.4.3.1	Text has been added to Section 2.4.3.1, Analysis, about the Ground Penetrating Radar Study	Additional analysis added regarding the Ground Penetrating Radar survey
Page 2.4-23 Section 2.4.3.1	In Section 2.4.3.1, Analysis, under the Switchyard Facilities Historical Resources (Guidelines 1 and 3) discussion, the following text has been added and/or deleted from Page 2.4-23 of the Final EIR as follows: The Proposed Project would include a 138-kilovolt (kV) switchyard located adjacent to the proposed collector substation. The size of the switchyard Switchyard Facilities would include the be approximately 140,000 square feet switchyard, the 138kV transmission lines and the switchyard access road. Within this area would be 8-foot high security fence (445 foot by 300 foot) surrounded by a 5 foot shoulder for grounding protection inside the fence.	Revised due to comments received from San Diego Gas & Electric on the Draft EIR
Page 2.4-27 Section 2.4.3.1	In Section 2.4.3.1, Analysis, under the Archaeological Resources (Guidelines 2 and 3), text has been revised clarifying all Proposed Project components would be decommissioned except the Switchyard facilities and realigned water main.	Clarification in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.4-29 Section 2.4.3.1	In Section 2.4.3.1, Analysis, under the Human Remains (Guidelines 3 and 4) analysis, text has been revised clarifying all Proposed Project components would be decommissioned except the Switchyard facilities and realigned water main.	Clarification in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.4-30 Section 2.4.4	In Section 2.4.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, text has been revised as follows: The artifact collections from any potentially significant site would also be curated at a facility within the <u>San Diego or Imperial County</u> or with an affiliated tribal curation facility.	Clarification in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.4-31 Section 2.4.4	In Section 2.4.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, text has been added as follows: <u>Considering the possibility that the current Project might impact a cultural landscape, Dudek considered the significance of the impacted sites in relationship to the larger cultural context. The sites do not represent or convey the significant elements of character defining archaeological sites in the broader</u>	Updated cumulative analysis in response to comments on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	region. As such, the sites within the proposed Project ADI are not significant contributing elements to the larger cultural landscape.	
Pages 2.4-34 to 2.4-36 Section 2.4.6	In Section 2.4.6, Mitigation Measures, M-CR-2 Archeological Monitoring, has been revised.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.4-36 Section 2.4.6	In Section 2.4.6, Mitigation Measures, M-C-R-3 , Cultural Resources Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan, has been revised.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-CR-3 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Pages 2.4-39, 2.4-41, and 2.4-43 Figures 2A, 2B and 2C.	Figures 2A, 2B and 2C have been updated to show the revised area of direct impact.	Figures updated to show the revised area of direct impact due to the revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
<i>Section 2.5 Geology, Soils and Seismicity</i>		
Page 2.5-1 Section 2.5	In the introduction to Section 2.5, the list of reports the analysis was based upon has been updated to include the Geology Mitigation Measures Memorandum (Appendix F) and the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (new Appendix R).	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.5-1 Section 2.5	In the introduction to Section 2.,5, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. The added text clarifies that the revisions to the Proposed Project will not change any significance determinations in Section 2.5. The Section has not been updated to account for the changed Project area and conservatively reports impacts associated with a 643-acre Project.	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR
Page 2.5-14 Section 2.5.3.2	In Section 2.5.3.2, Ground Shaking, the following text in the Proposed Project analysis has been added and/or deleted.: The maximum height of the solar facilities that would be constructed would be up to four five 80 70 to 115-foot tall poles located in the switchyard <u>Switchyard Facilities</u> , which would be located in the center of the Project site, approximately 4,500 feet from the occupied residences.	Correction based on the updated Project Description in Chapter 1 of the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.5-16 Section 2.5.3.2	In Section 2.5.3.2, Ground Shaking, the following text in the Switchyard Facilities analysis has been added or deleted as follows: The switchyard Switchyard Facilities would include the construction of up to four <u>five</u> 8070 to 115-foot-high poles to support the 138- kilovolt overhead transmission line; however, since the switchyard Switchyard Facilities would consist of a stand-alone facility that would not house operational employees, the public safety implications of damage or collapse of this facility would be negligible.	Correction based on the updated Project Description in Chapter 1 of the Final EIR
Page 2.5-23 Section 2.5.6	In Section 2.5.6, Mitigation Measures, M-GEO-1 has been revised.	Clarification to M-GEO-1 based on new technical memorandum the Appendix F of the Final EIR
Page 2.5-23 Section 2.5.7	In Section 2.5.7, Conclusion, a footnote has been added to Page 2.5-23 to explain that M-GEO-1 has been revised to comport with all applicable geotechnical standards and practices for a utility-scale solar project.	Clarification in M-GEO-1 based on new technical memorandum the Appendix F of the Final EIR
<i>Section 2.6 - Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>		
Page 2.6-1 Section 2.6	In Section 2.6, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, the list of reports the analysis was based upon on Page 2.6-1 of the Final EIR has been updated to include the 2021 Glare Study (Appendix A of Visual Resources Technical Report), Airport Issues Technical Memorandum (Appendix T), and the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R).	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.6-2 Section 2.6	In the introduction to Section 2.6, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. These revisions to the Proposed Project will not change any significance determinations in this section. Section 2.6 has been revised to account for the Proposed Project revisions.	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project which were made in response to comments received regarding the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR
Page 2.6-3 Section 2.6.1	In Section 2.6.1, Existing Conditions the discussion of Potential Hazardous Material Association with Historical Land Uses, text was added regarding an additional site visit conducted by Dudek in January 2021.	Updated text regarding additional site visit which was conducted based on comments on the Draft EIR regarding underground storage tanks
Page 2.6-5 Section 2.6.1	In Section 2.6.1, Existing Conditions, the residential use discussion was updated to discuss the findings of a site visit Dudek conducted during January 2021 to look for evidence of underground storage tanks.	Updated text regarding additional site visit which was conducted based on comments on the Draft EIR regarding underground storage tanks

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.6-27 Section 2.6.3.3	In Section 2.6.3.3, Hazardous Materials, the following text was added to the analysis as follows: No underground storage tanks are proposed as a part of the Proposed Project <u>and no underground storage tanks have been identified at the Project site.</u> The Proposed Project would comply with all applicable regulations governing the use of hazardous substances during construction, <u>including but not limited to the Underground Storage Tank Act in the event that an undisclosed underground storage tank is discovered.</u>	Revised based on additional site visit and comments on the Draft EIR regarding underground storage tanks
Page 2.6-31 and 2.6-32 Section 2.6.3.4	In Section 2.6.3.4, Airport Hazards, the Lot Coverage discussion for the Proposed Project was updated to be consistent with new Appendix T, Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Technical Memorandum, in the Final EIR.	Revised based on comments received on the Draft EIR regarding airport hazards and to be consistent with new Appendix T in the Final EIR
Page 2.6-32 and 2.6-33 Section 2.6.3.4	In Section 2.6.3.4, Airport Hazards, the Open Land discussion for the Proposed Project was updated to be consistent with new Appendix T in the Final EIR.	Revised based on comments received on the Draft EIR regarding airport hazards and to be consistent with new Appendix T in the Final EIR
Page 2.6-33 Section 2.6.3.4	In Section 2.6.3.4, Airport Hazards, the Structures discussion for the Proposed project has been updated.	Revised based on comments received on the Draft EIR on airport hazards
Page 2.6-34 to 2.6-36 Section 2.6.3.4	In Section 2.6.3.4, Airport Hazards, the Glare discussion was updated to be consistent with the 2021 Glare Study (Appendix A to the Visual Resources Report in the Final EIR).	Revised based on comments received on the Draft EIR on airport hazards and to be consistent with the updated 2021 Glare Study
Page 2.6-49 Section 2.6.3.7	In Section 2.6.3.7, text has been deleted as follows: The aboveground (detachable) equipment and structures would be disassembled and removed from the site.	Clarification that equipment and structures would be dissembled and removed, including underground connections
Page 2.6-53 Section 2.6.5	In Section 2.6.5, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, the Airport Hazards discussion has been updated with new information from the 2021 Glare Study for the Proposed Project and to discuss the implementation of PDF-HAZ-1.	Revised based on comments received on the Draft EIR regarding airport hazards and to be consistent with new Appendix T in the Final EIR
Page 2.6-56 Section 2.6.6	In Section 2.6.6, Mitigation and Project Design Features, Project Design Feature PDF-HAZ-1 has been added to the Final EIR.	New Project Design Feature PDF-HAZ-1 has been added in response to comments received on Draft EIR during public review regarding airport hazards and glare

