REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION DYKE MAJOR GRADING PLAN, PDS2016-LDGRMJ-30079

August 3, 2023

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE - Does the proposed project conform to the

Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑								
Discussion: The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.											
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?											
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT								
Discussion: The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated April 7, 2023.											
<u>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</u> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?											
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT								
obtains water from	n surface res	servoirs an	m the Padre Dam Municipal Water District which id/or imported sources. The project will not use ing irrigation or domestic supply.								

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations YES NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

PDS2016-LDGRN DYKE MAJOR GF			- 2 -			Αι	ıgust 3, 202	3
(Sections 86.604) Protection Ordina		he Resource					\boxtimes	
The Floodways a (Sections 86.604) Protection Ordina	(c) and (d)) of t			YES	NO	NOT APPL	ICABLE/EXE ⊠	MPT
The Steep Slope	section (Section	n 86.604(e))?		YES	NO	NOT APPL	ICABLE/EXE ⊠	MPT
The Sensitive Ha 86.604(f)) of the F			ce?	YES	NO	NOT APPL	ICABLE/EXE ⊠	MPT
section (Section 8	The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			YES	NO	NOT APPL	ICABLE/EXE ⊠	MPT
Discussion: Pursuant to Section applicable to grade V. STORMWATE San Diego Wate Ordinance (WPO)	ing or improve ER ORDINAN rshed Protec	ement plans. CE (WPO) -	Does [·]	the pro	oject co	omply with t	he County o	of
	YES	NO	NO	T APP	LICAE	BLE		
Discussion: The project Storm has/have been re WPO.		-		-		_		-
VI. NOISE ORDINE			•	•		•	•	е
	YES	NO	NO	T APP	LICAE	BLE		
Discussion: The proposal wou which exceed the								

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the

General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State,

and Federal noise control regulations.

project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.