STORM WATER DRAINAGE REPORT

CAMPO DOLLAR GENERAL

PDS2019-LDGMJ-30250
Campo Road and Buckman Springs Road
CAMPO, CA 91906
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
APN: 655-120-09

PREPARED BY:



4300 ASHE ROAD, SUITE 103 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93311 (661) 664-4806

May 28, 2021

PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report is being submitted in conjunction with the development of the proposed Dollar General, located northeast of the intersection of Campo Road and Buckman Springs Road at in the Community of Campo, County of San Diego. This report will discuss 5 main topics: 1) Pre-development stormwater site conditions, 2) Pre-development peak flow rate, 3) Post development storm water site conditions, 4) Post Development Pipe Routing analysis for a 100-year storm event, and 5) Retention Basis Analysis.

DESCRIPTION OF WATERSHED

Current Land Use:

Undeveloped vacant land

Proposed Land Use:

Single commercial store development

Surrounding Land Use:

North: Undeveloped Vacant Land, Residential homes

East: Undeveloped Vacant Land South: Campo Road, Fire Station #40

West: Undeveloped Vacant Land, Industrial Facilities

Watershed Boundary:

Property boundaries within the subject parcel land as shown on the submitted Civil Plans prepared Palmetto Engineering and Land Surveying as illustrated on Figure A – GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN

Watershed Location:

Approximately 920 feet northeast of the intersection of Campo Road and Buckman Springs Road, directly north of Fire Station #40 in the community of Campo, County of San Diego, State of California.

METHODOLOGY

In accordance with the San Diego Hydrology Manual, Section 6 was used for the Rational Method Hydrograph Procedure. Rainfall intensities and runoff coefficients are in accordance with section 3 of the SD Hydrology Manual. Initial time of concentration is calculated using the FAA method. Software used for calculating volumetric rates and total volume are RATHYDRO, Rational Hydrograph Software provided by San Diego County Department of Public Works, and Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2019.2.

PRE-DEVELOPMENT

Existing Site Conditions

The existing land of the subject parcel is currently open space, vacant, and undeveloped with more than 90% of the property area consisting of desert land with desert vegetation. The project site's drainage conveyance is by way of surface sheet flow of the natural grade of the land. There are no existing man-made drainage facilities or networks to

convey any runoff from or to the site or to store any runoff volume. As illustrated in FIGURE(s) B-1 and B-2 of this report, the pre-development drainage area includes the area within the property boundaries as well as a large portion of land directly north of the property.

The pre-project drainage area is the lot in its entirety and after further investigation it was found that a larger portion of the land directly adjacent to the north flows to and through the subject parcel. The existing topographic profile of the existing land is generally sloping from the north to the south/southeast at grades varying from 0.86% to 24.41%, as illustrated on FIGURE B-2 — On-Site Existing Topo, draining on to Campo Road and the adjacent property to the east.

• Pre-Development Peak runoff

With the majority of the land surface consisting of uniform soil material, calculation of the 100-year 6-hour peak runoff rate, \mathbf{Q}_{pk} , for pre-development conditions was fairly straight forward. Rainfall intensities were calculated from a combination of Time of Concentration, \mathbf{Tc} , using the method developed by the Federal Aviation Administration and the Rainfall Intensity equation listed in section 3.1.3 of the San Diego Hydrology Manual.

Analysis of the existing topographic data shows that runoff that exits the property site does not exit via one central location and disperses along the south boundary line onto Campo Road and the adjacent properties. As FIGURE B-2 illustrates, it was determined that there are four(4) pre-development drainage areas. It was also determined that each of the four pre-development drainage areas have a discharge location. Though these are not the exact locations for runoff discharge, they will be used as a general location for discharge when comparing to post development discharge locations. These pre-development drainage areas include the property site consisting of 2.632 acres and the additional land to the north with an area of 6.311 Acres. Totaling a pre-development watershed area equal to 8.943 acres. Using a C-factor of 0.25 and NOAA rainfall data for the 100-year 6-hour storm event; time(s) of concentration, rain fall intensities, and peak discharge rates were calculated using the methods described earlier in this report. Values for each pre-development drainage area are presented in the follow table.

Pre-Development 100-Year 6-Hour Storm Event.

| Discharge | Drainage | Acreage | Time of | 6-hr | Rainfall | Peak |
|-----------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Point | Area | | Conc. | Rainfall | Intensity | Runoff |
| | | | (min) | Depth. (in) | (in/hr.) | Rate (cfs) |
| Point A | PRE-1 | 0.239 | 9.19 | 3.41 | 6.069 | 0.363 |
| Point B | PRE-2 | 0.133 | 9.82 | 3.41 | 5.814 | 0.193 |
| Point C | PRE-3 | 0.342 | 9.10 | 3.41 | 6.107 | 0.522 |
| Point D | int D PRE-4 8.229 | | 27.19 | 3.41 | 3.014 | 6.200 |
| | TOTAL | 8.94 | - | - | - | 7.278 |

As required by the County of San Diego, these points of discharge or points of compliance will be evaluated and compared to post development discharge rates within the same area. Calculations for the values above are presented in the section titled "PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF CALCULATIONS" of this report.

POST DEVELOPMENT

Site Conditions

With the development of the new Dollar general, approximately 60% or 1.64 acres of the existing area will be graded/improved as illustrated on the submitted civil plans and on the attached Figure A – GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN with the remaining areas to the west and north to remain undisturbed. New AC pavement for parking, concrete paving for sidewalk and loading areas, curb and gutter, curbing, v-gutter, and landscaping will be installed. Additionally, a new underground drainage system consisting of catch basins and a slotted drain connected via PVC drainage pipe will be constructed. Storm water runoff will enter into 1 of 7 catch basins and slotted drain and drain into a retention basin on-site located in the southeast portion of the property as illustrated in Figure A. The retention basin will serve as to temporarily store storm water runoff which will infiltrate into the underlying soils of the basin or discharge onto Campo Road via an overflow discharge pipe.

Post Development Peak Runoff Rates

For the purposes for this report and calculations, the project area is divided into 18 drainage or watershed areas as depicted on Figure C – WATERSHED DRAINAGE MAP. Areas 1 through 8 are to drain into the proposed drainage system and continue flowing to the retention basin or sump (area 9). Areas 15 through 18 contain portions of undisturbed land. These areas are to be hydraulically separated from the improvement area as to not introduce any runoff from off-site locations such as the area to the north as previous stated. The separation will be by way of a concrete Type C Terrace Ditch as detailed on County of San Diego Regional Standard Drawing Number D-75. The concrete ditch will be installed adjacent to the cut slope along watershed areas 1 and 10 to the west, the cut slopes along areas 1 and 4, and the fill slope adjacent to the watershed area 12. This ditch will serve as to direct any runoff from the undisturbed area within the property boundary as well as any runoff from the adjacent properties to the north and west of the project property boundaries.

Watershed areas 10 through 13 contain sections of newly developed/graded land that will be draining onto Campo Road and area 14 contains the loading dock and trash enclosure. Due to County of San Diego requirements, area 14 is required to be hydraulically separated to prevent any runoff from entering the retention basin or draining off-site. Therefore, this area has been designed to flow into a 4-inch drainage inlet and discharge into an on-site underground septic system. Since areas 10 through 16 are not draining into the proposed retention pond, these areas will not be included in the Pipe Routing Analysis discussed in the next section.

C-Factors for each watershed area were calculated as well as a composite C-Factor for sections that are to drain to the retention basin. For the purposes of the pipe routing analysis and the analysis of the retention basin, the composite C-Factor will be used. Surface Peak Rates, **Q**₁₀₀, were calculated for each watershed area and are presented in the table below. Area information and C-Factor values are presented in the section titled "SUB AREA STATISTICS" of this report. Calculations for the **Q**₁₀₀ flow rates are presented in the section titled "100-YEAR SURFACE FLOW FOR PIPE ROUTING ANALYSIS" of this report.

Post Development Watershed Flow Rates

| Drainage | Peak Runoff | Drain On- | Drainage Area | Peak Runoff | Drain On- |
|----------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Area | Rate, Q ₁₀₀ | Site/Off- | | Rate, Q ₁₀₀ | Site/Off- |
| | | Site | | | Site |
| 1 | 0.726 | On-Site | 10 | 0.118 | Off-site |
| 2 | 0.166 | On-Site | 11 | 0.119 | Off-site |
| 3 | 0.843 | On-Site | 12 | 0.065 | Off-site |
| 4 | 0.416 | On-Site | 13 | 0.102 | Off-site |
| 5 | 0.464 | On-Site | 14 | 0.194 | - |
| 6 | 0.043 | On-Site | 15 | 4.758 | Off-site |
| 7 | 0.253 | On-Site | 16 | 0.180 | Off-site |
| 8 | 0.938 | On-Site | 17 | 0.363 | Off-site |
| 9 (sump) | - | On-Site | 18 | 0.147 | Off-site |

As required by the County of San Diego, pre-developed discharge rates listed in the previous section are not to be exceed. Said rates will be compared to discharge rates of post developed drainage areas that fall within the same or general vicinity of the pre-developed discharge points. As illustrated in the Figure B-2 and Figure C, there are four points of discharge that are to be evaluated. The discharge rates for these points are listed in the table below.

Comparison of Peak Flows at Discharge Locations

| Discharge | Pre-Dev | Pre-Dev | Post Dev | Post Dev | Pre-Dev | Post Dev |
|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| Location | Drainage | Acreage | Drainage | Acreage | Peak | Peak |
| | Area | | Area | | Rate (cfs) | Rate (cfs) |
| Point A | PRE-1 | 0.239 | 17 | 0.239 | 0.363 | 0.363 |
| Point B | PRE-2 | 0.133 | 16 | 0.124 | 0.193 | 0.180 |
| Point C | PRE-3 | 0.342 | 18 | 0.094 | 0.522 | 0.147 |
| Point D | PRE-4 | 8.229 | 15 | 6.863 | 6.200 | 4.758 |

As shown in the table above, post development vs pre-development peak discharge rates have remained the same or have decreased. As illustrated in Figure B-2 and Figure C, through the locations of Point D of pre-development area PRE-4 and post development area 15 do not lie on the exact same location, it was determined that the general flow of

these areas are in the same direction and the discharge points for the these areas are in the same or general vicinity of the property boundary, it would be sufficient to compare these two points as one.