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.6-57 and 2.6-58 Section 2.6.7	In Section 2.6.7, Conclusion, the Airport Hazards discussion has been updated.	Text added in response to comments received on Draft EIR during public review regarding airport hazards and glare
<i>Section 2.7 – Hydrology and Water Quality</i>		
Page 2.7-1 Section 2.7	In Section 2.7, Hydrology and Water Quality, the list of reports the analysis was based upon on Page 2.7-1 has been updated to include the JVR Energy Park Project Revised Construction Water Demand Memorandum (Appendix B of the Groundwater Investigation Report), the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) and Hydrology Technical Memorandum (Appendix U).	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.7-2 Section 2.6	In Section 2.7, Hydrology and Water Quality, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. These Proposed Project revisions will not change any significance determinations in this section and Section 2.7 has been revised to account for the Proposed Project changes.	Provide description of minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 2.7-18 Section 2.7.3.1	In Section 2.7.3.1, Hydrology and Drainage Patterns, the following text was added and/or deleted to the analysis on Page 2.7-18 of the Final EIR as follows: Project construction would involve clearing and grubbing of the existing vegetation within the 643-acre development footprint. Grading would be required throughout the development footprint. Grading is expected to be balanced on site, with approximately 264,000 <u>280,000</u> cubic yards of cut redistributed across the site. It was determined that the Project would add 4.9 <u>6.65</u> acres (0.0030 <u>0.1004</u> square miles) of impervious surface, an amount not large enough to significantly affect runoff. <u>Impervious surface calculations are discussed further in Appendix U to the Final EIR.</u>	As discussed in Appendix B, JVR Energy Park Project Revised Construction Water Demand, of the Groundwater Resources Technical Memorandum (Appendix J of the EIR), the amount of grading has been updated. As discussed in Appendix U, Hydrology Technical Memorandum, the calculation of impervious surface area has been updated.
Pages 2.7-19 and 2.7-20 Section 2.7.3.1	In Section 2.7.3.1, Hydrology and Drainage Patterns, the following text has been added as follows: <u>Further, the portions of the Project site not overlain by roads, inverters, battery storage containers, the substation, and the Switchyard Facilities shall be</u>	Additional text regarding new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 in response to comments received on the Draft EIR regarding fugitive dust during Project operations

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	<u>reseeded with a native hydroseed mix to provide vegetation cover during Project operations in accordance with Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3.</u>	
Page 2.7-23 Section 2.7.3.2	In Section 2.7.3.2, Flood Hazards, the following text was added to the analysis as follows: Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 describes the types of perimeter flood fencing that would be installed. It Installation of the perimeter fencing could result in additional scour and/or sedimentation that would not have otherwise occurred absent the perimeter fencing.	Additional text regarding new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 regarding fencing types and flood flows
Page 2.7-32 Section 2.7.3.4	In Section 2.7.3.4, Groundwater Resources, the analysis on page 2.7-32 was updated to account for the new annual operating demand post construction and to clarify the operational life of the Proposed Project.	Clarification on water demand based on revised Proposed Project in Final EIR
Page 2.7-33 Section 2.7.3.4	In Section 2.7.3.4, Groundwater Resources, the analysis under County Threshold of 50% Reduction in Groundwater Storage section and the analysis in Well Interference and Groundwater-Dependent Ecosystems section has been updated.	Revised analysis
Page 2.7-37 Section 2.7.4.1	In Section 2.7.4.1, Hydrology, Drainage Patterns and Water Quality, the analysis has been updated to include the new impervious area calculation.	As discussed in Appendix U, Hydrology Technical Memorandum, the calculation of impervious surface area has been updated. Text revised to reflect Appendix U.
Page 2.7-40 Section 2.7.5	In Section 2.7.5, Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation, the text in the Drainage Patterns discussion was updated to show the increase in impervious surface acres and to include Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4.	As discussed in Appendix U, Hydrology Technical Memorandum, the calculation of impervious surface area has been updated. Text added to reflect Appendix U. Text also updated to include new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4
Page 2.7-44 Section 2.7.6	In Section 2.7.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3.	New Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR regarding fugitive dust during Project operations
Page 2.7-44 Section 2.7.6	In Section 2.7.6, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 was added.	New Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-4 added to address perimeter fencing types and flood flows

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.7-44 Section 2.7.7	In section 2.7.7, Conclusion, under the Hydrology and Drainage Patterns discussion, text was added regarding Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3 (vegetative cover during Project operation)	Clarification based on new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3
<i>Section 2.8 – Mineral Resources</i>		
Page 2.8-1 Section 2.8	In the introduction to Section 2.8.1, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) was added to the list of technical data relied upon for Section 2.8, Mineral Resources.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.8-1 Section 2.8	In the introduction to Section 2.8, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. Text has also been added stating these Proposed Project revisions will not change any significance determinations in Section 2.8. The Section has been revised to account for these Proposed Project changes.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Pages 2.8-9 and 2.8-10 Section 2.8.3	In Section 2.8.3, Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance, the analysis under Guideline 1 has been updated for minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Analysis revised to reflect minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.8-17 Figure 2.8-1	Figure 2.8-1, Potential Mitigation Lands, has been updated to reflect the 8.1-acre site for the Switchyard Facilities.	Figure updated in response reflect updated Switchyard Facilities development footprint.
<i>Section 2.9 – Noise</i>		
Page 2.9-1 Section 2.9	In the introduction to Section 2.9.1, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) memorandum has been added to the list of technical data relied upon for Section 2.9, Noise.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.9-1 Section 2.9	In the introduction to Section 2.9, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. The text has also been updated to state these Proposed Project revisions will not change any significance determinations in Section 2.9. The Section has been updated to account for these Project changes.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 2.9-12 and 2.9-13 Section 2.9.4.1	In Section 2.9.4.1, Methodology and Noise Calculations, the Noise Formulas and Calculations discussion has been updated to include a new assumption regarding the height of all modeled noise sources and describing this conservative analysis. A footnote regarding the methodology has also been added.	Text revisions made based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.9-14 Section 2.9.4.2	In Section 2.9.4.2, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Exterior (Non-Construction), the Inverter/Transformer Platforms noise discussion has been updated.	Revisions made based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.9-14 and 2.9-15 Section 2.9.4.2	In Section 2.9.4.2, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Exterior (Non-Construction), the Battery Energy Storage System noise discussion has been updated. A footnote has also been added.	Revisions made based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Pages 2.9-16 to 2.9-20 Section 2.9.4.2	In Section 2.9.4.2, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Exterior (Non-Construction), the Mobile Sources (PV Pane Power-washing) discussion has been updated to discuss how the predicted noise levels for the self-propelled PV panel washing option were remodeled in the Acoustical Assessment Report (Appendix M to the Final EIR) based on a new set of assumptions regarding how the self-propelled PV panel washing process would occur in proximity to an NSLU or its property line adjoining the Proposed Project. The analysis discusses a new finding and includes new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1.	Revisions made based on a new set of assumptions regarding how the self-propelled PV panel washing process would occur in proximity to an NSLU or its property line
Pages 2.9-20 and 2.9-21 Section 2.9.4.2	In Section 2.9.4.2, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Exterior (Non-Construction), the Switchyard Facilities discussion has been updated to discuss the sound that may be produced from corona associated with the interconnection lines.	Clarification added regarding corona
Page 2.9-21 Section 2.9.4.2	In Section 2.9.4.2, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Exterior (Non-Construction), the conclusions about the combined operation noise at NSLU have been updated.	Revisions made based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.9-22 Section 2.9.4.3	In Section 2.9.4.3, NSLU Affected by Airborne Noise – Interior (Non-Construction), the analysis has been revised regarding onsite PV panel washing activity in accordance with PDF-NOI-1.	Revisions regarding updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M) and implementation of a new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-23 Section 2.9.4.4	In Section 2.9.4.4, Proposed-Project-Generated Noise, Operation, the analysis of the Combined Stationary Noise Sources has been updated to include a	Revisions regarding updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M) and implementation of a new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	description of how a new representative location (B4) at a residence on the south side of Old Highway 80 was added to predict outdoor noise levels.	
Pages 2.9-23 and 2.9-24 Section 2.9.4.4	In Section 2.9.4.4, Proposed-Project-Generated Noise, Operation, the analysis of the Mobile Sources (PV Panel Power-washing) has been updated to discuss the implementation of the distance constraints of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1.	Revisions regarding updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M) and implementation of a new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-25 Section 2.9.4.5	In Section 2.9.4.5, Proposed-Project-Generated Noise, Low-Frequency, the G-weighted noise level discussion and estimates have been updated.	Updated based on updated analysis in the Acoustical Assessment Report (Appendix M of the Final EIR)
Page 2.9-27 Section 2.9.4.6	In Section 2.9.4.6, Proposed Project-Generated Noise, Construction and Decommissioning, an analysis of the Decommissioning Activities has been inserted.	Updated based on updated analysis in the Acoustical Assessment Report (Appendix M of the Final EIR)
Page 2.9-38 Section 2.9.6	In Section 2.9.6, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, the Operation Noise Levels analysis has been updated to include the implementation of PDF-NOI-1.	Additional text included regarding the updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of a new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Pages 2.9-42 and 2.9-43 Section 2.9.7	In Section 2.9.7, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, M-NOI-2 has been revised on Page 2.9-42 and 2.9-43 of the Final EIR.	Text deleted in M-NOI-2 based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-47 Section 2.9.7	In Section 2.9.7, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, PDF-NOI-1 has been inserted as a Project Design Feature.	Revisions based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-48 Section 2.9.8	In Section 2.9.8, Conclusion, the Operational Noise conclusion has been updated to include the implementation of PDF-NOI-1	Revisions based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-50 Table 2.9-2	Table 2.9-2, Measured Outdoor Ambient Noise Levels in Proposed Project Vicinity, has been updated.	Revisions based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1
Page 2.9-51 Table 2.9-4	Table 2.9-4, Predicted Proposed Project Stationary Equipment Operations Noise Level at Property Line and Off-Site Locations has been updated.	Revisions based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M of the Final EIR) and implementation of new Project Design Feature PDF-NOI-1

