REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION RIDGEWAY DRIVE APTS MAJOR GRADING PDS2020-LDGRMJ-30273; PDS2023-ER-23-18-004 APNs: 563-184-44, 564-040-02, 21 & 23

August 10, 2023

			\underline{E} – Does the proposed project conform to the Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
the Multiple Speci	ies Conserva	ation Progran	rovements are located within the boundaries of n. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss dings is not required.
II. MSCP/BMO - Deprogram and Biol			t conform to the Multiple Species Conservation ce?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
within the bound conforms with the	daries of the Multiple Sp	e Multiple S ecies Conse	rovements related to the proposed project are Species Conservation Program. The project ervation Program and the Biological Mitigation lings dated August 4, 2023.
III. GROUNDWA the San Diego Co			es the project comply with the requirements of ance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
Discussion:			

The project will obtain its water supply from the South Bay Irrigation Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use

any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

August 10, 2023

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with: The wetland and wetland buffer regulations YES NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource \bowtie Protection Ordinance? The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section YES NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))? YES NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT NO \boxtimes YES The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? \boxtimes The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites YES NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource \boxtimes Protection Ordinance? Discussion: The Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO) does not apply to major grading permits. In addition, the property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian and it has been determined there is one (or more) archaeological/historical site(s) present. Testing and other investigation determined the archaeological/historical site does not meet the definition of significant site and does not need to be preserved under the Resource Protection Ordinance. Therefore, the project complies with the RPO. V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)? YES NO **NOT APPLICABLE** Discussion: The project Storm Water Management Plan and Hydromodification Management Study have been reviewed and are found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO. VI. NOISE ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance? YES **NOT APPLICABLE** NO

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.