Pipe Routing Analysis

Using the calculated surface flow rates for areas 1 through 8, the storm drainpipe could be sized appropriately to accommodate the flow of surface runoff to the proposed retention basin. All pipe will be of Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) material, will be a minimum of 8" (nominal) diameter pipe, and sloped at a minimum of 0.40%. As part of the pipe routing analysis, proper pipe diameter, flow depth, percent full (flow depth relative to pipe diameter), flow velocity, and flow condition of either "subcritical" or "supercritical" were calculated and determined for each pipe segment. All flows within the pipe were held to a minimum of 2 feet per second flow velocity and are to have "subcritical" flow condition as to avoid a "hydraulic jump" within the pipe section to avoid pipe erosion and/or exceeding the flow rate capacity of the storm drain pipe. In addition, time of Concentration and peak rate from the farthest reach within the area that is to be retained was also established. These would be used to calculate and produce the inflow hydrograph and subsequently be used for sizing of the retention basin discussed in the next section.

The pipe network of the proposed drainage system consists of 7 catch basins (CB) and 1 slotted drain (SLD) with each connected to one another, in series, with PVC pipe. PVC nominal pipe diameters range from 8" to 12". There are two discharge or outlet structures at the retention basin. Outlet #1 serves to discharge runoff from watershed areas 1 through 7 and Outlet #2 serves to discharge runoff solely from watershed area 8. Pipe routing analysis as stated above was performed starting at the farthest reach and ending at the outlet(s) of the pipe network. As the analysis moved from one CB to the next, additional area and runoff was taken into account and a total "Q" flow rate at each CB was calculated. Subsequently, this "Q" rate would be used to for the downstream pipe segment and the process being repeated until ending at the outlet structure. Analysis of the calculated T_c , Peak Rate, and Peak Velocity are presented in the table below.

Pipe Flow Summary Table

| Max. Tc (mins) | Peak Rate (cfs) | Peak Velocity (fps) |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 24.00 | 2.100 | 4.040 |

A schematic of the pipe layout, calculations for the pipe routing analysis, and specific pipe segment calculations are presented in sections titled "STORM DRAIN SYSTEM LAYOUT" and "PIPE FLOW CALCULATIONS" of this report

Retention Basin Analysis

All collected storm water runoff will be routed through the proposed drainage system to a retention basin located in the southwest corner of the property. The retention basin will be sized to retain the runoff volume generated from a 100-year 6-hour storm event for the areas to be retained on-site. The runoff will infiltrate into the underlying soils of the

basin. The basin will have a bottom elevation of 2625.25 and dimensions of 39.50 feet by 29.50 feet. Side slopes are to be a maximum of 3:1 horizontal to vertical ratio with a maximum height of 6.75 feet establishing the top of embankment(basin) elevation at 2632.00. Any runoff generated from a storm larger than the 100-year storm event will be discharged off-site via an overflow discharge structure (ODS).

The discharge structure will consist of a discharge drainage inlet (DDI) to serve as an overflow inlet for any runoff that will be discharged off-site. Runoff entering the DDI will be routed via a 12-inch PVC discharge pipe connecting the DDI to a straight concrete headwall. The discharge pipe will be set at invert elevation of 2629.44 at the DDI and head southeast for approximately 9.4 feet at a slope of 0.40% with an exit invert elevation at the headwall of 2629.40. Once exiting, runoff will flow through energy dissipating riprap for approximately 10.0 feet at a slope of 2.0% prior to discharging onto Campo Road.

Using the same procedure that was used to develop the inflow hydrograph, the same is done for producing the post development inflow hydrograph and subsequently sizing of the retention basin. Using the values for time concentration and peak listed in the table above as well as a C-Factor of 0.599, a watershed area of 1.414 acres, and the 6-hour rainfall depth of 3.41, these can now be entered into the RATHYDRO software to produce the discharge intervals for the 6-hour storm event. These intervals can then be entered manually into the Hydraflow Hydrograph software extension for Civil 3D to produce the Rational Method Hydrograph as per the SD County Hydrology Manual.

As required by the County of San Diego, storm water within the retention basin cannot be analysis from the bottom of the basin and must be analyzed from the Hydromodification Plan (HMP) Water level. With this requirement, inflow from the storm event was analyzed at an elevation in the retention basin of 2628.25, 3 feet from the bottom of the basin.

Using the Hydraflow Hydrograph software extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D®, a hydrograph for the 100-year 6-hour was developed to calculate total runoff volume for the areas to be retained on-site. The runoff volume for the 100-year 6-hour storm event is calculated to be **10,224 cubic feet**. A retention pond was created within the Hydraflow Hydrograph software using the parameters discussed above for the specifics on the basin, discharge structure, as well as the infiltration rate of the underlying soils. The post development inflow hydrograph was then routed and processed through the retention basin to create an output hydrograph. This would calculate the required storage needed and the maximum elevation within the retention basin the 100-year storm event would fill too. This process takes into account that during the duration of the storm event, runoff will be infiltrating into the underlying soils concurrently until the basin has been emptied. Soils test conducted by Krazan and Associates, Inc. indicate that the soils have an infiltration rate of **0.51 inches per hour**. Using these parameters, the required storage volume for the 100-year storm event is calculated to be **9,355 cubic feet** and have a maximum water elevation of 2630.87.

The volume provided by the retention basin is between the top of grate elevation of the DDI, elevation 2631.00, and the HMP water level, elevation 2628.25. Using the above-mentioned software, provided volume capacity, stage-storage, and stage-discharge tables and curves were calculated and developed. Calculations within the software were based on using the Conic method and contour areas at each 0.25-foot elevation interval from HMP water level to the top of the embankment. Available storage capacity of the retention basin prior to runoff being discharged at elevation 2631.00 is calculated to be **9,982 cubic feet** with a high-water level height of **2.75 feet.** This volume will be sufficient enough to retain the 100-year storm event.

Infiltration time of the provided storage volume was calculated by dividing the height of the high-water level height by the soils infiltration rate. Using infiltration rate of **0.51 inches per hour**, the time for the provided volume at the high-water level height is calculated to be approximately **64.7 hours.** This meets the County of San Diego requirement of 96-hours. Calculations for the total runoff volume, provided storage volume, infiltration time, and spread sheets of the stage/storage/discharge tables and curves are presented in the section title "RETENTION BASIN ANALYSIS" of this report.

CONCLUSION

The development of the new Dollar General will include the installation of new drainage facilities which retain storm water on-site, reducing the total runoff volume flowing onto Campo Road. As such, this project will not detrimentally impact Campo Road.

DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE

I HEARBY DECLARE THAT I AM THE ENGINEER OF WORK FOR THIS PROJECT, THAT I HAVE EXERCISED RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OVER THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6703 OF THE BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE, AND THAT THE DESIGN IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CURRENT STANDARDS.


I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CHECK OF PROJECT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS BY THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO IS CONFINED TO A REVIEW ONLY AND DOES NOR RELIEVE ME, AS ENGINEER OF WORK, OF MY RESPONSIBILITIES FOR PROJECT DESIGN


Gregory 6. Black RCE 53952


6/15/21


DATE

FIGURES









NRCS SOILS MAP



Natural

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for San Diego County Area, California

Campo Dollar General



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2 053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

| Preface | 2 |
|--|---|
| How Soil Surveys Are Made | |
| Soil Information for All Uses | |
| Soil Properties and Qualities | 8 |
| Soil Qualities and Features | 8 |
| Hydrologic Soil Group (Campo Dollar General) | 8 |
| References | |

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

Custom Soil Resource Report

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Hydrologic Soil Group (Campo Dollar General)

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.



MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION Area of Interest (AOI) The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils D Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Soil Rating Polygons Not rated or not available Α Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause **Water Features** A/D misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil Streams and Canals line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of В contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Transportation scale. B/D Rails ---Interstate Highways Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map C/D **US Routes** measurements. Major Roads Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Not rated or not available Local Roads Web Soil Survey URL: -Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Soil Rating Lines Background Aerial Photography Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: San Diego County Area, California Not rated or not available Survey Area Data: Version 15, May 27, 2020 **Soil Rating Points** Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales Α 1:50.000 or larger. A/D Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 18, 2018—Aug 22. 2018 B/D The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group (Campo Dollar General)

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|--------------------------|--|--------|--------------|----------------|
| CaC | Calpine coarse sandy loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes | А | 2.0 | 66.4% |
| LaE2 | La Posta loamy coarse sand, 5 to 30 percent slopes, eroded | A | 1.0 | 33.6% |
| Totals for Area of Inter | est | 2.9 | 100.0% | |

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group (Campo Dollar General)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

PRE-DEVELOPMENT SURFACE RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

RATIONAL METHOD DRAINAGE STUDY

DATE: May 28, 2021 JOB NO. 19-015 PROJECT Campo Dollar General

FREQ: 100-Year STUDY OF: PRE-DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR SUB-AREA SURFACE FLOW ANALYSIS

P6 Rainfall Depth: 3.41 inches P6/P24: 50.6% Time of Concentration $T_c = 1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333}$ Intensity $T_c = 1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333}$ $T_c = 1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333}$

| AREA | ACREAGE | LENGTH | FALL AVG. C TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN) | | I | FLOW | | | |
|-------|---|---------|---|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------|------------------------------|
| ARLA | AREA ACREAGE (FEET) (FEET) SLOPE VALUE TIME OF CONCENTRATION (M | | TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN) | VALUE | Q(CFS) | COMMENTS | | | |
| PRE-1 | 0.239 | 206.45 | 28.30 | 13.71% | 0.250 | 9.19 | 6.069 | 0.363 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point A |
| PRE-2 | 0.133 | 197.50 | 20.74 | 10.50% | 0.250 | 9.82 | 5.814 | 0.193 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point B |
| PRE-3 | 0.342 | 173.20 | 18.78 | 10.84% | 0.250 | 9.10 | 6.107 | 0.522 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point C |
| PRE-4 | 8.229 | 1527.95 | 162.62 | 10.64% | 0.250 | 27.19 | 3.014 | 6.200 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point D |
| Total | 8.943 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.278 | $= Q_{100}$ |

PRE = Pre-Development Sub Area

Note:

Refer to Figure(s) B-1 and B-2 for Pre-Development Sub Area Map

POST DEVELOPMENT SUB AREA STATISTICS

POST DEVELOPMENT SUB-AREA STATISTICS

Job Number: 19-015 Campo Dollar General

| Job Number: | 19-012 | Campo Dollar Gel | iciai | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|------------|--------|--------|---------|--|
| WATERSHED | AREA (sf) | Impervious Area | Pervious Area | Composite | LENGTH | FALL | AVERAGE | |
| AREA | Acres | C= 0.90 | C= 0.25 | "C" Factor | (feet) | (feet) | SLOPE | |
| 1 | 15,357 | 7175 | 8182 | 0.554 | 180.37 | 1.75 | 0.97% | |
| 1 | 0.353 | 0.165 | 0.188 | 0.554 | 100.57 | 1./3 | 0.97% | |
| 2 | 1,551 | 1551 | 0 | 0.900 | 69.75 | 1.15 | 1.65% | |
| ۷ | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.900 | 09.75 | 1.15 | 1.05% | |
| 3 | 13,283 | 13220 | 63 | 0.897 | 214.47 | 1.79 | 0.83% | |
| 3 | 0.305 | 0.303 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 217.77 | 1.79 | 0.83% | |
| 4 | 8,448 | 3859 | 4589 | 0.547 | 142.14 | 1.38 | 0.97% | |
| 7 | 0.194 | 0.089 | 0.105 | 0.547 | 172.17 | 1.50 | 0.97 70 | |
| 5 | 5,662 | 5540 | 122 | 0.886 | 133.60 | 1.81 | 1.35% | |
| | 0.130 | 0.127 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 133.00 | 1.01 | 1.55 70 | |
| 6 | 356 | 0 | 356 | 0.250 | 25.07 | 3.84 | 15.32% | |
| U | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.230 | 25.07 | | 15.5270 | |
| 7 | 2,069 | 1772 | 297 | 0.807 | 54.73 | 4.56 | 8.33% | |
| , | 0.047 | 0.041 | 0.007 | 0.007 | JT./J | 4.50 | 0.5570 | |
| 8 | 9,466 | 0 | 9466 | 0.250 | 178.52 | 9.01 | 5.05% | |
| 0 | 0.217 | 0.000 | 0.217 | 0.230 | 170.52 | 9.01 | 3.0370 | |
| SUMP(9) | 5,407 | 0 | 5407 | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| 301·11 (<i>3)</i> | 0.124 | 0.000 | 0.124 | | | _ | | |
| TOTAL | 61,599 | 33117 | 28482 | 0.599 | | _ | | |
| IOIAL | 1.414 | 0.760 | 0.654 | 0.599 | _ | | | |

| 10* | 1,332 | 391 | 941 | 0.441 | 92.47 | 8.93 | 9.66% | |
|------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| 10 | 0.031 | 0.009 | 0.022 | 0.441 | 92.47 | 0.93 | 9.00% | |
| 11* | 2,287 | 0 | 2287 | 0.250 | 12.15 | 2.61 | 21.48% | |
| 11. | 0.053 | 0.000 | 0.053 | 0.230 | 12.13 | 2.01 | 21.40% | |
| 12* | 1,581 | 0 | 1581 | 0.250 | 69.46 | 4.25 | 6.12% | |
| 12 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.036 | 0.230 | 09.40 | 4.23 | 0.12% | |
| 13* | 2,881 | 0 | 2881 | 0.250 | 107.54 | 5.92 | 5.50% | |
| 13. | 0.066 | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.230 | 107.54 | | | |
| † | 1,030 | 1030 | 0 | 0.900 | 43.60 | 1.25 | 2.87% | |
| 14* [†] | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.900 | 43.00 | 1.23 | 2.07 70 | |
| 15* | 298,934 | 0 | 299187 | 0.250 | 1806.40 | 167.61 | 9.28% | |
| 15 | 6.863 | 0.000 | 6.868 | 0.230 | 1000.40 | 107.01 | 9.2070 | |
| 16* | 5,393 | 0 | 5393 | 0.250 | 197.50 | 20.74 | 10.50% | |
| 10 | 0.124 | 0.000 | 0.124 | 0.230 | 197.50 | 20.74 | 10.50% | |
| 17* | 10,430 | 0 | 10430 | 0.250 | 206.45 | 28.30 | 13.71% | |
| 17 | 0.239 | 0.000 | 0.239 | 0.230 | 200.43 | 20.30 | 13.7170 | |
| 18* | 4,106 | 0 | 4106 | 0.250 | 158.00 | 16.63 | 10.53% | |
| 10 | 0.094 | 0.000 | 0.094 | 0.230 | 130.00 | 10.03 | 10.33% | |
| TOTAL | 327,974 | 1421 | 312270 | | | | | |
| IOIAL | 7.529 | 0.033 | 7.169 | _ | _ | _ | _ | |

^{*}Areas discharge off-site via surface flow and will not be considered for pipe sizing calculations \dagger Trash Enclosure and Loading Dock Area - Area to discharge into on-site septic system.

POST DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR SURFACE FLOW FOR PIPE ROUTING ANALYSIS

RATIONAL METHOD DRAINAGE STUDY

DATE: May 28, 2021 **JOB NO.** 19-015 **PROJECT** Campo Dollar General

FREQ: 100-Year STUDY OF: POST DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR SUB-AREA SURFACE FLOW ANALYSIS

P6 Rainfall Depth: 3.41 inches P6/P24: 50.6% $Time\ of\ Concentration \ I = 7.44P_6D^{-0.645}$ P24 Rainfall Depth: 6.74 inches $T_c = 1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333}$

| | AREA | ACREAGE | LENGTH | FALL | AVG. | С | TIME OF CONC. (MIN)* | | I | FLOW | | |
|--------|------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------------------------|
| | # | ACKLAGE | (FEET) | (FEET) | SLOPE | VALUE | FLOW PATH | ROOF | TOTAL | VALUE | Q(CFS) | COMMENTS |
| CB #1 | 1 | 0.353 | 180.37 | 1.75 | 0.97% | 0.599 | 12.23 | 10.00 | 22.23 | 3.432 | 0.726 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#1 |
| CB #2 | 2 | 0.036 | 69.75 | 1.15 | 1.65% | 0.599 | 6.37 | 0.00 | 6.37 | 7.682 | 0.166 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#2 |
| CB #3 | 3 | 0.305 | 214.47 | 1.79 | 0.83% | 0.599 | 14.05 | 0.00 | 14.05 | 4.613 | 0.843 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#3 |
| CB #4 | 4 | 0.194 | 142.14 | 1.38 | 0.97% | 0.599 | 10.86 | 10.00 | 20.86 | 3.576 | 0.416 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#4 |
| CB #5 | 5 | 0.130 | 133.60 | 1.81 | 1.35% | 0.599 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 9.43 | 5.967 | 0.465 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#5 |
| CB #6 | 6 | 0.008 | 25.07 | 3.84 | 15.32% | 0.599 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 8.984 | 0.043 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#6 |
| SLD #1 | 7 | 0.047 | 54.73 | 4.56 | 8.33% | 0.599 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 8.984 | 0.253 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ SLD#1 |
| CB #7 | 8 | 0.217 | 178.52 | 9.01 | 5.05% | 0.599 | 7.02 | 0.00 | 7.02 | 7.216 | 0.938 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ CB#7 |
| OFF #1 | 10 | 0.031 | 92.47 | 8.93 | 9.66% | 0.441 | 5.36 | 0.00 | 5.36 | 8.595 | 0.118 | = Q ₁₀₀ |
| OFF #2 | 11 | 0.053 | 12.15 | 2.61 | 21.48% | 0.250 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 8.984 | 0.119 | = Q ₁₀₀ |
| OFF #3 | 12 | 0.036 | 69.46 | 4.25 | 6.12% | 0.250 | 6.97 | 0.00 | 6.97 | 7.251 | 0.065 | = Q ₁₀₀ |
| OFF #4 | 13 | 0.066 | 107.54 | 5.92 | 5.50% | 0.250 | 8.99 | 0.00 | 8.99 | 6.155 | 0.102 | = Q ₁₀₀ |
| TRL #1 | 14 | 0.024 | 43.60 | 1.25 | 2.87% | 0.900 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 8.984 | 0.194 | = Q ₁₀₀ |
| OFF #5 | 15 | 6.863 | 1806.40 | 167.61 | 9.28% | 0.250 | 30.94 | 0.00 | 30.94 | 2.773 | 4.758 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point D |
| OFF #6 | 16 | 0.124 | 197.50 | 20.74 | 10.50% | 0.250 | 9.82 | 0.00 | 9.82 | 5.813 | 0.180 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point B |
| OFF #7 | 17 | 0.239 | 206.45 | 28.3 | 0.1371 | 0.250 | 9.19 | 0.00 | 9.19 | 6.07 | 0.363 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point A |
| OFF #8 | 18 | 0.094 | 158.00 | 16.63 | 0.1053 | 0.250 | 8.77 | 0.00 | 8.8 | 6.25 | 0.147 | = Q ₁₀₀ @ Point C |

CB = Catch Basin/Drainage Inlet

*Minimum Tc of 5 minutes to be used for Flow Path. Areas that include Roof area assumed to have an additional 10 Minutes

SLD = Slotted Drain

Note:


OFF = Off- Site Discharge


Watershed Area 9 is not listed as this is the Retention Basin/Sump

TRL = Trash Enclosure/Loading Dock Area

POST DEVELOPMENT STORM DRAINPIPE SYSTEM LAYOUT

STORM DRAIN PIPE SYSTEM LAYOUT





4300 ASHE ROAD, SUITE 10 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 9 661-664-4806 PHONE 888-260-7357 FAX

| 5/28/2021 | AŚ-NOTED | SMS | G0B | 5/28/2021 | 19-015 | |
|-----------|----------|-------|-----|-----------|--------|--|
| | | I BY: | 3Y: | CK'D: | ö | |

| DATE: | SCALE: | DRAWN BY: | CK'D BY: | DATF CK'n. | JOB NO. | .000 |
|-------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|------|
| | | | | | | |

| DOLL AR GENFRAI | NO. | DATE | CK'D BY | CK'D BY DESCRIPTION |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------|---------|---------------------|
| | | I | | |
| | ı | I | I | _ |
| NIY OF SAN DIEGO, SIAIE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - L H () | | | | |
| JRAIN PIPE SYSIEM LAYOUI | | | | |

| | | OF CAL | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | STATE | |
| | DOLLAR GENERAL | O, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CAL | FICA MULLOAU LAIG INIVAG MACEO |
| - | | O, C | L () |

| FI(| Z Z Z | RE AIL DE |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------|
| GUF | OO | DOLLAR GE |
| RE | T CITY OF CAMPO, COUNTY OF SAN | OF SAN |
| | SHEET DESCRIPTION | |
| | PIDE NEAR DRAIN PIDE | |