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.9-53 Figure 2.9-1	Figure 2.9-1, Environmental Setting, has been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project site plan.	Updated Figure based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.9-55 Figure 2.9-2	Figure 2.9-2, Noise Measurement Locations, has been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project site plan.	Updated Figure based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 2.9-57 Figure 2.9-3	Figure 2.9-3, Predicted Project Operations Noise Contour (45 dBA Leq) has been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project site plan.	Updated Figure based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
<i>Section 2.10 - Paleontological Resources</i>		
Page 2.10-1 Section 2.10	In Section 2.10-1, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) has been added to the list of technical data relied upon for Section 2.10, Paleontological Resources.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.10-1 Section 2.10	In Section 2.10, Paleontological Resources, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. Text has also been added clarifying that this Section has been revised to account for the Proposed Project revisions.	Update based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 2.10.6 Section 2.10.3	In Section 2.10.3, Analysis of Project Effects and Determination of Significance, the analysis of the Proposed Project has been updated to account for minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Clarification regarding the updated amount of grading required for the Proposed Project.
Page 2.10-11 Figure 2.10-11	Figure 2.10-11, Paleontological Resource Potential, has been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project site plan.	Updated Figure based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project.
<i>Section 2.11 - Tribal Cultural Resources</i>		
Page 2.11-1 Section 2.11	In Section 2.11, Tribal Cultural Resources, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. The text has also been updated to clarify how this Section has been revised to account for the Proposed Project revisions.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 2.11-14 Section 2.11.3	In Section 2.11.3, the analysis has been updated to account for minor Proposed Project revisions such as the decreased development footprint, trenching that	Clarification regarding the grading and trenching required for the Proposed Project.

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	would occur for the water main realignment and increased grading from 264,000 cubic yard to 280,000 cubic yards of cut redistributed across the site.	
Page 2.11-16 Section 2.11.3	In Section 2.11.3, Analysis of Project Effects and Determination of Significance, the Switchyard Facilities analysis has been updated.	Updated based on revised Switchyard Facilities description
Page 2.11-17 Section 2.11.4	In Section 2.11.4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, the text has been updated to clarify that the artifact collections from any potentially significant site would also be curated at a facility with the San Diego County, Imperial County or with an affiliated tribal curation facility.	Clarification in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 2.11-20 Section 2.11.6	In Section 2.11.6, Mitigation Measures, M-TCR-2 Archeological and Tribal Monitoring, has been revised to state the Project Archaeologist shall notify the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Nation, and the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians of the unanticipated discovery or for identification of human remains. M-TCR-2 was also revised to state should a potential TCR be identified, the Project archaeologist shall consult with consulting tribes for a final determination.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.11-21 Section 2.11.6	In Section 2.11.6, Mitigation Measures, M-TCR-2 has been updated to clarify that in the final report shall provide evidence that all prehistoric materials have been curated at a San Diego County, Imperial County or with an affiliated tribal curation facility.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 2.11-23 Figure 2.11-1	Figure 2.11-1, ADI Map, has been updated to reflect the revised Proposed Project site plan.	Figure updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
<i>Section 2.12 – Wildfire</i>		
Page 2.12-1 Section 2.12	In Section 2.12-1, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) has been added to the information contained in Wildfire Section 2.12.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 2.12-1 Section 2.12	In Section 2.12, Wildfire, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. Text has also been added to clarify how this Section has been updated to account for the changed Project area.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 2.12-33 Section 2.12.3.2	In Section 2.12.3.2, Wildfire Risk, under the Defensible Space and Fuel Management discussion, text has been corrected regarding the water tanks.	Correction
Page 2.12-33 Section 2.12.3.2	In Section 2.12.3.2, Wildfire Risk, under the Construction and Decommissioning discussion, the text has been added and/or deleted regarding Switchyard Facilities and equipment to be decommissioned.	Clarification based on Switchyard Facilities description in Final EIR and to clarify equipment to be removed, which includes underground collection system
Pages 2.12-35 and 2.12-36, Section 2.12.3.3	In Section 2.12.3.3, Infrastructure Contribution to Increased Wildfire Risk analysis, the components of the Proposed Project have been updated to reflect minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project.
Page 2.12-38 Section 2.12.3.3	In Section 2.12.3.3, Infrastructure Contribution to Increased Wildfire Risk, text has been added regarding breakaway or flow through fencing	Clarification regarding fencing for flood flows
Page 2.12-40 Section 2.12.4	In Section 2.12-4, Cumulative Impact Analysis, text has been added and/or deleted from the Emergency Response analysis as follows: Therefore, the Proposed Project, in combination with cumulative projects, would result in a cumulatively considerable impact to emergency response an adopted emergency response and emergency evacuation plan (Impact WF-CU-1)	Correction
Page 2.12-43 Section 2.12.5	In Section 2.12.5, Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation, the following text has been added or deleted from the discussion: The Proposed Project, in combination with cumulative projects, would result in a cumulatively considerable impact to emergency response an adopted emergency response and emergency evacuation plan (Impact WF-CU-1).	Correction
Page 2.12-42 Section 2.12.6	In Section 2.12.6, Mitigation Measures, the following text from M-WF-1 has been corrected for consistency with the revised Fire Protection Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motion sensor + illuminated (and/or reflective) signage at main entrance with inverter and contact information for a 24-hour remote operations center for the Project electrical grid disconnect and isolation information and identification (Required measure). 	Correction for consistency with the Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N of the Final EIR)
Page 2.12-44 Section 2.12.6	In Section 2.12.6, Mitigation Measures, the following text from M-WF-2 has been added and/or deleted for consistency with the Construction Fire Protection Plan: 	Correction for consistency with the Construction Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N of the Final EIR)

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project will be equipped with at least one and up to two water trucks each of 4,000- gallon capacity During construction, the project site will have at a minimum two pick-up trucks outfitted with Type 6 Skid Mounted Units, including fire pump, hose, and nozzle, that are staffed with personnel properly trained to use the equipment. 	
Page 2.12-49 Section 2.12.7	<p>In Section 2.12.7, Conclusion, the Cumulative Impacts discussion has been revised as follows:</p> <p><u>Emergency Response and Emergency Evacuation</u></p> <p>As discussed in Section 2.12.4 above, Cumulative Impact Analysis, the Proposed Project, in combination with other cumulative projects, would have a cumulatively considerable impact related to emergency response and emergency evacuation (Impact WF-CU-1). With implementation of mitigation measures M-WF-1(FPP), M-WF-2(CFPP) and M-WF-3(Fire Protection and Mitigation Agreement), the Project would result in a less than significant cumulative impact.</p>	Correction in Cumulative Impacts
<i>Section 3.1.1 Agricultural Resources</i>		
Page 3.1.1-1 Section 3.1.1	In Section 3.1.1, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) has been added,	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.1-1 Section 3.1.1	In Section 3.1.1, Agricultural Resources, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. Text has also been added to clarify that this Section has not been updated to account for the changed Project area and conservatively reports impacts associated with a 643-acre Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.1-3 Section 3.1.1.1	In Section 3.1.1.1, Existing Conditions, under the Current Historical Agricultural Use, the text has been revised to clarify analysis was based on the most recent data from the California Department of Conservation (2018)	Updated reference to data from California Department of Conservation
Page 3.1.1-14 Section 3.1.1.3.2	In Section 3.1.1.3.2, Direct Impacts to Important Agricultural Resources, under the under the Primary LARA Model Factors discussion, a footnote has been added regarding the LARA model and dissolved solids.	Clarification regarding LARA model

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 3.1.1-15 Section 3.1.1.3.2	In Section 3.1.1.3.2, Direct Impacts to Important Agricultural Resources, the Switchyard Facilities discussion has been updated to state the total area of the Switchyard Facilities would be approximately 8.1 acres.	Clarification regarding Switchyard Facilities
Page 3.1.1-26 Table 3.1.1-4	Table 3.1.1-4, Agricultural Goals and Policies, under the Agricultural Goal of the Mountain Empire Subregional Plan, the Switchyard Facilities and the realigned water main have been added to the analysis.	Revised based on addition of the water main realignment and updated Switchyard Facilities description in the Final EIR
<i>Section 3.1.2 – Energy</i>		
Page 3.1.2-1 Section 3.1.2	In the introduction to Section 3.1.2, the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) was added to the list of documents relied upon.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.2-1 Section 3.1.2	In the introduction to Section 3.1.2, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. Text has also been added to clarify how this Section has been updated to account for the Proposed Project revisions.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.2-13 Section 3.1.2.3	In Section 3.1.2.3, Analysis of Proposed project Effects and Determination as to Significance, text was updated regarding the revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.2-15 Section 3.1.2.3.1	In Section 3.1.2.3.1, the analysis of the Operational Use discussion has been updated to reflect the revisions to the Proposed Project including the use of bi-facial PV modules and increased wattage. Due to these technological improvements, the estimated amount of renewable electricity production for the revised Proposed Project is approximately 283,000 MWh/yr.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.2-16 Section 3.1.2.3.1	In Section 3.1.2.3.1, under the Construction and Decommissioning Use analysis, the estimated hours of operation of diesel-fueled construction and decommissioning equipment was revised.	Correction
Page 3.1.2-17 Section 3.1.2.3.1	In Section 3.1.2.3.1, under the Construction and Decommissioning Use analysis, the estimated gallons of petroleum consumed during the construction and decommissioning phases was revised.	Correction to include construction and decommissioning duration.
Page 3.1.2-19 Section 3.1.2.3.1	In Section 3.1.2.3.1, under the Operational Use discussion, text has been added to clarify water would be provided by onsite wells.	Clarification water would be provided by onsite wells

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 3.1.2-20 Section 3.1.2.3.1	In Section 3.1.2.3.1, under the Operational Use discussion, the number of megawatt hours of renewable electricity produced over the Proposed Project's 35-year lifetime was updated.	Clarification regarding the renewable energy produced over the Proposed Project's lifetime
Page 3.1.2-21 Section 3.1.2.3.2	In Section 3.1.2.3.2, Conflict with Energy Standards, Regulations, Plans and Policies, the MWh/yr the Proposed Project is expected to produce was updated.	Clarification regarding the energy the Proposed Project is expected to produce
Page 3.1.2-22 Section 3.1.2.3.2	In Section 3.1.2.3.2, Conflict with Energy Standards, Regulations, Plans and Policies, the MWh of renewable energy the Proposed Project is estimated to generate over its 35-year lifetime was updated.	Clarification regarding the energy the Proposed Project is expected to generate
Page 3.1.2-22 Section 3.1.2.5	In Section 3.1.2.5, Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation, the MWh of renewable electricity the Proposed Project would generate over its 35-year lifetime has been updated.	Clarification regarding the energy the Proposed Project is expected to generate
Page 3.1.2-23 Section 3.1.2.7	In Section 3.1.2.7, Conclusion, the MWh of renewable electricity the Proposed Project would generate over its 35-year lifetime has been updated.	Clarification regarding the energy the Proposed Project is expected to generate
Page 3.1.2-24 Table 3.1.2-1	Table 3.1.2-1, Hours of Operation for Construction and Decommissioning Equipment – JVR Energy Park, was updated to include the Water Main Realignment Component.	Updated to include realignment of an existing water main
Page 3.1.2-25 Table 3.1.2.2	Table 3.1.2-2, Construction and Decommissioning Equipment Diesel Demand – JVR Energy Park was updated to include the Water Main Realignment Component.	Updated to include realignment of an existing water main
Page 3.1.2-28 Table 3.1.2-5	Table 3.1.2-5, Construction and Decommissioning Haul Truck Diesel Demand - JVR Energy Park was updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project. Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR	Updated to include the minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
<i>Section 3.1.3 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>		
Page 3.1.3-1 Section 3.1.3	In the introduction to Section 3.1.3, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, a Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R) and an Energy Generation Technical Memorandum (Appendix V) was added to the technical reports relied upon.	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.3-1 Section 3.1.3	In the introduction to Section 3.1.3, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	Highway 80 and the water main realignment. The text has also been updated to discuss how this Section has been revised to account for this change.	
Page 3.1.3-9 Section 3.1.3.2	In Section 3.1.3.2, Regulatory Setting, the Federal discussion has been updated to include the latest actions of the Biden Administration regarding Greenhouse Gas Emissions.	Revisions made to discuss Greenhouse Gas emissions policies under the Biden Administration
Pages 3.1.3-16 and 3.1.3-17, Section 3.1.3.2	In Section 3.1.3.2, Regulatory Setting, a discussion of the Trump Administration and Environmental Protection Agency's intentions to halt federal regulatory activities to reduce GHG emissions has been deleted. A discussion of the Biden Administration's Executive Order 13990 for the Environmental Protection Agency was added to the discussion.	Text regarding policies of the Administration updated to reflect current administration
Page 3.1.3-21 Section 3.1.3.2	In Section 3.1.3.2, Regulatory Setting, under the Climate Action Plan discussion, text has been added to state the County Board of Supervisors decertified the Final SEIR and rescinded approval of the Climate Action Plan. However, direction was given to amend the EIR to address the court's finding and continue the GHG reduction measures that were not challenged in court.	Revisions made to discuss the regulatory setting under the Climate Action Plan discussion
Page 3.1.3-27 Section 3.1.3.3.1	In Section 3.1.3.3.1, the construction phases on Page 3.1.3-27 of the Final EIR have been updated to include the water main realignment.	Updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.3-27 Section 3.1.3.3.1	In Section 3.1.3.3.1, the following text has been added and/or deleted on page 3.1.3-27 of the Water discussion as follows: <u>Early in the Proposed Project planning process, the Applicant considered the potential of using water from the Jacumba Community Services District as back up to onsite well water; however, subsequently it was determined that only onsite well water would be used. The water would be sourced from on-site groundwater wells with backup water coming from the Jacumba Community Services District. However, this the greenhouse gas emissions analysis conservatively assumed all water would be provided by the Jacumba Community Services District, which would be delivered via water truck. Although the Jacumba Community Services District will not be relied upon for backup water, the greenhouse gas emissions analysis was not revised because it is more conservative as trucking water to the site would cause the Proposed</u>	Clarification that the Jacumba Community Services District would not be relied upon for back water