POST DEVELOPMENT CATCH BASIN SIZING CALCULATIONS

RATIONAL METHOD DRAINAGE STUDY

DATE:May 28, 2021JOB NO.19-015PROJECT Campo Dollar GeneralFREQ:100-YearSTUDY OF:POST DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR CATCH BASIN CAPACITY SIZING

| Drainage | Surface | Upstream Surface | Total Surface In-flow | Inlet Location | Downstream | Flow Bypass | Flow Depht at | |
|----------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|
| Inlet | In-flow (cfs) | In-flow (cfs) | at Inlet, Q _t (cfs) | Inlet Location | Inlet | Q (cfs) | Drainage Inlet (in) | COMMENTS |
| CB #1 | 0.726 | 0.000 | 0.726 | Sag | CB #2 | 0.000 | 0.940 | |
| CB #2 | 0.166 | 0.000 | 0.166 | On-Grade | CB #3 | 0.030 | 0.600 | |
| CB #3 | 0.843 | 0.030 | 0.873 | Sag | CB #6 | 0.000 | 1.040 | |
| CB #4 | 0.416 | 0.000 | 0.416 | Sag | CB #5 | 0.000 | 0.650 | |
| CB #5 | 0.465 | 0.000 | 0.465 | Sag | CB #6 | 0.000 | 1.790 | |
| CB #6 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.043 | Sag | - | 0.000 | 0.140 | |
| SLD #1 | 0.253 | 0.000 | 0.253 | On-Grade | CB #6 | 0.000 | 0.360 | |
| CB #7 | 0.938 | 0.000 | 0.938 | Sag | - | 0.000 | 1.110 | |

CB = Catch Basin/Drainage Inlet

SLD = Slotted Drain

Note: See Inlet reports for bypass and flow depth calculations

Inlet Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Friday, May 28 2021

Drainage Inlet CB#1

| Drop Grate Inlet | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Location | = Sag |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = 7.18 |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 2.08 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 3.45 |

Gutter

| - 4110. | |
|-------------------|---------|
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.198 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.198 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 2.08 |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = -0- |
| Gutter n-value | = -0- |


Calculations

| Compute by: | Known Q |
|-------------|---------|
| Q (cfs) | = 0.73 |

Highlighted

| = | 0.73 |
|---|---------|
| = | 0.73 |
| = | -0- |
| = | 0.94 |
| = | 100 |
| = | 2.87 |
| = | 2.12 |
| = | -0- |
| = | -0- |
| | = = = = |


All dimensions in feet



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Drainage Inlet CB#2

| Drop Grate Inlet | | Calculations | |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------|---------|
| Location | = On grade | Compute by: | Known Q |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- | Q (cfs) | = 0.17 |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- | | |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = -0- | Highlighted | |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 3.45 | Q Total (cfs) | = 0.17 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 2.08 | Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.14 |
| | | Q Bypass (cfs) | = 0.03 |
| Gutter | | Depth at Inlet (in) | = 0.60 |
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.013 | Efficiency (%) | = 82 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.013 | Gutter Spread (ft) | = 9.69 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- | Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.57 |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 2.00 | Bypass Spread (ft) | = 5.08 |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Bypass Depth (in) | = 0.24 |
| Gutter n-value | = 0.011 | | |
| | | | |



Inlet Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Friday, May 28 2021

Drainage Inlet CB#3

| Drop Grate inlet | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Location | = Sag |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = 7.18 |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 3.45 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 2.08 |
| | |
| | |

| G | u | tt | e | r |
|---|---|----|---|---|
| | | | | |

| - 4110. | |
|-------------------|---------|
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.016 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.016 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 2.00 |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = -0- |
| Gutter n-value | = -0- |


| Cal | | |
|-----|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Compute by: | Known Q |
|-------------|---------|
| Q (cfs) | = 0.84 |

Highlighted

| inginginoa | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Q Total (cfs) | = 0.84 |
| Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.84 |
| Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Depth at Inlet (in) | = 1.04 |
| Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Gutter Spread (ft) | = 14.24 |
| Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.57 |
| Bypass Spread (ft) | = -0- |
| Bypass Depth (in) | = -0- |

All dimensions in fee



Inlet Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Friday, May 28 2021

Drainage Inlet CB#4

| Drop Grate Inlet | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Location | = Sag |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = 7.18 |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 3.45 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 2.08 |
| | |

Gutter

| Catto. | | |
|-------------------|---|-------|
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = | 0.098 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = | 0.098 |
| Local Depr (in) | = | -0- |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = | 3.45 |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = | -0- |
| Gutter n-value | = | -0- |


Calculations

| Compute by: | Known Q |
|-------------|---------|
| Q (cfs) | = 0.42 |

Highlighted

| Q Total (cfs) | = 0.42 |
|---------------------|--------|
| Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.42 |
| Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Depth at Inlet (in) | = 0.65 |
| Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Gutter Spread (ft) | = 4.55 |
| Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.91 |
| Bypass Spread (ft) | = -0- |
| Bypass Depth (in) | = -0- |
| | |


All dimensions in feet



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Drainage Inlet CB#5

| Grate Inlet Location Curb Length (ft) Throat Height (in) | = Sag = -0- = -0- | Calculations Compute by: Q (cfs) | Known Q = 0.47 |
|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Grate Area (sqft) | = -0- = 7.18 | Highlighted | |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 2.08 | Q Total (cfs) | = 0.47 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 3.45 | Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.47 |
| - , | | Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Gutter | | Depth at Inlet (in) | = 1.79 |
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.083 | Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.010 | Gutter Spread (ft) | = 1.80 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- | Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.71 |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 2.00 | Bypass Spread (ft) | = -0- |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = -0- | Bypass Depth (in) | = -0- |
| Gutter n-value | = -0- | | |



Inlet Report

Gutter Width (ft)

Gutter Slope (%)

Gutter n-value

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

= 2.08

= -0-

= -0-

Friday, May 28 2021

= -0-

= -0-


Drainage Inlet CB#6

| Drop Grate Inlet | | Calculations | |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| Location | = Sag | Compute by: | Known Q |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- | Q (cfs) | = 0.04 |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- | | |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = 7.18 | Highlighted | |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 2.08 | Q Total (cfs) | = 0.04 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 3.45 | Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.04 |
| | | Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Gutter | | Depth at Inlet (in) | = 0.14 |
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.153 | Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.153 | Gutter Spread (ft) | = 2.24 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- | Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.71 |

Bypass Spread (ft)

Bypass Depth (in)

All dimensions in feet



Inlet Report

Gutter n-value


Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

= -0-

Friday, May 28 2021

Drainage Inlet CB#7


| Drop Grate Inlet | | Calculations | |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| Location | = Sag | Compute by: | Known Q |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- | Q (cfs) | = 0.94 |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- | , | |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = 7.18 | Highlighted | |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 3.45 | Q Total (cfs) | = 0.94 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 2.08 | Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.94 |
| - , | | Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Gutter | | Depth at Inlet (in) | = 1.11 |
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 0.015 | Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 0.015 | Gutter Spread (ft) | = 15.81 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- | Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.22 |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 2.00 | Bypass Spread (ft) | = -0- |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = -0- | Bypass Depth (in) | = -0- |
| | | | |



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Slotted Drain SLD#1

| Drop Grate Inlet | O | Calculations | |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------|---------|
| Location | = On grade | Compute by: | Known Q |
| Curb Length (ft) | = -0- | Q (cfs) | = 0.25 |
| Throat Height (in) | = -0- | | |
| Grate Area (sqft) | = -0- | Highlighted | |
| Grate Width (ft) | = 38.58 | Q Total (cfs) | = 0.25 |
| Grate Length (ft) | = 0.67 | Q Capt (cfs) | = 0.25 |
| | | Q Bypass (cfs) | = -0- |
| Gutter | | Depth at Inlet (in) | = 0.36 |
| Slope, Sw (ft/ft) | = 100.000 | Efficiency (%) | = 100 |
| Slope, Sx (ft/ft) | = 100.000 | Gutter Spread (ft) | = 38.58 |
| Local Depr (in) | = -0- | Gutter Vel (ft/s) | = 0.22 |
| Gutter Width (ft) | = 38.58 | Bypass Spread (ft) | = -0- |
| Gutter Slope (%) | = 0.08 | Bypass Depth (in) | = -0- |
| Gutter n-value | = 0.011 | | |