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	<u>Project to produce more greenhouse gas emissions than producing water from onsite wells. CalEEMod default emission factors were assumed</u>	
Page 3.1.3-30 Section 3.1.3.3.1	In Section 3.1.3.3.1, the text on Page 3.1.3-30 of the Switchyard Facilities has been added and/or deleted on Page 3.1.3-30 as follows: This is a conservative assumption as the water will <u>exclusively</u> primarily come from on- site groundwater wells <u>and trucking water to the site would cause the Proposed Project to produce more greenhouse gas emissions than producing water from onsite wells.</u>	Updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Pages 3.1.3-31 and 3.1.3-32, Section 3.1.3.3.1	In Section 3.1.3.3.1, under the analysis of avoided GHG Emissions, text has been added to explain that the Proposed Project in the Draft EIR included the use of mono-facial PV modules. Subsequent public review of the Draft EIR, revisions to the Proposed Project include the use of bifacial PV modules and modules with increased wattage. Due to these technological improvements, the estimated renewable electricity production of the Project has been increased to approximately 283,000 MWh/yr. The analysis has also been updated due to these technological improvements.	Updated to include use of bifacial PV module with increased wattage and to reflect the new Energy Generation Memorandum (Appendix V to the Final EIR)
Page 3.1.3-37 Section 3.1.3.7	In Section 3.1.3.7, Conclusion, the amount of metric tons of CO _{2e} produced by the Proposed Project was updated.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.3-39 Table 3.1.3-3	Table 3.1.3-3, Estimated Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions has been updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.3-39 Table 3.1.3-4	Table 3.1.3-4, Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions has been updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.3-41 Table 3.1.3-9	Table 3.1.3-9, Estimated Annual Operational and Avoided Greenhouse Gas Emissions has been updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
<i>Section 3.1.4 – Land Use and Planning</i>		
Page 3.1.4-1 Section 3.1.4	In the introduction to Section 3.1.4, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment. The text has also been updated to clarify how the changes will result in land use and planning impacts that are	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	equal to or less than presented in the Draft EIR and will not change any significance determinations in this Section.	
Page 3.1.4-8 Section 3.1.4.2	In Section 3.1.4.2, Regulatory Setting, Policy LU-1.9: Achievement of Planned Densities was added under County of San Diego General Plan Land Use Element discussion.	Added Policy LU-1-9 in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 3.1.4-21 Section 3.1.4.2	In Section 3.1.4.2, Regulatory Setting, a Community Character Goal was added under the Mountain Empire Subregional Plan discussion.	Added Community Character Goal based on comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 3.1.4-24 Section 3.1.4.2	In Section 3.1.4.2, Regulatory Setting, under the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance discussion, text has been added to explain that the Switchyard Facilities are considered a Minor Impact Utility pursuant to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2883.	Added text to explain the Minor Impact Utility zoning ordinance
Page 3.1.4-26 Section 3.1.4.2	In Section 3.1.4.2, Regulatory Setting, under the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance discussion, language has been updated to discuss the increased setbacks along Old Highway 80 and along the Jacumba Community Park.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.4-38 Section 3.1.4.3.2	In Section 3.1.4.3.2, Conflict with an Applicable Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation analysis, text has been added that states pursuant to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2884, until a Specific Plan applicable to the property is adopted, Minor Impact Utilities are permitted use in the area zoned as Specific Planning Area upon issuance of a Minor Use Permit.	Clarification regarding County Zoning Ordinance 2884
Page 3.1.4-38 Section 3.1.4.3.2	In Section 3.1.4.3.2, Conflict with an Applicable Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation analysis, language has been added that states while the Major Use Permit will govern both the interim uses subject to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2888 and the Switchyard Facilities, as a Minor Impact Utilities use, will not be required to be decommissioned because it is only subject to County Zoning Ordinance Section 2884 and will be transferred to SDG&E and only subject to California Public Utilities Commission jurisdiction.	Clarification regarding Major and Minor Impact Utilities uses
Page 3.1.4-47 Table 3.1.4-4	In Table 3.1.4-4, County General Plan Consistency Analysis, Policy LU-1.9: Achievement of Planned Densities and analysis has been added	Analysis regarding Policy LU-1-9 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 3.1.4-70 Table 3.1.4-4	In Table 3.1.4-4, County General Plan Consistency Analysis, text has been added to Policy COS-15.6: Design and Construction Methods to clarify that	Analysis regarding Policy COS-15.6 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	during operations, the Proposed Project would comply with PDF-HYD-3 to maintain vegetative cover under the solar panels.	
Page 3.1.4-78 Table 3.1.4-5	In Table 3.1.4-5, Mountain Empire Subregional Plan Consistency Analysis, the Community Character Goal and analysis was added.	Analysis regarding Community Character Goal added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Pages 3.1.4-89 and 3.1.4-90 Table 3.1.4-6	In Table 3.1.4-6, Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Consistency Analysis, Policy JAC 2.8 (a) analysis has been updated to address the minor revisions to the Proposed Project as described in Appendix T, Airport Land Use Compatibility Memorandum, in the Final EIR.	Analysis regarding ALUCP Policy JAC 2.8 (a) added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 3.1.4.-90 Table 3.1.4-6	In Table 3.1.4-6, Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Consistency Analysis, Policy JAC 2. Open Land analysis has been updated to address the minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Analysis regarding Jacumba ALUCP Policy JAC-2 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Page 3.1.4-90 Table 3.1.4-6	In Table 3.1.4-6, Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Consistency Analysis, Policy JAC 2.11 Parcels Lying with Two or More Safety Zones analysis has been updated to address the minor revisions to the Proposed Project as described in Appendix T, Airport Land Use Compatibility Memorandum, in the Final EIR.	Analysis regarding Jacumba ALUCP Policy JAC.2.11 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
Pages 3.1.4-93 and 3.1.4-94, Table 3.1.4-6	In Table 3.1.4-6, Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Consistency Analysis, Policy JAC 3.5: Other Flight Hazards analysis has been updated to include analysis from the 2021 Glare Analysis and the inclusion of PDF-HAZ-1.	Analysis regarding Policy JAC 3.5 added in response to comments received on the Draft EIR
<i>Section 3.1.5 – Parks and Recreation</i>		
Page 3.1.5-1 Section 3.1.5	In the introduction to Section 3.1.5, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
<i>Section 3.1.6 – Public Services</i>		
Page 3.1.6-1 Section 3.1.6	In the introduction to Section 3.1.6, text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 3.1.6-11 Section 3.1.6.3.2	In Section 3.1.6.3.2, Police Protection, under the Switchyard Facilities, text was updated to reflect the Switchyard Facilities and that lighting would be low impact.	Updated for consistency with Project Description in Chapter 1 of the Final EIR
Page 3.1.6-12 Section 3.1.6.3.3	In Section 3.1.6.3.3, Schools, under the Analysis discussion, the construction duration was revised from 16 months to 13 months and the decommissioning duration was revised from 12 months to 10 months.	Updated for consistency with Project Description in Chapter 1 of the Final EIR
<i>Section 3.1.7 – Transportation</i>		
Page 3.1.7-1 Section 3.1.7	In the introduction to Section 3.1.7, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.7-11 Section 3.1.7.4.2	In Section 3.1.7.4.2, Vehicle Miles Traveled, under the Analysis discussion text was added as follows: <u>With the exception of one major maintenance inspection which would take place annually, requiring approximately 20 personnel for approximately one week, the operation of the Proposed Project would not generate significant number of daily trips.</u>	Clarification in the Vehicle Miles Traveled analysis
Page 3.1.7-15 Section 3.1.7.4.3	In Section 3.1.7.4.3, Hazards, under the Analysis discussion, the time the workers would arrive and depart the site was corrected from 6:00 am to 4:00 pm to 7:00 am to 4:00 pm on page 3.1.7-14 of the Final EIR.	Correction
Page 3.1.7-16 Section 3.1.7.4.3	In Section 3.1.7.4.3, Hazards, under the Analysis discussion, the following text was added as follows: <u>A Glare Study was prepared for the Proposed Project which evaluates and documents any occurrences of glare that would potentially cause distractions to viewers from roadways. Solar facility operations were analyzed at Key Observation Points located at I-8, Old Highway 80 and Carrizo Gorge Road. Based on the Glare Study results, no potential glare is anticipated for motorists. The Glare Study is included as Appendix A to the Visual Resources Report (Appendix B of the Final EIR).</u>	Added text based on analysis in the new February 2021 Glare Study