POST DEVELOPMENT PIPE FLOW CALCULATIONS

RATIONAL METHOD DRAINAGE STUDY

DATE: May 28, 2021 JOB NO.: 19-015 PROJECT Campo Dollar General

FREQ: 100 YEAR STUDY OF: POST DEVELOPMENT 100-YEAR PIPE ROUTING ANALYSIS

| | | SUMMAT'N | RUN | FALL | PIPE | VELOCITY | TIME OF C | CONC. (MIN.) | I | С | C*A | FLOW | |
|---------|--------|----------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| FROM | TO | ACREAGE | (FEET) | (FEET) | SLOPE | (FPS) | CHANGE | TOTAL | VALUE | VALUE | TOTAL | Q(CFS) | COMMENTS |
| Surface | CB #1 | 0.353 | - | - | - | - | - | 22.23 | 3.432 | 0.599 | 0.211 | 0.726 | |
| CB #1 | CB #2 | 0.353 | 73.39 | 0.29 | 0.40% | 2.870 | 0.43 | 22.66 | 3.389 | 0.599 | 0.211 | 0.717 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |
| Surface | CB #2 | 0.036 | - | - | - | - | - | 6.37 | 7.682 | 0.599 | 0.022 | 0.166 | |
| Σ@(| CB #2 | 0.389 | - | - | - | - | - | 22.66 | 3.389 | 0.599 | 0.233 | 0.790 | |
| CB #2 | CB #3 | 0.389 | 159.66 | 0.64 | 0.40% | 2.920 | 0.91 | 23.57 | 3.304 | 0.599 | 0.233 | 0.770 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |
| Surface | CB #3 | 0.305 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.05 | 4.613 | 0.599 | 0.183 | 0.843 | |
| Σ@(| CB #3 | 0.694 | - | - | - | - | - | 23.57 | 3.304 | 0.599 | 0.416 | 1.373 | |
| Surface | CB #4 | 0.194 | - | - | - | - | - | 20.86 | 3.576 | 0.599 | 0.116 | 0.416 | |
| CB #4 | CB #5 | 0.194 | 103.35 | 0.41 | 0.40% | 2.490 | 0.69 | 21.55 | 3.501 | 0.599 | 0.116 | 0.407 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |
| Surface | CB #5 | 0.130 | - | - | - | - | - | 9.43 | 5.967 | 0.599 | 0.078 | 0.465 | |
| Σ@(| CB #5 | 0.324 | - | - | - | - | - | 21.55 | 3.501 | 0.599 | 0.194 | 0.679 | |
| Surface | SLD#1 | 0.047 | | | | | | 7.02 | 7.216 | 0.599 | 0.028 | 0.203 | |
| SLD#1 | CB #6 | 0.047 | 61.10 | 0.240 | 0.40% | 2.000 | 0.51 | 7.53 | 6.897 | 0.599 | 0.028 | 0.194 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |
| CB #3 | CB #6 | 0.694 | 61.31 | 0.25 | 0.40% | 3.330 | 0.31 | 23.88 | 3.277 | 0.599 | 0.416 | 1.362 | 10" PVC @ 0.40% |
| CB #5 | CB #6 | 0.324 | 18.02 | 0.07 | 0.40% | 2.840 | 0.11 | 21.66 | 3.490 | 0.599 | 0.194 | 0.677 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |
| Surface | CB #6 | 0.008 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.00 | 8.984 | 0.599 | 0.005 | 0.043 | |
| Σ@(| CB #6 | 1.073 | - | - | - | - | - | 23.88 | 3.277 | 0.599 | 0.643 | 2.106 | |
| CB #6 | OUT #1 | 1.073 | 30.27 | 0.15 | 0.50% | 4.040 | 0.12 | 24.00 | 3.266 | 0.599 | 0.643 | 2.099 | 12" PVC @ 0.50% |
| Surface | CB #7 | 0.217 | - | - | - | - | - | 7.02 | 7.216 | 0.599 | 0.130 | 0.938 | |
| CB #7 | OUT #2 | 0.217 | 21.45 | 0.09 | 0.40% | 2.930 | 0.12 | 7.14 | 7.138 | 0.599 | 0.130 | 0.928 | 8" PVC @ 0.40% |

Point of Confluence/Longest Path to the Retention Basin (Watershed area 9/DMA-9)


Note: See post development channel reports for pipe flow depth and velocity calculations

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#1 to CB#2

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.45 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.726 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.25 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2628.69 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 2.87 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.29 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.41 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.63 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.58 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.73 | | |
| | | | |




Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#2 to CB#3

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.48 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.790 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.27 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2628.30 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 2.92 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.35 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.42 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.60 |
| Calculations | | EĠL (ft) | = 0.61 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | , , | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.79 | | |




Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#4 to CB#5

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.32 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.416 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.17 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2627.90 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 2.49 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.03 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.30 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.67 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.42 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.42 | | |




Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#3 to CB#6

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.83 | Depth (ft) | = 0.59 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 1.373 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.41 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2627.49 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 3.33 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.67 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.53 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.75 |
| Calculations | | EĠL (ft) | = 0.76 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | • • | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 1.37 | | |
| | | | |




Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#5 to CB#6

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.43 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.679 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.24 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2627.49 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 2.84 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.25 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.39 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.64 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.56 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.68 | | |
| | | | |




Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Wednesday, May 26 2021

SLD#1 to CB#6

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.22 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.200 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.10 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2627.58 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 1.97 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 0.82 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.21 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.63 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.28 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.20 | | |
| | | | |




Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#6 to OUT#1

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 1.00 | Depth (ft) | = 0.63 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 2.106 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.52 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2625.40 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 4.04 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.50 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.83 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.62 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.97 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.88 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 2.11 | | |
| | | | |




Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, May 25 2021

CB#7 to OUT#2

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 0.67 | Depth (ft) | = 0.57 |
| | | Q (cfs) | = 0.938 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.32 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2627.09 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 2.93 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.57 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.46 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.48 |
| Calculations | | EGL (ft) | = 0.70 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 0.94 | | |
| | | | |



POST DEVELOPMENT RETENTION BASIN ANALYSIS

RAIONAL METHOD HYDROGRAPH PROGRAM COPYRIGHT 1992, 2001 RICK ENGINEERING COMPANY

RUN DATE 5/26/2021

HYDROGRAPH FILE NAME 100-YEAR POST DEVELOPMENT INFLOW TO RETENTION BASIN TIME OF CONCENTRATION 24 MIN.

6 HOUR RAINFALL 3.41 INCHES

BASIN AREA 1.414 ACRES

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 0.599

PEAK DISCHARGE 2.1 CFS

```
TIME (MIN) = 0
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0
TIME (MIN) = 24
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 48
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 72
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 96
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 120
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 144
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.3
TIME (MIN) = 168
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.3
TIME (MIN) = 192
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.4
TIME (MIN) = 216
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.5
TIME (MIN) = 240
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 1.4
TIME (MIN) = 264
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 2.1
TIME (MIN) = 288
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.4
TIME (MIN) = 312
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.3
TIME (MIN) = 336
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 360
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0.2
TIME (MIN) = 384
                       DISCHARGE (CFS) = 0
```

Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2019.2


Tuesday, 05 / 25 / 2021

Hyd. No. 2

100-Yr Post Dev Runoff

Hydrograph type = Manual Storm frequency = 100 yrs Time interval = 24 min Peak discharge = 2.100 cfs Time to peak = 4.40 hrs Hyd. volume = 10,224 cuft

Time of Concentration, Tc



Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2019.2


Tuesday, 05 / 25 / 2021

Hyd. No. 3

Post Dev to Ret Basin

Hydrograph type = Reservoir Peak discharge = 0.000 cfsStorm frequency = 100 yrsTime to peak $= 12.40 \, hrs$ Time interval = 24 min Hyd. volume = 0 cuft = 2 - 100-Yr Post Development RulanoffElevation = 2630.87 ft Inflow hyd. No. = Ret Basin @ HMP Reservoir name Max. Storage = 9,355 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Tuesday, 05 / 25 / 2021

Pond No. 1 - Retention Pond From HMP Elevation

Pond Data

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 2628.25 ft

Stage / Storage Table


| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0.00 | 2628.25 | 2,719 | 0 | 0 ← HMP WATER LEVEL |
| 0.25 | 2628.50 | 2,874 | 699 | 699 |
| 0.50 | 2628.75 | 3,032 | 738 | 1,437 |
| 0.75 | 2629.00 | 3,193 | 778 | 2,215 |
| 1.00 | 2629.25 | 3,358 | 819 | 3,034 |
| 1.25 | 2629.50 | 3,527 | 860 | 3,894 |
| 1.50 | 2629.75 | 3,699 | 903 | 4,797 |
| 1.75 | 2630.00 | 3,874 | 946 | 5,744 |
| 2.00 | 2630.25 | 4,054 | 991 | 6,734 |
| 2.25 | 2630.50 | 4,236 | 1,036 | 7,770 |
| 2.50 | 2630.75 | 4,423 | 1,082 | 8,853 |
| 2.75 | 2631.00 | 4,612 | 1,129 | 9,982 |
| 3.00 | 2631.25 | 4,806 | 1,177 | 11,159 |
| 3.25 | 2631.50 | 5,003 | 1,226 | 12,385 |
| 3.50 | 2631.75 | 5,203 | 1,275 | 13,660 |
| 3.75 | 2632.00 | 5,407 | 1,326 | 14,986 |

Culvert / Orifice Structures

Weir Structures

| | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] | | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
|-----------------|-----------|------|------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------|----------------|
| Rise (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest Len (ft) | = 7.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest El. (ft) | = 2631.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels | = 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weir Coeff. | = 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 |
| Invert El. (ft) | = 2629.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Weir Type | = 1 | | | |
| Length (ft) | = 9.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Multi-Stage | = Yes | No | No | No |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | n/a | | | | | |
| N-Value | = .011 | .013 | .013 | n/a | | | | | |
| Orifice Coeff. | = 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Exfil.(in/hr) | = 0.510 (by | Contour) < | - INF | ILTRATION RATE |
| Multi-Stage | = n/a | No | No | No | TW Elev. (ft) | = 0.00 | | | |

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Pond No. 1 - Retention Pond From HMP Elevation

Pond Data

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 2628.25 ft

Stage / Storage Table


| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0.00 | 2628.25 | 2,719 | 0 | 0 ← HMP WATER LEVEL |
| 0.25 | 2628.50 | 2,874 | 699 | 699 |
| 0.50 | 2628.75 | 3,032 | 738 | 1,437 |
| 0.75 | 2629.00 | 3,193 | 778 | 2,215 |
| 1.00 | 2629.25 | 3,358 | 819 | 3,034 |
| 1.25 | 2629.50 | 3,527 | 860 | 3,894 |
| 1.50 | 2629.75 | 3,699 | 903 | 4,797 |
| 1.75 | 2630.00 | 3,874 | 946 | 5,744 |
| 2.00 | 2630.25 | 4,054 | 991 | 6,734 |
| 2.25 | 2630.50 | 4,236 | 1,036 | 7,770 |
| 2.50 | 2630.75 | 4,423 | 1,082 | 8,853 |
| 2.75 | 2631.00 | 4,612 | 1,129 | 9,982 |
| 3.00 | 2631.25 | 4,806 | 1,177 | 11,159 |
| 3.25 | 2631.50 | 5,003 | 1,226 | 12,385 |
| 3.50 | 2631.75 | 5,203 | 1,275 | 13,660 |
| 3.75 | 2632.00 | 5,407 | 1,326 | 14,986 |

Culvert / Orifice Structures

Weir Structures

| | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] | | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
|-----------------|-----------|------|------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------|----------------|
| Rise (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest Len (ft) | = 7.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest El. (ft) | = 2631.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels | = 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weir Coeff. | = 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 |
| Invert El. (ft) | = 2629.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Weir Type | = 1 | | | |
| Length (ft) | = 9.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Multi-Stage | = Yes | No | No | No |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | n/a | | | | | |
| N-Value | = .011 | .013 | .013 | n/a | | | | | |
| Orifice Coeff. | = 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Exfil.(in/hr) | = 0.510 (by | Contour) - | - INF | ILTRATION RATE |
| Multi-Stage | = n/a | No | No | No | TW Elev. (ft) | = 0.00 | | | |

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® 2019 by Autodesk, Inc. v2019.2

Tuesday, 05 / 25 / 2021

Pond No. 1 - Retention Pond From HMP Elevation

Contours -User-defined contour areas. Conic method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 2628.25 ft

Stage / Storage Table

| Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| 2628.25 | 2,719 | 0 | 0← HMP WATER LEVEL |
| 2628.50 | 2,874 | 699 | 699 |
| 2628.75 | 3,032 | 738 | 1,437 |
| 2629.00 | 3,193 | 778 | 2,215 |
| 2629.25 | 3,358 | 819 | 3,034 |
| 2629.50 | 3,527 | 860 | 3,894 |
| 2629.75 | 3,699 | 903 | 4,797 |
| 2630.00 | 3,874 | 946 | 5,744 |
| 2630.25 | 4,054 | 991 | 6,734 |
| 2630.50 | 4,236 | 1,036 | 7,770 |
| 2630.75 | 4,423 | 1,082 | 8,853 |
| 2631.00 | 4,612 | 1,129 | 9,982 |
| 2631.25 | 4,806 | 1,177 | 11,159 |
| 2631.50 | 5,003 | 1,226 | 12,385 |
| 2631.75 | 5,203 | 1,275 | 13,660 |
| 2632.00 | 5,407 | 1,326 | 14,986 |
| | 2628.25 2628.50 2628.75 2629.00 2629.25 2629.50 2629.75 2630.00 2630.25 2630.50 2630.75 2631.00 2631.25 2631.50 2631.75 | 2628.25 2,719 2628.50 2,874 2628.75 3,032 2629.00 3,193 2629.25 3,358 2629.50 3,527 2629.75 3,699 2630.00 3,874 2630.25 4,054 2630.50 4,236 2630.75 4,423 2631.00 4,612 2631.25 4,806 2631.50 5,003 2631.75 5,203 | 2628.25 2,719 0 2628.50 2,874 699 2628.75 3,032 738 2629.00 3,193 778 2629.25 3,358 819 2629.50 3,527 860 2629.75 3,699 903 2630.00 3,874 946 2630.25 4,054 991 2630.50 4,236 1,036 2630.75 4,423 1,082 2631.00 4,612 1,129 2631.25 4,806 1,177 2631.50 5,003 1,226 2631.75 5,203 1,275 |

Culvert / Orifice Structures

Weir Structures

| | [A] | [B] | [C] | [PrfRsr] | | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] |
|-----------------|-----------|------|------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|------|----------------|
| Rise (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest Len (ft) | = 7.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in) | = 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Crest El. (ft) | = 2631.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels | = 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Weir Coeff. | = 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 |
| Invert El. (ft) | = 2629.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Weir Type | = 1 | | | |
| Length (ft) | = 9.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Multi-Stage | = Yes | No | No | No |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | n/a | | | | | |
| N-Value | = .011 | .013 | .013 | n/a | | | | | |
| Orifice Coeff. | = 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Exfil.(in/hr) | = 0.510 (by | Contour) < | INF | ILTRATION RATE |
| Multi-Stage | = n/a | No | No | No | TW Elev. (ft) | = 0.00 | | | |

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).

| Stage / | Storage | / Discharge | Table |
|---------|---------|-------------|-------|

| ı (UC) | COITH OI. | Well Haela | CHECKEU IOI | office conditions | (IC) allu su |
|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| IN | 1511 6 | | OL LINANI | | |

| Stage | Storage | Elevation | Clv A | Clv B | Clv C | PrfRsr | Wr A | Wr B | Wr C | Wr D | Exfil | User | Total |
|-------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|-------|
| ft | cuft | ft | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs | cfs |
| | | | P WATER | LEVEL | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.00 | 0 | 2628.25 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.000 | | 0.000 |
| 0.03 | 70 | 2628.27 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.003 | | 0.003 |
| 0.05 | 140 | 2628.30 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.007 | | 0.007 |
| 0.08 | 210 | 2628.32 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.010 | | 0.010 |
| 0.10 | 280 | 2628.35 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.014 | | 0.014 |
| 0.13 | 349 | 2628.38 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.017 | | 0.017 |
| 0.15 | 419 | 2628.40 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.020 | | 0.020 |
| 0.17 | 489 | 2628.42 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.024 | | 0.024 |
| 0.20 | 559 | 2628.45 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.027 | | 0.027 |
| 0.22 | 629 | 2628.47 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.031 | | 0.031 |
| 0.25 | 699 | 2628.50 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.034 | | 0.034 |
| 0.28 | 773 | 2628.52 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.034 | | 0.034 |
| 0.30 | 847 | 2628.55 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.034 | | 0.034 |
| 0.32 | 920 | 2628.57 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.034 | | 0.034 |
| 0.35 | 994 | 2628.60 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.035 | | 0.035 |
| 0.38 | 1,068 | 2628.63 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.035 | | 0.035 |
| 0.40 | 1,142 | 2628.65 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.035 | | 0.035 |
| 0.43 | 1,216 | 2628.67 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.035 | | 0.035 |
| 0.45 | 1,289 | 2628.70 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.035 | | 0.035 |
| 0.48 | 1,363 | 2628.72 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.036 | | 0.036 |
| 0.50 | 1,437 | 2628.75 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.036 | | 0.036 |
| 0.52 | 1,515 | 2628.77 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.036 | | 0.036 |
| 0.55 | 1,593 | 2628.80 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.036 | | 0.036 |
| 0.57 | 1,670 | 2628.82 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.036 | | 0.036 |
| 0.60 | 1,748 | 2628.85 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.037 | | 0.037 |
| 0.62 | 1,826 | 2628.88 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.037 | | 0.037 |
| 0.65 | 1,904 | 2628.90 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.037 | | 0.037 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | . | | |

Continues on next page...

Stage / Storage / Discharge Table

| Stage / | Storage / L | Discharge 1 | able | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Stage ft | Storage cuft | Elevation ft | CIv A cfs | Clv B cfs | Clv C cfs | PrfRsr cfs | Wr A cfs | Wr B cfs | Wr C cfs | Wr D cfs | Exfil cfs | User cfs | Total cfs |
| 0.67 | 1,981 | 2628.92 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.037 | | 0.037 |
| 0.70 | 2,059 | 2628.95 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.037 | | 0.037 |
| 0.72 | 2,137 | 2628.97 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.038 | | 0.038 |
| 0.75 | 2,215 | 2629.00 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.038 | | 0.038 |
| 0.77 0.80 | 2,297 2,379 | 2629.02 2629.05 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.038 0.038 | | 0.038 0.038 |
| 0.82 | 2,379 | 2629.07 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.038 | | 0.038 |
| 0.85 | 2,542 | 2629.10 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.038 | | 0.038 |
| 0.87 | 2,624 | 2629.13 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.039 | | 0.039 |
| 0.90 | 2,706 | 2629.15 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.039 | | 0.039 |
| 0.92 | 2,788 | 2629.17 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.039 | | 0.039 |
| 0.95 | 2,870 | 2629.20 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.039 | | 0.039 |
| 0.97 1.00 | 2,952 3,034 | 2629.22 2629.25 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.039 0.040 | | 0.039 0.040 |
| 1.02 | 3,034 | 2629.27 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.040 | | 0.040 |
| 1.05 | 3,206 | 2629.30 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.040 | | 0.040 |
| 1.08 | 3,292 | 2629.32 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.040 | | 0.040 |
| 1.10 | 3,378 | 2629.35 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.040 | | 0.040 |
| 1.13 | 3,464 | 2629.38 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.041 | | 0.041 |
| 1.15 | 3,550 | 2629.40 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.041 | | 0.041 |
| 1.17 | 3,636 | 2629.42 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.041 | | 0.041 |
| 1.20 1.23 | 3,722 3,808 | 2629.45 2629.47 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.041 0.041 | | 0.041 0.041 |
| 1.25 | 3,894 | 2629.50 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.041 | | 0.041 |
| 1.27 | 3,984 | 2629.52 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.042 | | 0.042 |
| 1.30 | 4,075 | 2629.55 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.042 | | 0.042 |
| 1.33 | 4,165 | 2629.57 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.042 | | 0.042 |
| 1.35 | 4,255 | 2629.60 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.042 | | 0.042 |
| 1.38 | 4,346 | 2629.63 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.043 | | 0.043 |
| 1.40 1.42 | 4,436 4,526 | 2629.65 2629.67 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.043 0.043 | | 0.043 0.043 |
| 1.42 | 4,616 | 2629.70 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.043 | | 0.043 |
| 1.48 | 4,707 | 2629.72 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.043 | | 0.043 |
| 1.50 | 4,797 | 2629.75 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.044 | | 0.044 |
| 1.52 | 4,892 | 2629.77 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.044 | | 0.044 |
| 1.55 | 4,986 | 2629.80 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.044 | | 0.044 |
| 1.58 | 5,081 | 2629.82 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.044 | | 0.044 |
| 1.60 | 5,176 | 2629.85 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.044 | | 0.044 |
| 1.63 1.65 | 5,270 5,365 | 2629.88 2629.90 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.045 0.045 | | 0.045 0.045 |
| 1.67 | 5,460 | 2629.92 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.045 | | 0.045 |
| 1.70 | 5,554 | 2629.95 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.045 | | 0.045 |
| 1.73 | 5,649 | 2629.97 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.046 | | 0.046 |
| 1.75 | 5,744 | 2630.00 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.046 | | 0.046 |
| 1.77 | 5,843 | 2630.02 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.046 | | 0.046 |
| 1.80 | 5,942 | 2630.05 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.046 | | 0.046 |
| 1.83 1.85 | 6,041 6,140 | 2630.07 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.046 0.047 | | 0.046 0.047 |
| 1.88 | 6,239 | 2630.10 2630.13 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.047 | | 0.047 |
| 1.90 | 6,338 | 2630.15 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.047 | | 0.047 |
| 1.92 | 6,437 | 2630.17 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.047 | | 0.047 |
| 1.95 | 6,536 | 2630.20 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.047 | | 0.047 |
| 1.98 | 6,635 | 2630.22 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.048 | | 0.048 |
| 2.00 | 6,734 | 2630.25 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.048 | | 0.048 |
| 2.03 | 6,838 | 2630.27 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.048 | | 0.048 |
| 2.05 2.08 | 6,942 7,045 | 2630.30 2630.32 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.048 0.049 | | 0.048 0.049 |
| 2.10 | 7,149 | 2630.35 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.049 | | 0.049 |
| 2.13 | 7,252 | 2630.38 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.049 | | 0.049 |
| 2.15 | 7,356 | 2630.40 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.049 | | 0.049 |
| 2.18 | 7,460 | 2630.42 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.049 | | 0.049 |
| 2.20 | 7,563 | 2630.45 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.050 | | 0.050 |
| 2.23 | 7,667 | 2630.47 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.050 | | 0.050 |
| 2.25 | 7,770 7,870 | 2630.50 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.050 | | 0.050 |
| 2.28 2.30 | 7,879 7,987 | 2630.52 2630.55 | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.00 0.00 | | | | 0.050 0.050 | | 0.050 0.050 |
| 2.30 | 8,095 | 2630.55 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.050 | | 0.050 |
| 2.35 | 8,203 | 2630.60 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.051 | | 0.051 |
| 2.38 | 8,312 | 2630.63 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.051 | | 0.051 |
| 2.40 | 8,420 | 2630.65 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.051 | | 0.051 |
| 2.43 | 8,528 | 2630.67 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.052 | | 0.052 |
| 2.45 | 8,636 | 2630.70 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.052 | | 0.052 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Continue | e on no | onen ty |

Continues on next page...