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 3.1.7-17 Section 3.1.7.4.3	In Section 3.1.7.4.3, Hazards, under the Switchyard Facilities Analysis, the following text was added as follows: The switchyard Switchyard Facilities site would be accessed from Carrizo Gorge Road <u>via an existing SDG&E access road which would be improved.</u>	Clarification of access to the Switchyard Facilities.
Page 3.1.7-23 Section 3.1.7.7	In Section 3.1.7.7, Mitigation Measures and Project Design Features, a new Project Design Feature PDF-TR-4 has been added that requires the Project applicant to implement a voluntary construction period Transportation Demand Management program to encourage construction workers to carpool or use alternative transportation modes.	Additional text regarding new Project Design Feature PDF-TR-4 in response to comments received on Draft EIR during public review
Page 3.1.7-24 Section 3.1.7.8	In Section 3.1.7.8, Conclusion, under the Hazards discussion text was added as follows: <u>Based on the Glare Study for the Proposed Project, no potential glare is anticipated for motorists on I-8, Old Highway 80, and Carrizo Gorge Road.</u>	Clarification based on the new February 2021 Glare Study
<i>Section 3.1.8 – Utilities and Service Systems</i>		
Page 3.1.8-1 Section 3.1.8	In the introduction to Section 3.1.8, the list of reports the analysis was based upon has been updated to include the JVR Energy Park Project Revised Construction Water Demand Memorandum (Appendix B of the Groundwater Investigation Report) and the Proposed Project Revisions Technical Memorandum (Appendix R)	Updated list of technical documents relied upon in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.8-1 Section 3.1.8	In the introduction to Section 3.1.8, the text has been updated to include minor revisions to the Proposed Project including the increased setback from Jacumba Community Park, the increased setbacks from the north and south sides of Old Highway 80 and the water main realignment.	Updated based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 3.1.8-14 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1, Water/Wastewater under the Construction discussion of estimated total construction water demand for the Proposed Project was updated from <u>approximately</u> 140 acre-feet to 141.4 acre-feet (af). The construction period was updated from 365 days to 13 months.	Updated for consistency with Appendix B, JVR Energy Park Project Revised Construction Water Demand, of the Groundwater Resources Technical Memorandum (Appendix J of the EIR) the updated construction duration in Chapter 1 of the Final EIR.
Page 3.1.8-15 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1, Water Wastewater under the Total Estimated Water Demand for Proposed Project, the text has been revised as follows:	Updated water demand and clarification of the term of the Major Use Permit

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	In summary, the Project would use up to 140-141.4 af (45.6 46.1 million gallons) during a 13-month period for construction for 1 year , approximately 11 afy during operations and maintenance over 38 years, and 50 af for a 10-month period during decommissioning. <u>The operational life of the Proposed Project under the Major Use Permit is approximately 35 years; however, solely for the purposes of conservatively estimating operation water demand a period of 38 years was used.</u>	
Page 3.1.8-16 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Estimated Future Demand by all Users of the Basin discussion, the text has been revised as follows: Potable groundwater use from JCSD, the Jacumba Valley Ranch Water Company, and private domestic users. JCSD has the potential to serve non-potable from the Highland Center and the Park Well. JCSD completed a manganese water treatment system for Wells #7 and #8 that is serving all potable water demands for its customers (Appendix J). This treatment system came online on March 6, 2020. Wells #7 and #8 source water from the fractured rock aquifer rather than the Jacumba Valley alluvial aquifer. The future projected water demand conservatively evaluates the Proposed Project and other projects taking place concurrently. An additional 140-141.4 af would be extracted during Proposed Project construction, resulting in <u>an annual 1-year</u> extraction of rate of approximately 442-443.4 af from the aquifer, assuming other groundwater users continue their current estimated extraction amounts.	Update of the source of water and the amount of water demand for the Proposed Project.
Page 3.1.8-17 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Supply from Well No. 2 and Well No. 3 discussion, the text has been revised as follows: These tests determined a maximum annual production of approximately 511 afy from well #2 and 564 afy from well #3, which are significantly greater than the Project water demand of 140-141.4 af of water during Project construction (13 months 4-year), 11 afy for ongoing operations and maintenance (<u>conservatively estimated to be 38 years 35-years</u>), and 50 af for decommissioning and dismantling (10 months 1-year).	Correction of the amount of water required and the duration of construction and operation
Page 3.1.8-17 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Groundwater Storage, text has been revised as follows:	Updated construction water demand

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	The Proposed Project proposes to extract groundwater for 1 year during construction at a maximum quantity of 140-141.4 af.	
Page 3.1.8-18 Section 3.1.8.3.1	<p>In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Supply and Demand Comparison discussion, the text has been revised as follows:</p> <p>The Proposed Project proposes to use 140-141.4 af during construction for 1 year. Assuming no recharge to the aquifer, the Proposed Project alone would reduce groundwater in storage by 1.6% during construction. As discussed above, the estimated maximum extraction from all known sources during the period of Proposed Project construction is 442-443.4 af. Thus, the total reduction of groundwater in storage from all sources during the construction period is estimated to be 4.9%. Assuming a Proposed Project lifetime of 40 years (1- 13 months year of construction, <u>conservative estimate of 38 years</u> of operation and maintenance, and <u>10 months</u> year of decommissioning), the Groundwater Investigation Report found that the Proposed Project would use 649-620.3 af of groundwater from the alluvial aquifer total. As discussed above, the most recent estimates calculated groundwater in storage in the aquifer to be 9,005 af. Other groundwater uses within the basin including reasonably foreseeable projects would use 1,054 af of water. This equates to a total water demand of 1,673-1,674.4 af, which results in an 18.6% reduction in storage over 40 years, assuming no recharge to the aquifer.</p>	Update of the construction, operation and decommissioning duration, the amount of acre feet in the alluvial aquifer total and the water demand of water for the Proposed Project
Page 3.1.8-20 Section 3.1.8.3.1	In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Stormwater discussion the analysis has been updated to reflect a new calculation of impervious surface area from 1.9 acres to 6.65 acres.	Update of calculation of impervious surface area for consistency with Appendix U in Final EIR
Page 3.1.8-21 Section 3.1.8.3.1	<p>In Section 3.1.8.3.1 under the Stormwater discussion, text has been added as follows:</p> <p><u>Further, after Project construction, the portions of the Project site not overlain by roads, inverters, battery storage containers, the substation, and the Switchyard Facilities shall be reseeded with a native hydroseed mix in accordance with PDF-HYD-3.</u></p>	Additional text regarding new Project Design Feature PDF-HYD-3
Page 3.1.8-27 Table 3.1.8-1	Table 3.1.8-1, Estimated Construction Water Demand on has been updated to account for an increased amount of grading.	Construction water demand has been updated to account for an increased amount of grading