Retention Pond From HMP Elevation **Stage / Storage / Discharge Table**


| Stage ft | Storage cuft | Elevation ft | Clv A cfs | Clv B cfs | Clv C cfs | PrfRsr cfs | Wr A cfs | Wr B cfs | Wr C cfs | Wr D cfs | Exfil cfs | User cfs | Total cfs |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| 2.48 | 8,744 | 2630.72 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.052 | | 0.052 |
| 2.50 | 8,853 | 2630.75 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.052 | | 0.052 |
| 2.53 | 8,966 | 2630.77 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.052 | | 0.052 |
| 2.55 | 9,078 | 2630.80 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.053 | | 0.053 |
| 2.58 | 9,191 | 2630.82 | 0.00 | 0400 14 | /TD L E | VEL | 0.00 | | | | 0.053 | | 0.053 |
| 2.60 | 9,304 | 2630.85 | | Q100 W | | VEL | 0.00 | | | | 0.053 | | 0.053 |
| 2.63 | 9,417 | 2630.88 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.053 | | 0.053 |
| 2.65 | 9,530 | 2630.90 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.054 | | 0.054 |
| 2.68 | 9,643 | 2630.92 | 0.00 | | | | 0.00 | | | | 0.054 | | 0.054 |
| 2.70 | 9,756 | 2630.95 | 0.00 | OUTLE | T/DISC | HARGE | | R ELE | /ATION | | 0.054 | | 0.054 |
| 2.73 2.75 | 9,869 | 2630.97 | 0.00 | | | | | | | | 0.054 | | 0.054 0.054 |
| 2.73 | 9,982 10,099 | 2631.00 2631.02 | 0.00 0.10 oc | | | | 0.00 0.10 | | | | 0.054 0.055 | | 0.054 |
| 2.70 | 10,099 | 2631.05 | 0.10 oc 0.27 oc | | | | 0.10 | | | | 0.055 | | 0.130 |
| 2.83 | 10,335 | 2631.07 | 0.50 oc | | | | 0.49 | | | | 0.055 | | 0.549 |
| 2.85 | 10,453 | 2631.10 | 0.77 oc | | | | 0.76 | | | | 0.055 | | 0.816 |
| 2.88 | 10,570 | 2631.13 | 1.06 oc | | | | 1.06 | | | | 0.056 | | 1.119 |
| 2.90 | 10,688 | 2631.15 | 1.40 oc | | | | 1.40 | | | | 0.056 | | 1.454 |
| 2.93 | 10,806 | 2631.17 | 1.76 oc | | | | 1.76 | | | | 0.056 | | 1.818 |
| 2.95 | 10,923 | 2631.20 | 2.15 oc | | | | 2.15 | | | | 0.056 | | 2.209 |
| 2.98 | 11,041 | 2631.22 | 2.57 oc | | | | 2.57 | | | | 0.057 | | 2.625 |
| 3.00 | 11,159 | 2631.25 | 3.03 oc | | | | 3.03 | | | | 0.057 | | 3.083 |
| 3.03 | 11,281 | 2631.27 | 3.49 oc | | | | 3.49 | | | | 0.057 | | 3.546 |
| 3.05 | 11,404 | 2631.30 | 3.87 oc | | | | 3.87 s | | | | 0.057 | | 3.931 |
| 3.08 | 11,527 | 2631.32 | 4.08 oc | | | | 4.08 s | | | | 0.057 | | 4.134 |
| 3.10 | 11,649 | 2631.35 | 4.24 oc | | | | 4.24 s | | | | 0.058 | | 4.293 |
| 3.13 | 11,772 | 2631.38 | 4.37 oc | | | | 4.37 s | | | | 0.058 | | 4.428 |
| 3.15 | 11,894 | 2631.40 | 4.49 oc | | | | 4.49 s | | | | 0.058 | | 4.545 |
| 3.18 | 12,017 | 2631.42 | 4.59 oc | | | | 4.59 s | | | | 0.058 | | 4.650 |
| 3.20 | 12,140 | 2631.45 | 4.69 oc | | | | 4.69 s | | | | 0.059 | | 4.747 |
| 3.23 | 12,262 | 2631.47 | 4.78 oc | | | | 4.78 s | | | | 0.059 | | 4.836 |
| 3.25 | 12,385 | 2631.50 | 4.86 oc | | | | 4.86 s | | | | 0.059 | | 4.922 |
| 3.28 | 12,512 12,640 | 2631.52 | 4.94 oc | | | | 4.94 s | | | | 0.059 | | 5.000 5.074 |
| 3.30 3.33 | 12,640 | 2631.55 2631.57 | 5.02 oc | | | | 5.01 s | | | | 0.060 0.060 | | 5.074 5.144 |
| 3.35 | 12,767 | 2631.60 | 5.09 oc 5.16 oc | | | | 5.08 s 5.15 s | | | | 0.060 | | 5.144 |
| 3.38 | 13,022 | 2631.63 | 5.22 oc | | | | 5.13 s 5.22 s | | | | 0.060 | | 5.281 |
| 3.40 | 13,150 | 2631.65 | 5.29 oc | | | | 5.22 s 5.28 s | | | | 0.060 | | 5.345 |
| 3.43 | 13,278 | 2631.67 | 5.35 oc | | | | 5.35 s | | | | 0.061 | | 5.406 |
| 3.45 | 13,405 | 2631.70 | 5.41 oc | | | | 5.40 s | | | | 0.061 | | 5.465 |
| 3.48 | 13,533 | 2631.72 | 5.47 oc | | | | 5.46 s | | | | 0.061 | | 5.523 |
| 3.50 | 13,660 | 2631.75 | 5.53 oc | | | | 5.52 s | | | | 0.061 | | 5.584 |
| 3.53 | 13,793 | 2631.77 | 5.58 oc | | | | 5.58 s | | | | 0.062 | | 5.644 |
| 3.55 | 13,925 | 2631.80 | 5.64 oc | | | | 5.64 s | | | | 0.062 | | 5.701 |
| 3.58 | 14,058 | 2631.82 | 5.69 oc | | | | 5.69 s | | | | 0.062 | | 5.756 |
| 3.60 | 14,191 | 2631.85 | 5.75 oc | | | | 5.74 s | | | | 0.062 | | 5.807 |
| 3.63 | 14,323 | 2631.88 | 5.80 oc | | | | 5.80 s | | | | 0.063 | | 5.859 |
| 3.65 | 14,456 | 2631.90 | 5.85 oc | | | | 5.85 s | | | | 0.063 | | 5.917 |
| 3.68 | 14,588 | 2631.92 | 5.91 oc | | | | 5.90 s | | | | 0.063 | | 5.962 |
| 3.70 | 14,721 | 2631.95 | 5.96 oc | | | | 5.95 s | | | | 0.063 | | 6.017 |
| 3.73 | 14,854 | 2631.97 | 6.01 oc | | | | 6.00 s | | | | 0.064 | | 6.061 |
| 3.75 | 14,986 | 2632.00 | 6.06 oc | | | | 6.05 s | | | | 0.064 | | 6.112 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ز | 7 |

...End

THEORETICAL MAX DISCHARGE RATE IF WATER LEVEL IS AT TOP OF RETETION BASIN. THIS LEVEL EXCEEDS THE LEVEL OF Q100 FROM HMP WATER LEVEL.

RETENTION BASIN AREA: 5,407 SQ. FT.

TOTAL DEPTH: 6.75 FT



RETENTION BASIN, OVERFLOW DRAINAGE INLET, AND OVERFLOW DISCHARGE PIPE SECTION DETAIL


POST DEVELOPMENT CHANNEL REPORTS FOR OFF-SITE DISCHARGE

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Wednesday, May 26 2021

12in Discharge Pipe to Off-Site

| Circular | | Highlighted | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Diameter (ft) | = 1.00 | Depth (ft) | = 0.67 |
| • • | | Q (cfs) | = 2.100 |
| | | Area (sqft) | = 0.56 |
| Invert Elev (ft) | = 2629.44 | Velocity (ft/s) | = 3.75 |
| Slope (%) | = 0.40 | Wetted Perim (ft) | = 1.92 |
| N-Value | = 0.011 | Crit Depth, Yc (ft) | = 0.62 |
| | | Top Width (ft) | = 0.94 |
| Calculations | | EĠL (ft) | = 0.89 |
| Compute by: | Known Q | | |
| Known Q (cfs) | = 2.10 | | |
| | | | |



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Friday, May 28 2021

North Terrace Ditch Type C

| ır | ıa | nguia | r |
|--------|----|----------|---|
| \sim | | \sim . | |

Side Slopes (z:1) = 2.50, 2.50Total Depth (ft) = 1.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 2635.02 Slope (%) = 4.52 N-Value = 0.011

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 4.76

Highlighted

= 0.44Depth (ft) Q (cfs) = 4.760Area (sqft) = 0.48Velocity (ft/s) = 9.83 Wetted Perim (ft) = 2.37Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.75Top Width (ft) = 2.20EGL (ft) = 1.94



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Friday, May 28 2021

West Terrace Ditch Type C

Triangular Side Slopes (z:1) = 2.50, 2.50


Total Depth (ft) = 1.00

Invert Elev (ft) = 2634.72 Slope (%) = 10.53 N-Value = 0.013


Calculations

Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 0.15 Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.11Q (cfs) = 0.147Area (sqft) = 0.03Velocity (ft/s) = 4.86 Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.59Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.19Top Width (ft) = 0.55EGL (ft) = 0.48



SOILS REPORT EXCERPTS






- APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATION
- ▲ APPROXIMATE INFILTRATION TEST LOCATION
- ▲ APPROXIMATE R-VALUE LOCATION

| SITE MAP | Scale: NTS | Date: April, 2019 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL CAMPO | Drawn by: JP | Approved by: JK |
| NEQ HIGHWAY 94 & DEWEY PLACE | Project No. 112-19016 | Figure No. |
| CAMPO, CALIFORNIA | | |



| D14-4 | 144240046 | RESULTS OF INFILT | | | Date | 4/24/2019 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Project# | 11219016 | | | | Date | 4/24/2010 |
| Project Name | Dollar General Ca | impo | | | | |
| Project Address | Campo, CA | | | | | |
| Test No: | IT-4 | Total Depth (in.) | | 96 | Test Size (in) | 9 |
| Depth To Water | >50' | Soil Classification | | Weathered Gran | | |
| Depth 10 water | >50 | 3011 Classification | | TVV eathered Oran | ito | |
| Reading | Elasped Time(min.) | Incremental Time (min.) | Initial Depth To Water(in.) | Final Depth To Water(in.) | Incremental Fall of Water(in.) | Incremental Infiltration Rate (in/hr) |
| Start | 0 | 0.00 | | 4.0 | | |
| 1 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 7.00 | 0.56 |
| 2 | 40.00 | 20.00 | 11.0 | 17.0 | 6.00 | 0.52 |
| 3 | 60.00 | 20.00 | 17.0 | 22.5 | 5.50 | 0.51 |
| 4 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 22.5 | 28.0 | 5.50 | 0.55 |
| 5 | 100.00 | 20.00 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 5.00 | 0.54 |
| 6 | 120.00 | 20.00 | 33.0 | 38.0 | 5.00 | 0.58 |
| 7 | 140.00 | 20.00 | 38.0 | 42.5 | 4.50 | 0.57 |
| 8 | 160.00 | 20.00 | 42.5 | 46.5 | 4.00 | 0.54 |
| 9 | 180.00 | 20.00 | 46.5 | 50.5 | 4.00 | 0.59 |
| 10 | 200.00 | 20.00 | 50.5 | 54.0 | 3.50 | 0.56 |
| 11 | 220.00 | 20.00 | 54.0 | 57.5 | 3.50 | 0.61 |
| 12 | 240.00 | 20.00 | 57.5 | 60.5 | 3.00 | 0.56 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Infiltrati | on Rate in Inches | er Hour | <u> </u> | 0.51 |



NOAA RAINFALL DATA



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 Location name: Campo, California, USA* Latitude: 32.6299°, Longitude: -116.4696° Elevation: 2638.81 ft**

* source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

| PDS- | PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Duration | | | | Averag | e recurrenc | e interval (y | ears) | | | | |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 | |
| 5-min | 0.124 (0.105-0.149) | 0.158 (0.133-0.189) | 0.212 (0.178-0.255) | 0.265 (0.220-0.322) | 0.354 (0.284-0.445) | 0.437 (0.343-0.563) | 0.538 (0.412-0.711) | 0.662 (0.492-0.901) | 0.868 (0.617-1.23) | 1.06 (0.728-1.57) | |
| 10-min | 0.178 (0.150-0.213) | 0.226 (0.190-0.271) | 0.304 (0.255-0.366) | 0.380 (0.316-0.461) | 0.507 (0.407-0.638) | 0.627 (0.492-0.807) | 0.772 (0.590-1.02) | 0.949 (0.705-1.29) | 1.24 (0.884-1.77) | 1.52 (1.04-2.24) | |
| 15-min | 0.215 (0.181-0.258) | 0.273 (0.230-0.328) | 0.367 (0.308-0.442) | 0.459 (0.382-0.558) | 0.613 (0.492-0.772) | 0.758 (0.595-0.976) | 0.933 (0.714-1.23) | 1.15 (0.852-1.56) | 1.50 (1.07-2.14) | 1.84 (1.26-2.71) | |
| 30-min | 0.290 (0.244-0.347) | 0.368 (0.310-0.442) | 0.494 (0.414-0.595) | 0.618 (0.514-0.751) | 0.825 (0.662-1.04) | 1.02 (0.801-1.31) | 1.26 (0.961-1.66) | 1.55 (1.15-2.10) | 2.02 (1.44-2.88) | 2.48 (1.70-3.65) | |
| 60-min | 0.388 (0.327-0.465) | 0.493 (0.415-0.591) | 0.662 (0.555-0.797) | 0.828 (0.688-1.00) | 1.11 (0.887-1.39) | 1.37 (1.07-1.76) | 1.68 (1.29-2.22) | 2.07 (1.54-2.81) | 2.71 (1.93-3.85) | 3.32 (2.27-4.89) | |
| 2-hr | 0.519 (0.437-0.622) | 0.659 (0.554-0.791) | 0.881 (0.739-1.06) | 1.10 (0.912-1.33) | 1.45 (1.17-1.83) | 1.78 (1.40-2.29) | 2.18 (1.67-2.88) | 2.66 (1.97-3.61) | 3.44 (2.44-4.88) | 4.17 (2.86-6.14) | |
| 3-hr | 0.603 (0.508-0.723) | 0.767 (0.646-0.921) | 1.03 (0.861-1.24) | 1.27 (1.06-1.55) | 1.68 (1.35-2.12) | 2.06 (1.61-2.65) | 2.50 (1.91-3.30) | 3.03 (2.25-4.12) | 3.90 (2.77-5.54) | 4.70 (3.22-6.92) | |
| 6-hr | 0.829 (0.698-0.994) | 1.06 (0.895-1.28) | 1.43 (1.20-1.72) | 1.77 (1.47-2.15) | 2.32 (1.87-2.92) | 2.82 (2.22-3.63) | 3.41 (2.61-4.50) | 4.10 (3.05-5.58) | 5.21 (3.70-7.40) | 6.21 (4.26-9.15) | |
| 12-hr | 1.11 (0.938-1.34) | 1.45 (1.22-1.74) | 1.96 (1.64-2.36) | 2.43 (2.02-2.96) | 3.18 (2.55-4.00) | 3.84 (3.01-4.94) | 4.59 (3.51-6.07) | 5.48 (4.07-7.45) | 6.85 (4.87-9.74) | 8.09 (5.54-11.9) | |
| 24-hr | 1.59 (1.40-1.84) | 2.11 (1.85-2.45) | 2.89 (2.53-3.36) | 3.60 (3.13-4.22) | 4.70 (3.97-5.68) | 5.66 (4.69-6.97) | 6.74 (5.46-8.50) | 7.99 (6.31-10.3) | 9.90 (7.53-13.3) | 11.6 (8.53-16.1) | |
| 2-day | 1.96 (1.73-2.28) | 2.71 (2.38-3.15) | 3.83 (3.35-4.45) | 4.84 (4.21-5.67) | 6.39 (5.39-7.72) | 7.73 (6.40-9.52) | 9.24 (7.48-11.6) | 11.0 (8.66-14.2) | 13.6 (10.3-18.3) | 15.9 (11.7-22.0) | |
| 3-day | 2.17 (1.91-2.52) | 3.07 (2.70-3.56) | 4.40 (3.86-5.12) | 5.61 (4.88-6.58) | 7.46 (6.30-9.02) | 9.07 (7.51-11.2) | 10.9 (8.81-13.7) | 12.9 (10.2-16.7) | 16.1 (12.2-21.6) | 18.8 (13.9-26.1) | |
| 4-day | 2.35 (2.06-2.72) | 3.34 (2.93-3.88) | 4.81 (4.22-5.60) | 6.15 (5.35-7.22) | 8.21 (6.93-9.93) | 10.0 (8.28-12.3) | 12.0 (9.73-15.1) | 14.3 (11.3-18.5) | 17.8 (13.5-23.9) | 20.9 (15.4-29.0) | |
| 7-day | 2.68 (2.36-3.11) | 3.78 (3.32-4.39) | 5.43 (4.76-6.32) | 6.93 (6.03-8.13) | 9.26 (7.82-11.2) | 11.3 (9.36-13.9) | 13.6 (11.0-17.1) | 16.2 (12.8-21.0) | 20.3 (15.4-27.3) | 23.9 (17.6-33.1) | |
| 10-day | 2.99 (2.63-3.47) | 4.19 (3.68-4.86) | 5.97 (5.24-6.95) | 7.61 (6.62-8.93) | 10.2 (8.57-12.3) | 12.4 (10.2-15.2) | 14.9 (12.1-18.8) | 17.8 (14.1-23.0) | 22.3 (16.9-29.9) | 26.2 (19.3-36.3) | |
| 20-day | 3.70 (3.25-4.29) | 5.08 (4.47-5.90) | 7.15 (6.27-8.32) | 9.04 (7.86-10.6) | 12.0 (10.1-14.5) | 14.5 (12.0-17.9) | 17.4 (14.1-21.9) | 20.7 (16.3-26.7) | 25.8 (19.6-34.6) | 30.3 (22.3-41.9) | |
| 30-day | 4.54 (3.99-5.27) | 6.18 (5.43-7.17) | 8.60 (7.54-10.0) | 10.8 (9.40-12.7) | 14.2 (12.0-17.2) | 17.1 (14.2-21.1) | 20.4 (16.6-25.8) | 24.2 (19.1-31.3) | 30.0 (22.8-40.3) | 35.1 (25.8-48.6) | |
| 45-day | 5.50 (4.83-6.38) | 7.39 (6.49-8.58) | 10.2 (8.91-11.8) | 12.7 (11.0-14.9) | 16.5 (13.9-20.0) | 19.8 (16.4-24.4) | 23.5 (19.0-29.6) | 27.7 (21.9-35.8) | 34.0 (25.9-45.7) | 39.6 (29.1-54.8) | |
| 60-day | 6.41 (5.64-7.44) | 8.55 (7.51-9.92) | 11.7 (10.2-13.6) | 14.4 (12.6-16.9) | 18.7 (15.8-22.6) | 22.3 (18.4-27.4) | 26.3 (21.3-33.1) | 30.8 (24.3-39.8) | 37.6 (28.6-50.5) | 43.5 (32.1-60.3) | |


¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves Latitude: 32.6299°, Longitude: -116.4696°




NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2

Created (GMT): Mon Nov 16 03:03:39 2020

Back to Top

Maps & aerials


Small scale terrain







Large scale aerial



Back to Top

US Department of Commerce
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service National Water Center
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov

<u>Disclaimer</u>