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 3.1.8-28 Table 3.1.8-5	Table 3.1.8-5, Estimated Jacumba Valley Aquifer Groundwater Demand on page 3.1.8-29 of the Final EIR has been updated to account for an increased amount of grading.	Update to account for increased grading amount
<i>Chapter 4 – Alternatives</i>		
Page 4.2 Section 4.1	In Section 4.1, Rationale for Alternatives Selection, text revised to clarify that subsequent to public review of the Draft EIR, the Community Buffer Alternative was revised to include increased setbacks adjacent to Jacumba Community Park, increased setbacks along both sides of Old Highway 80 and the realignment of the existing water main.	Updated description of Community Buffer Alternative to include increased setbacks and water main realignment
Pages 4-13 and 4-14 Section 4.2.5	In Section 4.2.5, ECO Substation Connection Alternative (No Switchyard Facilities), the text and analysis in the Description, Feasibility, and Ability to Meet Project Objectives discussions has been updated.	Revised based on comments during public review of the Draft EIR
Pages 4-15 and 4-16 Section 4.2.6	In Section 4.2.6, Community Buffer and Southwest Corner Expansion, text was updated in the Description and Ability to Meet Project Objectives discussion.	Revised based on comments during public review of the Draft EIR
Page 4-17 Section 4.3.1	In Section 4.3.1, No Project Alternative Description and Setting, a footnote has been added as follows: <u>The water main relocation would also likely be required for the Buildout No Project Alternative, however, it is assumed that the environmental impacts of that relocation are included in the development impacts as described.</u>	Clarification in the No Project Alternative based on minor revisions to the Proposed Project
Page 4-22 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, Comparison of the Effects of the No Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, under the Buildout No Project Alternative discussion, the acreage of the buildout scenario has been updated from 257 to 374	Clarification in the No Project Buildout Alternative
Page 4-25 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, Comparison of the Effects of the No Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, under the Buildout No Project Alternative discussion, the amount of acre-feet needed for the total estimated groundwater extraction for the 40-year lifetime of the Proposed project has been updated from 2,673 acre feet to 1,674.4 acre feet.	Correction in estimated groundwater extraction
Page 4-27 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, Comparison of the Effects of the No Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, under the No Development No Project Alternative, the	Updated description of Switchyard Facilities consistent with Chapter 1 of the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	acreage of the Switchyard Facilities was updated from 3.2 acres to 7.1 acres of the 8.1-acres.	
Page 4-28 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, No Project Alternative Description and Setting, under the Buildout No Project Alternative, the Impact was changed from Impact NOI-2 to Impact NOI-3.	Correction
Page 4-30 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, the increased acreage anticipated under the Buildout No Project Alternative was corrected from 257 acres to 374 acres.	Correction
Page 4-32 Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, the estimated total GHG emission during the construction period was corrected on Page 4-32 of the Final EIR from 5,764 MT CO ₂ e to 5,776 MT CO ₂ e.	Correction
Page 4-33, Section 4.3.2	In Section 4.3.2, the following text has been revised as follows: However, the Proposed Project is also expected to produce <u>211,159-283,000</u> megawatt hours of electricity per year, providing a renewable energy source to achieve the RPS of 60% by 2030 and 100% by 2045. This renewable energy would offset <u>423,254 567,254</u> MT CO ₂ from 2022 through 2044, reducing GHG emissions generated by fossil fuel power plants during that time frame. After subtracting avoided GHG emissions from the Project's GHG emissions construction and operation , the Proposed Project would avoid approximately <u>296,744 540,721</u> MT CO ₂ e over its lifetime.	Correction
Pages 4-35 to 4-37 Section 4.4.1	In Section 4.4.1, Community Buffer Alternative Description and Setting, the description and setting discussions have been updated include increased setbacks and the water main realignment. The description has also been updated to discuss technological improvements to the solar panels	Revised the Community Buffer Alternative in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 4-36 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, the analysis has been updated to reflect the minor revisions to the Proposed Project.	Updated to reflect minor revisions to the Proposed Project in the Final EIR
Page 4-38 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Air Quality discussion the acreage of the Community Buffer Alternative was updated from 15.4 to 18.9 acres and the cancer risk from construction exhaust was updated from 2.93 to 2.97 in a million.	Correction and update

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Pages 4-38 to 4-29 Section 4.4.2	In the Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Biological Resources discussion the acreage of desert saltbrush scrub that must be mitigated was updated from 6.78 to 7.40 acres and fallow agriculture was updated from 5.35 to 6.63 acres.	Correction
Page 4-39 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Cultural Resources discussion, the amount of acres impacted was updated from 627.7 acres to 607.24 acres.	Updated to reflect revisions to Community Buffer Alternative in the Final EIR
Page 4-40 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Geology, Soils and Seismicity discussion the amount of acres in the buffer was updated from 15.4 to 18.9 acres.	Correction
Page 4-41 Section 4.4.2	In Hydrology and Water Quality discussion of Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, the amount of acres in the buffer was updated from 15.4 to 18.9 acres.	Correction
Page 4-41 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Mineral Resources discussion the analysis was updated to reflect the buffer area being 18.9 acres instead of 15.4 acres. The discussion was also updated to reflect the new acreage of desert saltbrush being and fallow agriculture that mitigate, and the total mitigation requirement for habitat.	Correction and update regarding biological resources impacts
Page 4-42 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Noise discussion the following text was deleted: As detailed in the Acoustical Analysis Report (Appendix M), the operational panel cleaning noise within 450 feet of residential uses would lead to potential operational noise impacts.	Updated to reflect the Acoustical Assessment Report in the Final EIR
Page 4-42 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, Comparison of the Effects of Community Buffer Alternative to the Proposed Project, Tribal Resources discussion, the acreage of the buffer was updated from 15.4 to 18.9 acres.	Correction
Pages 4-43 and 4-44 Section 4.4.2	In Section 4.4.2, the Summary of the Community Buffer Alternatives Analysis in Section of Section 4.4.2 was updated.	Updated to reflect revised Community Buffer Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-44 and 4-45 Section 4.5.1	In Section 4.5.1, the Reduced Project Description and Setting discussion in Section 4.5.1 was updated to reflect a development footprint from 501 acres to 484 acres. Text was added that the water main realignment south of the	Updated in the Reduced Project Alternative development footprint and to address the water main realignment

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
	SDG&E easement would be the same as the Proposed Project; however, no realignment would occur north of the SDG&E easement.	
Page 4-47 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Aesthetics discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-45 and 4-47 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Air Quality discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-45 and 4-48 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Biological Resource discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-45 and 4-49 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Cultural Resources discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-45 and 4-49 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Geology, Soils and Seismicity discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-45 and 4-50 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, the Hazards and Hazardous Materials discussion has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Pages 4-50 and 4-51 Section 4.5.2	In Section 4.5.2, Comparison of the Effects of Reduced Project Alternative to the Proposed Project, Mineral Resources discussion was updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Page 4-53 Section 4.5.3	In Section 4.5.3, the Summary of the Reduced Project Alternative Analysis the text has been updated.	Updated to reflect revisions to the Reduced Project Alternative in the Final EIR
Page 4-54 Section 4.7	In Section 4.7, Environmentally Superior Alternative discussion has been updated.	Updated Environmentally Superior Alternative discussion
Pages 4-55 and 4-58 Table 4-1	Table 4-1, Summary of Alternatives, has been revised to show changes to the Summary of Alternatives.	Corrections
Page 4-59 Figure 4-1	Figure 4-1 Community Buffer Alternative has been replaced in the Final EIR.	Replaced Figure of Community Buffer Alternative to reflect increased setbacks and water main realignment
Page 4-61 Figure 4-2	Figure 4-2 Reduced Project Alternative has been replaced in the Final EIR.	Replaced Figure of the Reduced Project Alternative to include water main realignment and change in footprint

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
<i>Chapter 5 – Mitigation Measures</i>		
Page 5-2 Aesthetics	Mitigation measure M-AE-6 has been revised regarding the tan-colored slats and visual screening material where flood flow heights do not allow slats.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-AE-6 to address flood flow
Page 5-5, Biological Resources	Text has been deleted in M-BI-1 , Biological Monitoring	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-1
Page 5-5 Biological Resources	Text has been added to M-BI-1 to include a Worker Environmental Awareness Program requirement.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-1
Page 5-9 Biological Resources	Text has been added to M-BI-3 to clarify that even with the reduced Major Use Permit area in the new Project design, the applicant shall provide a total of 435 acres of biological open space easement as described in the Draft and Final EIR.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-3 regarding acreage of biological open space easement
Page 5-11 Biological Resources	Text has been added to M-BI-4 to include Project specific requirements and Goals in the Final Resources Management Plan	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-4 regarding the Resources Management Plan
Page 5-12 Biological Resources	Text has been added to M-BI-5 to include language about avoiding trampling and crushing special-status reptiles, San Diego desert woodrat or American badger	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-15 Biological Resources	M-BI-5 has been updated to remove the language take avoidance and replace it with preconstruction surveys.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-5
Pages 5-16 and 5-17 Biological Resources	Text has been added to M-BI-5 regarding the Special-Status Preconstruction Surveys and Relocation Plan shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Revision to mitigation measure M-BI-5 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-18--5-20 Biological Resources	Text has been revised and/or added to M-BI-6 to clarify how Bat Surveys and Roost Avoidance or Exclusion activities shall be conducted, documented, timed and monitored.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-BI-6 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-26 Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-CR-2 to clarify that the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation and Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians shall be notified of any unanticipated discovery and, should a potential TCR be identified, the Project Archaeologist shall consult with consulting tribes for a final determination.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 5-27 Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-CR-2 to states that the Project Archaeologist shall notify the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manaznita Band of Kumeyaay Nation, and Viejas Bank of Kumeyaay Indians of the identification of human remains.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-27 Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-CR-2 to state that the final cultural Material Conveyance report shall include evidence that all prehistoric materials have been curated at a San Diego County, Imperial County, or culturally affiliated Tribal curation facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-28 Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-CR-3 to clarify that the Project archaeologist shall coordinate with consulting tribes and Kumeyaay Native American monitors	Clarification in mitigation measure M-CR-3 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-29 Geology, Soils and Seismicity	Text has been added to M-GEO-1 to clarify how the mitigation measure shall be carried out.	Clarification in M-GEO-1 based on new Geology Mitigation Measures Technical Memorandum (Appendix F to Final EIR)
Page 5-31 to 5-32 Noise	Text in M-NOI-2 regarding the noise emission from self-propelled PV panel wasters has been deleted.	Clarification in M-NOI-2 based on updated analysis in Acoustical Analysis Assessment (Appendix M to the Final EIR)
Page 5-39 Tribal Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-TCR-2 to clarify that the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation and Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians shall be notified of any unanticipated discovery and, should a potential TCR be identified, the Project Archaeologist shall consult with consulting tribes for a final determination.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-29 Tribal Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-TCR-2 to states that the Project Archaeologist shall notify the Campo Band of Mission Indians, Manaznita Band of Kumeyaay Nation, and Viejas Bank of Kumeyaay Indians of the identification of human remains.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-40 Tribal Cultural Resources	Text has been added to M-TCR-2 to state that the final cultural Material Conveyance report shall include evidence that all prehistoric materials have been curated at a San Diego County, Imperial County, or culturally affiliated Tribal curation facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-TCR-2 in response to comments on the Draft EIR
Page 5-42 Wildfire	Text has been revised in M-WF-1 to specify that signage with contact information for a 24-hour remote operations center will be provided.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-1 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to Final EIR)

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 5-43 Wildfire	Text has been deleted from M-WF-2 as follows: The Project will be equipped with at least one and up to two water trucks each of 4,000- gallon capacity.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-2 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to Final EIR)
Page 5-44 Wildfire	The following text has been removed from M-WF-2 : During construction, the project site will have at a minimum two pick-up trucks outfitted with Type 6 Skid-Mounted Units, including fire pump, hose, and nozzle, that are staffed with personnel properly trained to use the equipment.	Clarification in mitigation measure M-WF-2 based on update to Fire Protection Plan (Appendix N to Final EIR)
<i>Chapter – Other CEQA Considerations</i>		
Page 6-2 Section 6.1	In Section 6.1, Significant Environmental Impacts of the Project That Cannot Be Mitigated to Less Than Significant, under the Aesthetics discussion M-AE-6 described as fence slats or screening.	Clarification to mitigation measure M-AE-6 to allow other types of visual screening on fencing where slats are infeasible due to flood flows
Chapter 7 – References		
Page 7-1 Section 1	References in the Project Description have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-4 and 7-5 Section 2.2	References in the Air Quality Section 2.2 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Pages 7-7, 7-9, 7-10, 7-12, 7-14, 7-15, 7-16, and 7-21 Section 2.3	References in the Biological Resources Section 2.3 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-21 Section 2.7	References in the Hydrology and Water Quality Section 2.7 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-24 Section 2.9	References in the Noise Section 2.9 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-27 Section 2.12	The References in the Wildfire Section 2.12 were updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-29 Section 3.1	References in the Environmental Effects Not Found to be Significant Have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR

Table 2
Errata Summary Table
JVR Energy Park Final EIR Changes

Page(s)/Section	Change	Reason for Change
Page 7-32 Section 3.1.2	References in the Energy Section 3.1.2 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-33 Section 3.1.3	References in the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Section 3.1.3 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-37 Section 3.1.4	References in the Land Use and Planning Section 3.1.4 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-38 Section 3.1.5	References in the Parks and Recreation Section 3.1.5 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-41 Section 3.1.8	References in the Utilities and Service Systems Section 3.1.8 have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
Page 7-42 Section 4	References in the Chapter 4 Alternatives have been updated.	References updated to include new references utilized during the preparation of the Final EIR
<i>Chapter 8 – List of Preparers</i>		
Page 8-1 Section 8.1.1	In Section 8.1.1, updated to show Ashley Smith is now the Chief of Project Planning for County PDS.	Update for County staff
Pages 8-1 and 8-2 Section 8.1.2	In Section 8.1.2, the list of preparers has been updated to include the following preparers: Tommy Molioo, Senior Biologist; Brad Comeau, Archaeologist; Sarah Siren, Paleontologist; and Erin Phillips, Environmental Planner	Update of the List of Preparers to account for additional Dudek staff who contributed during preparation of the Final EIR
Page 8-2 Section 8.1.3	In Section 8.1.3, the list of persons and organization has been updated to include: Alasdair Mullarney, Associated Glider Club of Southern California and Dr. David Breeckner, Imperial Valley Desert Museum.	Update of the List of Persons and Organizations Contacted to account for those persons and organization contacted during the preparation of the Final EIR

Table 3
Summary of Changes to Appendices

Final EIR Appendices
Appendix A
Notice of Preparation Documentation, CEQA Initial Study- Environmental Checklist Form and Comment Letters
No changes
Appendix B
Visual Resources Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.1 Aesthetics of Final EIR
Updated visual simulations
Updated 2021 JVR Solar Project Glare Study (Replaces Appendix A)
Appendix C
Air Quality Technical Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.2 Air Quality in Final EIR
Updated figure
Updated Air Quality Modeling Results (Appendices A and B)
Appendix D
Biological Resources Technical Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.3 Biological Resources in Final EIR
New Bat Survey Results Memorandum (Appendix B)
New Draft Resource Management Plan (Appendix I)
New Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) Memorandum (Appendix J)
Appendix E
Cultural Resources Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.4 Cultural Resources in Final EIR
New Ground Penetrating Radar Results Memorandum (Appendix F)
Appendix F
Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation for the JVR Energy Park Project
New memorandum regarding geology mitigation measures
Appendix G
Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, JVR Energy Park Project (Jacumba Valley Ranch)
Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, JVR Energy Park Project (Landman Property)
No changes
Appendix H
Asbestos Building Inspection and Lead-Based Paint Testing for the Ranch Property (APN 661-060-12)
JVR Energy Park Project
No changes
Appendix I
Drainage Study JVR Energy Park
No changes
Appendix J
Groundwater Resources Investigation Report JVR Energy Park Project
New JVR Energy Park Revised Construction Water Demand Memorandum (Appendix B)

Appendix K Stormwater Quality Management Plan JVR Energy Park Project
No changes
Appendix L Mineral Resources Technical Report JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.8 Mineral Resources in Final EIR
Updated figure
Appendix M Acoustical Assessment Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 2.9 Noise in Final EIR
Updated figures
Updated Noise Modeling Results (Appendices A, B, C and D)
Appendix N JVR Energy Park Project Fire Protection Plan
Text revisions
Text revisions in Construction Fire Protection Plan (Appendix A)
Appendix O Agricultural Resources Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 3.1.1 Agricultural Resources in Final EIR
Appendix P Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Report for the JVR Energy Park Project
Text revisions for consistency with Section 3.1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Final EIR
Updated Greenhouse Gas Emissions Modeling Results (Appendices A and B)
Appendix Q JVR Energy Park Project Local Mobility Analysis
Text revisions
Appendix R Proposed Project Revisions Memorandum
New appendix which summarizes potential impacts associated with the reduction in the Major Use Permit area, increased setbacks north and south of Old Highway 80 and Jacumba Community Park, and realignment of an existing water main
Appendix S U.S./Mexico Wildlife Corridor and Crossings at JVR Energy Park Memorandum
New appendix which provides information related to wildlife movement and off-site crossings at U.S./Mexico border
Appendix T Jacumba Airport Land Use Compatibility Memorandum – JVR Energy Park
New appendix which discusses lot coverage and open area compliance
Appendix U Hydrology Technical Memorandum – JVR Energy Park
New appendix which discusses the Proposed Project design regarding flood flow and other hydrology issues
Appendix V JVR Energy Park - Energy Generation Technical Memorandum
New appendix which explains and substantiates the energy production of the Proposed Project and Community Buffer Alternative
Appendix W New/Updated General Plan Elements Consistency Evaluation

New appendix which evaluates the Proposed Project's consistency with the updated Housing and Safety Elements of the San Diego County General Plan as well as the new Environmental Justice Element of the General Plan

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK