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1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY/ABSTRACT

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. (BFSA) conducted a Class III cultural resources survey for the Moosa Creek Mitigation Bank Project, located near Bonsall in north San Diego County, California. The property is located east of Highway 76, generally surrounding the intersection of Old River Road and Camino Del Rey, in the community of Bonsall. More specifically, the project is located in Sections 20, 29, and 30 on the 7.5-minute USGS Bonsall, California topographic quadrangle, Township 10 South, Range 3 West. The project proposes to transform a golf course use to a wetland and species mitigation bank to be known as the “Moosa Creek Mitigation Bank” (MCMB). The existing San Luis Rey Downs Resort Golf Course would be closed as part of this project. The proposed MCMB site consists of approximately 168 acres (Phase I and II) and is nearly entirely within the 100-year floodplain of the San Luis Rey River and Moosa Creek. Entitlement of the MCMB is anticipated to result in the rehabilitation and re-establishment of wetlands, riparian floodplain, and upland buffer. The 59-acre “Phase III” portion of the property may be added to the MCMB at a later date, be utilized for turn-key mitigation projects, or sold for public park and habitat restoration use.

BFSA was retained to complete a Class I inventory for an area within a one-mile radius around the project area, as well as a Class III intensive pedestrian archaeological survey of the proposed project area, designated as the Area of Potential Effect (APE), to assess the potential adverse effects of the project to any cultural resources. The scope of work for this investigation included:

- A records search to acquire data regarding previously recorded archaeological sites on or near the APE.
- A systematic survey of the APE, including the 227 acres of proposed entitlement area.
- Efforts to locate and record any archaeological resources encountered on the property. The scope of work performed by BFSA is consistent with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) requirements.

An archaeological records search was conducted by BFSA at the South Coastal Information Center (SCIC) in order to assess the previous archaeological studies within the project area or within the immediate vicinity of the project area (Appendix II). The records search indicated that portions of the property had been previously surveyed and that two prehistoric resources (SDI-674 and SDI-8563) were recorded within the APE. The archaeological survey for the MCMB took place on February 27, 2013 under the field direction of Brian F. Smith. No cultural resources were located during the survey of the property. Based
on the survey and records search results, no adverse effects to cultural resources are anticipated as a result of the undertaking. The previously recorded archaeological sites along the northwest boundary of the project have been extensively disturbed by the recent construction and widening of Highway 76. If any portions of these sites still exist within the APE, no visible evidence of them was noted due to dense ground cover along the San Luis Rey River.
2.0 INTRODUCTION

BFSA conducted an archaeological assessment for the MCMB Project to assess the potential for cultural resources within the APE. The archaeological survey of the APE was conducted in order to comply with the NHPA, Section 106, the NEPA of 1969, and the CEQA in accordance with the requirements of the project. The project is located in an area of moderate-to-high cultural resource sensitivity, as suggested by known site density and predictive modeling. Sensitivity for cultural resources in a given area is usually indicated by known settlement patterns, which in the coastal region is focused around environments with accessible food and water.

The project consists of a 227-acre APE located near the intersection of Old River Road and Camino Del Rey on the existing San Luis Rey Downs Resort Golf Course in the rural community of Bonsall. More specifically, the project is located in Sections 20, 29, and 30 on the 7.5-minute USGS Bonsall, California topographic quadrangle, Township 10 South, Range 3 West (Figures 2.0–1 and 2.0–2). The proposed MCMB site consists of approximately 227 acres as depicted on the attached aerial photograph (Figure 2.0–3). The project is anticipated to result in the rehabilitation and re-establishment of wetlands, riparian floodplain, and upland buffer within the confines of the existing golf course property. The 59-acre "Phase III" portion of the property may be added to the project at a later date, be utilized for turn-key mitigation projects, or sold for public park and habitat restoration use. The existing golf course operation would be closed. The entire 227-acre property was studied as part of the cultural resources assessment.

Proposed impacts generated by the project include stream creation, stream/riparian enhancement, and floodplain/riparian restoration. Stream creation includes excavation and grading to create a new morphologically stable creek channel to supplement the existing stream channel within the golf course. It is anticipated that the new channel would convey higher flow events while the existing channel would continue to convey low velocity flows. A new secondary stream channel would be created by excavating topographically lower areas where high flows tend to flood during large storm events. The creation of new secondary channels and improvement to the original channel will help alleviate flooding and convey flows to the adjacent restored floodplain and riparian habitats.

Stream/riparian enhancement would consist of slight topographic modifications to the existing stream channel and immediately adjacent floodplain. The existing stream channel will be excavated up to about two feet to restore the original flow line created in 1967 by Grading Plan L5069. A sedimentation study and hydraulic analysis will be completed during the MCMB entitlement process in order to ensure that channel and floodplain modifications result in positive flow from the County-maintained culverts under Camino Del Rey, just upstream of the Golf Club Drive intersection. Other activities that may occur could include widening of the existing channel, bank stabilization, installation of in-channel step pools, and planting of riparian vegetation in existing floodplain areas where vegetation is currently removed to maintain the golf course.
Figure 2.0–1
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Floodplain/riparian restoration would involve higher elevation areas outside of the current floodplain that would be lowered and planted with wetland and riparian species. The creation of additional floodplain/riparian areas combined with the construction of a new stream channel that would convey higher flows would significantly alleviate flooding and expand sensitive habitats within the site. While no identified cultural resources will be affected by the landform modifications within the APE, the potential exists that cultural deposits buried beneath the golf course could be encountered during grading and excavation for the MCMB Project. To identify inadvertent discoveries and evaluate any resources that could be uncovered, an archaeologist shall monitor construction activities. Any cultural resources discovered shall be secured from any further disturbance until the archaeological site is evaluated for significance or eligibility status for the National Register of Historic Places.

BFSA conducted the archaeological survey and records search review of the project on February 27, 2013. Brian F. Smith, Principal Investigator, directed the Class III archaeological assessment for the project, in addition to conducting the pedestrian survey with assistance from field technician Clarence Hoff. Brian Smith and Tracy Stropes prepared the technical report, Tracy Stropes created the report graphics, and Elena Buckley conducted technical editing and distribution of the report. Qualifications of key personnel are provided in Appendix I.
3.0 PROJECT SETTING

The project setting includes the natural, physical, geological, and biological context of the proposed project, as well as the cultural setting of prehistoric and historic human activities in the general area. The following sections discuss both the environmental and cultural settings at the subject property, the relationship between the two, and the relevance of that relationship to the project.

3.1 Environmental Setting

The project area lies within the San Luis Rey River Valley near Bonsall. The habitat that is in the vicinity of the project area is characterized by a broad, flat floodplain. The San Luis Rey River is located approximately 90 feet above mean sea level (AMSL).

The project area is located in the Coastal Plains Physiographic Province of San Diego County. Soils in the area fall within the Visalia-Tujunga Association and are characterized by well and excessively drained sandy loams and sands on alluvial fans (Bowman et al. 1973). Specific soils within the project area include the Las Flores loamy fine sand (LeC2) (an eroded, well-drained soil found on five to nine percent slopes) and Salinas Clay (ScA) (a moderately well-drained clay found on zero to two percent slopes) (Bowman et al. 1973). The MCMB site is currently used as a golf course with approximately 40 acres in the south-western and northeastern portions consisting of existing riparian woodland. Moosa Creek bisects the property before entering the San Luis Rey River immediately downstream of the MCMB site.

The entire reach of Moosa Creek that bisects the golf course portion of the MCMB site has been channelized; however, the channel is only approximately three to four feet deep in most locations. Surface water is present in the channel bottom and man-made ponds are found in two locations within the golf course portion of the MCMB site. There are scattered trees throughout the golf course but there is very little vegetation along the channelized creek. Vegetation in the northeastern portion of Phase I and in the south-western portion of Phase II consists of a riparian forest community dominated by bulrush (Scirpus sp.), cattail (Typha spp.), and willows. The golf course/resort existing development includes several small structures, cart paths, bridges, culverts, and tennis courts, all of which are proposed for removal during construction.

3.2 Cultural Setting

The area of western San Diego County has a rich and extensive record of both prehistoric and historic human activity. The cultures that have been identified in the general vicinity of the project area include the Paleo Indian manifestation of the San Dieguito Complex, the Archaic Stage and Early Milling Stone Horizons represented by the La Jolla Complex, and the Late Prehistoric Kumeyaay Native Americans. Following the Hispanic Intrusion into the region (1769), the Presidio of San Diego, the Mission San Diego de Alcalá, and the Pueblo of San Diego were established, and the project area was possibly used in conjunction with the
agricultural activities of the mission until the period of mission secularization. The pastoral activities of the Mexican Period (1822 to 1846) likely included use of the areas near the project for grazing purposes. Farming also blossomed and gradually replaced cattle ranching in many of the coastal areas. A brief discussion of the prehistoric and historic cultural elements documented for the project area is provided in the following subsections.

**Prehistory**

In general, the prehistoric record of San Diego County has been documented in many reports and studies, several of which represent the earliest scientific works concerning the recognition and interpretation of the archaeological manifestations present in this region. Geographer Malcolm Rogers initiated the recordation of sites in the area during the 1920s and 1930s, using his field notes to construct the first cultural sequences based upon artifact assemblages and stratigraphy (Rogers 1966). Subsequent scholars expanded on the information gathered by Rogers and offered more academic interpretations of the prehistoric record. Moriarty (1966, 1967, 1969), Warren (1964, 1966), and True (1958, 1966) all produced seminal works that critically defined the various prehistoric cultural phenomena present in this region (Moratto 1984). Additional studies have sought to refine these earlier works to a greater extent (Cardenas 1986; Moratto 1984; Moriarty 1966, 1967; True 1970, 1980, 1986; True and Beemer 1982; True and Pankey 1985; Waugh 1986). In sharp contrast, the current trend in San Diego prehistory has also resulted in a revisionist group that rejects the established cultural historical sequence for San Diego. This revisionist group (Warren et al. 1998) has replaced the concepts of La Jolla, San Dieguito, and all of their other manifestations with an extensive, all-encompassing, chronologically undifferentiated cultural unit that ranges from the initial occupation of Southern California to around 1000 A.D. (Bull 1983, 1987; Ezell 1983, 1987; Gallegos 1987; Kyle et al. 1990; Stropes 2007). For the present study, the prehistory of the region is divided into four major periods: Early Man, Paleo Indian, Early Archaic, and Late Prehistoric.

**Early Man Period (Prior to 8500 B.C.)**

At the present time, there has been no concrete archaeological evidence to support the occupation of San Diego County prior to 10,500 years ago. Some archaeologists, such as Carter (1957, 1980) and Minshall (1976), have been proponents of Native American occupation of the region as early as 100,000 years ago. However, their evidence for such claims is sparse at best and has lost much support over the years as more precise dating techniques have become available for skeletal remains thought to represent early man in San Diego. In addition, many of the “artifacts” initially identified as products of the Early Man Period in the region have since been rejected as natural products of geologic activity. Some of the local proposed Early Man Period sites include Texas Street, Mission Valley (San Diego River Valley), Del Mar, La Jolla, and the Buchanan Canyon and Brown sites (Bada et al. 1974; Carter 1957, 1980; Minshall 1976, 1989; Moriarty and Minshall 1972; Reeves 1985; Reeves et al. 1986).
Paleo Indian Period (8500–6000 B.C.)

For the region, it is generally accepted that the earliest identifiable culture in the archaeological record is represented by the material remains of the Paleo Indian Period San Dieguito Complex. The San Dieguito Complex was thought to represent the remains of a group of people who occupied sites in this region between 10,500 and 8,000 years before the present (YBP), who were related to or contemporaneous with groups in the Great Basin. As of yet, no absolute dates have been forthcoming to support the great age attributed to this cultural phenomenon. The artifacts recovered from San Dieguito Complex sites duplicate the typology attributed to the Western Pluvial Lakes Tradition (Moratto 1984; Davis et al. 1969). These artifacts generally include scrapers, choppers, large bifaces, and large projectile points, with few milling tools. Tools recovered from San Dieguito Complex sites, along with the general pattern of their site locations, led early researchers to believe that the San Dieguito Complex people were a wandering, hunting, and gathering society (Moriarty 1969; Rogers 1966).

The San Dieguito Complex is the least understood of the cultures that have inhabited the San Diego County region. This is due to an overall lack of stratigraphic information and/or datable materials recovered from sites identified as the San Dieguito Complex. Currently, controversy exists among researchers regarding the relationship of the San Dieguito Complex and the subsequent cultural manifestation in the area, the La Jolla Complex. Firm evidence has not been recovered to indicate whether the San Dieguito Complex “evolved” into the La Jolla Complex, if the people of the La Jolla Complex moved into the area and assimilated with the people of the San Dieguito Complex, or if the San Dieguito Complex people retreated from the area due to environmental or cultural pressures.

Early Archaic Period (6000 B.C.–0 A.D.)

Based on evidence suggesting climatic shifts and archaeologically observable changes in subsistence strategies, a new cultural pattern is believed to have emerged in the San Diego region around 6000 B.C. This Archaic Period pattern is believed by archaeologists to have evolved from or replaced the San Dieguito Complex culture, resulting in a pattern referred to as the Encinitas Tradition. In San Diego, the Encinitas Tradition is thought to be represented by the coastal La Jolla Complex and its inland manifestation, the Pauma Complex. The La Jolla Complex is best recognized for its pattern of shell middens and grinding tools closely associated with marine resources and flexed burials (Shumway et al. 1961; Smith and Moriarty 1985). Increasing numbers of inland sites have been identified as dating to the Archaic Period and have focused on terrestrial subsistence (Cardenas 1986; Smith 1996; Raven-Jennings and Smith 1999a and 1999b).

The tool typology of the La Jolla Complex displays a wide range of sophistication in the lithic manufacturing techniques used to create the tools found at their sites. Scrapers, the dominant flaked tool type, were created by either splitting cobbles or by finely flaking quarried material. Evidence suggests that after about 8,200 YBP, milling tools begin to appear in the La
Jolla Complex sites. Inland sites of the Encinitas Tradition (Pauma Complex) exhibit a reduced quantity of marine-related food refuse and contain large quantities of milling tools and food bone. The lithic tool assemblage shifts slightly to encompass the procurement and processing of terrestrial resources, suggesting seasonal migration from the coast to the inland valleys (Smith 1996). At the present time, the transition from the Archaic Period to the Late Prehistoric Period is not well understood. Many questions remain concerning cultural transformation between periods, possibilities of ethnic replacement, and/or a possible hiatus from the western portion of the county.

Late Prehistoric Period (0 A.D.–1769)

The transition into the Late Prehistoric Period in the project area is primarily represented by a marked change in archaeological patterning known as the Yuman Tradition. This tradition is primarily represented by the Cuyamaca Complex, which is believed be derived from the mountains of southern San Diego County. The people of the Cuyamaca Complex are considered as ancestral to the ethnographic Kumeyaay (Diegueño). Although several archaeologists consider the local Native American tribes to be latecomers, the traditional stories and histories passed down through oral tradition by the local Native American groups speak both presently and ethnographically to tribal presence in the region as being since the time of creation.

The Kumeyaay Native Americans were a seasonal hunting and gathering people, with cultural elements that were very distinct from the La Jolla Complex people. Noted variations in material culture included cremation, the use of bows and arrows, and adaptation to the use of the acorn as a main food staple (Moratto 1984). Along the coast, the Kumeyaay made use of marine resources by fishing and collecting shellfish for food. Seasonally available game and plant food resources (including acorns) were sources of nourishment for the Kumeyaay. By far the most important food resource for these people was the acorn. The acorn represented a storable surplus, which in turn allowed for seasonal inactivity and its attendant expansion of social phenomena.

Firm evidence has not been recovered to indicate whether the La Jolla Complex people were present when the Kumeyaay Native Americans migrated into the coastal zone. However, stratigraphic information recovered from Site SDI-4609 in Sorrento Valley suggests a possible hiatus of 650 ± 100 years between the occupation of the coastal area by the La Jolla Complex (1,730 ± 75 YBP is the youngest date for the La Jolla Complex inhabitants at SDI-4609) and Late Prehistoric cultures (Smith and Moriarty 1983). More recently, a reevaluation of two prone burials at the Spindrift site excavated by Moriarty (1965) and radiocarbon dates of a pre-ceramic phase of Yuman occupation near the San Diego suburb of Santee suggest a commingling of the latest La Jolla Complex inhabitants and the earliest Yuman inhabitants about 2,000 years ago (Kyle and Gallegos 1993).
History

Exploration Period (1530–1769)

The historic period around San Diego Bay began with the landing of Juan Rodriguez Cabrillo and his men in 1542 (Chapman 1925). Sixty years after the Cabrillo expeditions (1602 to 1603), an expedition under Sebastian Vizcaíno made an extensive and thorough exploration of the Pacific Coast. Although his voyage did not extend beyond the northern limits of the Cabrillo track, Vizcaíno had the most lasting effect on the nomenclature of the coast. Many of the names Vizcaíno gave to various locations throughout the region have survived to the present time, whereas nearly every one of Cabrillo’s has faded from use. For example, Cabrillo gave the name “San Miguel” to the first port at which he stopped in what is now the United States; sixty years later, Vizcaíno changed the port name to “San Diego” (Rolle 1969).

Spanish Colonial Period (1769–1821)

The Spanish occupation of the claimed territory of Alta California took place during the reign of King Carlos III of Spain (Engelhardt 1920). Jose de Gálvez, a powerful representative of the king in Mexico, conceived the plan to colonize Alta California and thereby secure the area for the Spanish Crown (Rolle 1969). The effort involved both a military and religious contingent, where the overall intent of establishing forts and missions was to gain control of the land and the native inhabitants through conversion. Actual colonization of the San Diego area began on July 16, 1769 when the first Spanish exploring party, commanded by Gaspar de Portolá (with Father Junípero Serra in charge of religious conversion of the native populations), arrived by the overland route to San Diego to secure California for the Spanish Crown (Palou 1926). The natural attraction of the harbor at San Diego and the establishment of a military presence in the area solidified the importance of San Diego to the Spanish colonization of the region and the growth of the civilian population. Missions were constructed from San Diego to the area as far north as San Francisco. The mission locations were based on a number of important territorial, military, and religious considerations. Grants of land were made to persons who applied, but many tracts reverted back to the government for lack of use. As an extension of territorial control by the Spanish Empire, each mission was placed so as to command as much territory and as large a population as possible. While primary access to California during the Spanish Period was by sea, the route of El Camino Real served as the land route for transportation, commercial, and military activities within the colony. This route was considered to be the most direct path between the missions (Rolle 1969; Caughery 1970). As increasing numbers of Spanish and Mexican peoples—as well as the later Americans during the Gold Rush—settled in the area, the Native American populations diminished as they were displaced or decimated by disease (Carrico and Taylor 1983).

Mexican Period (1821–1846)

On September 16, 1810, the priest Father Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla started a revolt
against Spanish rule. He and his untrained Native American followers fought against the Spanish, but his revolt was unsuccessful and Father Hidalgo was executed. After this setback, Father José Morales led the revolutionaries, but he too failed and was executed. These two men are still symbols of Mexican liberty and patriotism. After the Mexican-born Spanish and the Catholic Church joined the revolution, Spain was finally defeated in 1821. Mexican Independence Day is celebrated on September 16 of each year, the anniversary of the start of Father Hidalgo’s revolt. The revolution had repercussions in the northern territories, and by 1834, all of the mission lands had been removed from the control of the Franciscan Order under the Acts of Secularization. Without proper maintenance, the missions quickly began to disintegrate, and after 1836, missionaries ceased to make regular visits inland to minister to the needs of the Native Americans (Engelhardt 1920). Large tracts of land continued to be granted to persons who applied for them or who had gained favor with the Mexican government. Grants of land were also made to settle government debts and the Mexican government was called upon to reaffirm some older Spanish land grants shortly before the Mexican-American War of 1846 (Moyer 1969).

**Anglo-American Period (1846–Present)**

California was invaded by United States troops during the Mexican-American War of 1846 to 1848. The acquisition of strategic Pacific ports and California land was one of the principal objectives of the war (Price 1967). At the time, the inhabitants of California were practically defenseless, and they quickly surrendered to the United States Navy in July of 1847 (Bancroft 1886).

The cattle ranchers of the “counties” of Southern California had prospered during the cattle boom of the early 1850s. They were able to “reap windfall profit...pay taxes and lawyer’s bills...and generally live according to custom” (Pitt 1966). However, the raising of cattle soon declined, contributing to the expansion of agriculture. With the passage of the “No Fence Act,” San Diego’s economy shifted from raising cattle to farming (Robinson 1948). The act allowed for the expansion of unfenced farms, which was crucial in an area where fencing material was practically unavailable. Five years after its passage, most of the arable lands in San Diego County had been patented as either ranchos or homesteads, and growing grain crops replaced raising cattle in many of the county’s inland valleys (Blick 1976; Elliott 1883 [1965]).

By 1870, farmers had learned to dry farm and were coping with some of the peculiarities of San Diego County’s climate (San Diego Union, February 6, 1868; Van Dyke 1886). Between 1869 and 1871, the amount of cultivated acreage in the county rose from less than 5,000 acres to more than 20,000 (San Diego Union, January 2, 1872). Of course, droughts continued to hinder the development of agriculture (Crouch 1915; San Diego Union, November 10, 1870; Shipek 1977). Large-scale farming in San Diego County was limited by a lack of water and the small size of arable valleys. The small urban population and poor roads also restricted commercial crop growing. Meanwhile, cattle continued to be grazed in parts of inland San Diego County. In
the Otay Mesa area, for example, the “No Fence Act” had little effect on cattle farmers because ranches were spaced far apart and natural ridges kept the cattle out of nearby growing crops (Gordinier 1966).

During the first two decades of the twentieth century, the population of San Diego County continued to grow. The population of the inland county declined during the 1890s, but between 1900 and 1910, it rose by about 70 percent. The pioneering efforts were over, the railroads had broken the relative isolation of Southern California, and life in San Diego County had become similar to other communities throughout the west. After World War I, the history of San Diego County was primarily determined by the growth of San Diego Bay. In 1919, the United States Navy decided to make the bay the home base for the Pacific Fleet (Pourade 1967) and during the 1920s, the aircraft industry followed suit (Heiges 1976). The establishment of these industries led to the growth of the county as a whole; however, most of the civilian population growth occurred in the north county coastal areas, where the population almost tripled between 1920 and 1930. During this time period, the history of inland San Diego County was subsidiary to that of the City of San Diego, which had become a Navy center and an industrial city (Heiges 1976). In inland San Diego County, agriculture became specialized, and recreational areas were established in the mountain and desert areas. Just before World War II, urbanization began to spread to the inland parts of the county.

3.3 Applicable Regulations

The goal of numerous laws, regulations, and statutes at federal and state levels is to protect and direct the management of cultural resources. These include the Antiquities Act of 1906, the Historic Sites Act of 1935, the Reservoir Salvage Act of 1960, the NHPA of 1966, the NEPA of 1969, Executive Order 11593 (Projection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment, 1971), 36 CFR 800 and CFR 60 (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation: Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties, Amendments to Existing Regulations, 1/30/1979, National Register of Historic Places, Nominations by State and Federal Agencies, Rules and Regulations, 1/9/1976), Revisions to 36 CFR 800 (Protection of Historic Properties, 1/10/1986), the Archaeological and Historical Preservation Act of 1974, the American Indian Religious Freedom Joint Resolution of 1978, the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990, and the CEQA (1970). Collectively, these regulations and guidelines establish a comprehensive program for the identification, evaluation, and treatment of cultural resources. Resource importance is assigned to districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess exceptional value or quality, illustrating or interpreting the heritage of San Diego County in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture. A number of criteria are used in demonstrating resource importance. Specifically, criteria outlined in the CEQA and Section 106 of the NHPA provides the guidance for making such a determination. The following sections detail Section 106, the CEQA, and County of San Diego criteria that a resource must meet in order to be determined important.
3.3.1 Federal Significance Criteria

The four primary evaluation criteria to determine a resource’s eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), in accordance with the regulations outlined in 36 CFR 800, are identified by 36 CFR 60.4. These criteria (listed below) are used to facilitate the determination of what properties should be considered for protection from destruction or impairment resulting from project-related impacts (36 CFR 60.2). These include impacts to the quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture as present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:

1) Resources that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history,

2) Resources that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past,

3) Resources that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, that represent the work of a master, that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction, and

4) Resources that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history (36 CFR 60.4).

3.3.2 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

According to the CEQA (§15064.5a), the term “historical resource” includes the following:

1) A resource listed in, or determined to be eligible by the State Historical Resources Commission for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (Pub. Res. Code SS5024.1, Title 14 CCR. Section 4850 et seq.).

2) A resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or identified as significant in an historical resource survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, shall be presumed to be historically or culturally significant. Public agencies must treat any such resource as significant unless the preponderance of evidence demonstrates that it is not historically or culturally significant.
3) Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California may be considered to be an historical resource, provided the lead agency’s determination is supported by substantial evidence in light of the whole record. Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be “historically significant” if the resource meets the criteria for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources (Pub. Res. Code SS5024.1, Title 14, Section 4852), including the following:

a) Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California’s history and cultural heritage,
b) Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past,
c) Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values, or
d) Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

4) The fact that a resource is not listed in, or determined eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, not included in a local register of historical resources (pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code), or identified in an historical resources survey (meeting the criteria in Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code) does not preclude a lead agency from determining that the resource may be an historical resource as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(j) or 5024.1.

According to the CEQA (§15064.5h), a project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment. The CEQA defines a substantial adverse change as:

1) Substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource means physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of an historical resource would be materially impaired.

2) The significance of an historical resource is materially impaired when a project:
a) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its inclusion in, or eligibility for, inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources.

b) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics that account for its inclusion in a local register of historical resources pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or its identification in an historical resources survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, unless the public agency reviewing the effects of the project establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the resource is not historically or culturally significant.

c) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources as determined by a lead agency for purposes of the CEQA.

Section 15064.5(c) of the CEQA applies to effects on archaeological sites and contains the following additional provisions regarding archaeological sites:

1) When a project will impact an archaeological site, a lead agency shall first determine whether the site is an historical resource, as defined in subsection (a).

2) If a lead agency determines that the archaeological site is an historical resource, it shall refer to the provisions of Section 21084.1 of the Public Resources Code, Section 15126.4 of the Guidelines, and the limits contained in Section 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code do not apply.

3) If an archaeological site does not meet the criteria defined in subsection (a), but does meet the definition of a unique archaeological resource in Section 21803.2 of the Public Resources Code, the site shall be treated in accordance with the provisions of Section 21083.2. The time and cost limitations described in Public Resources Code Section 21083.2 (c-f) do not apply to surveys and site evaluation activities intended to determine whether the project location contains unique archaeological resources.

4) If an archaeological resource is neither a unique archaeological nor historical resource, the effects of the project on those resources shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. It shall be sufficient that both the resource
and the effect on it are noted in the Initial Study or EIR, if one is prepared to address impacts on other resources, but they need not be considered further in the CEQA process.

Section 15064.5 (d) & (e) contain additional provisions regarding human remains. Regarding Native American human remains, paragraph (d) provides:

(d) When an initial study identifies the existence, or probable likelihood, of Native American human remains within the project, a lead agency shall work with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) as provided in Public Resources Code SS5097.98. The applicant may develop an agreement for treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any items associated with Native American burials with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the NAHC. Action implementing such an agreement is exempt from:

1) The general prohibition on disinterring, disturbing, or removing human remains from any location other than a dedicated cemetery (Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5).
2) The requirement of the CEQA and the Coastal Act.

3.3.3 San Diego County Local Register of Historical Resources (Local Register)
The County requires that resource importance be assessed not only at the state level as required by the CEQA, but at the local level as well. If a resource meets any one of the following criteria as outlined in the Local Register, it will be considered an important resource.

1) Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of San Diego County’s history and cultural heritage,

2) Is associated with the lives of persons important to the history of San Diego County or its communities,

3) Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, San Diego County region, or method of construction, represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values, or

4) Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
3.3.4 San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO)

The County of San Diego’s RPO protects significant cultural resources. The RPO defines “Significant Prehistoric or Historic Sites” as follows:

1) Any prehistoric or historic district, site, interrelated collection of features or artifacts, building, structure, or object either:

   a) Formally determined eligible or listed in the NRHP by the Keeper of the National Register, or
   b) To which the Historic Resource (“H” Designator) Special Area Regulations have been applied, or

2) One-of-a-kind, locally unique, or regionally unique cultural resources which contain a significant volume and range of data and materials, and

3) Any location of past or current sacred religious or ceremonial observances, which is either:

   a) Protected under Public Law 95-341, the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, or Public Resources Code Section 5097.9, such as burial(s), pictographs, petroglyphs, solstice observatory sites, sacred shrines, religious ground figures or,
   b) Other formally designated and recognized sites, which are of ritual, ceremonial, or sacred value to any prehistoric or historic ethnic group.

The RPO does not allow non-exempt activities or uses damaging to significant prehistoric or historic lands on properties under County jurisdiction. The only exempt activity is scientific investigation authorized by the County. All discretionary projects are required to be in conformance with applicable County standards related to cultural resources, including the noted RPO criteria on prehistoric and historic sites. Noncompliance would result in a project that is inconsistent with County standards.

3.4 Research Design

The primary goal of the research design is to attempt to understand the way in which humans have used the land and resources within the project area through time, as well as to aid in the determination of resource significance. For the current project, the study area under investigation is the northern portion of San Diego County. The scope of work for the archaeological program conducted for the MCMB Project included the survey of an approximately 227-acre area. Given the small area involved and the narrow focus of a Class III
survey, the research design for this project was limited and general in nature. Since the main objective of the investigation was to identify the presence of, and potential impacts to, cultural resources, the goal here is not necessarily to answer wide-reaching theories regarding the development of early Southern California, but to investigate the role and importance of the identified resources. Nevertheless, the assessment of the significance of a resource must take into consideration a variety of characteristics, as well as the ability of the resource to address regional research topics and issues.

Although survey level investigations are limited in terms of the amount of information available, several specific research questions were developed that could be used to guide the initial investigations of any observed cultural resources. The following research questions take into account the small size and location of the project area discussed above.

**Research Questions**

- Can located cultural resources be situated with a specific time period, population, or individual?
- Do the types of located cultural resources allow a site activity/function to be determined from a preliminary investigation? What are the site activities? What is the site function? What resources were exploited?
- How do the located sites compare to others reported from different surveys conducted in the area?
- How do the located sites fit existing models of settlement and subsistence for valley environments of the region?

**Data Needs**

At the survey level, the principal research objective is a generalized investigation of changing settlement patterns in both the prehistoric and historic periods within the study area. The overall goal is to understand settlement and resource procurement patterns of the project area occupants. Therefore, adequate information on site function, context, and chronology from an archaeological perspective is essential for the investigation. The fieldwork and archival research was undertaken with the following primary research goals in mind:

1) To identify cultural resources occurring within the project area,

2) To determine, if possible, site type and function, context of the deposit, and chronological placement of each cultural resource identified,

3) To place each cultural resource identified within a regional perspective, and
4) To provide recommendations for the treatment of each of the cultural resources identified.
4.0 METHODOLOGY

The archaeological assessment conducted for the project consisted of a Class III reconnaissance of the property by qualified archaeologists and an institutional records search. This archaeological study conformed to the NHPA, Section 106, the NEPA of 1969, the CEQA, and County of San Diego requirements. The NHPA, Section 106, and the statutory requirements of the CEQA were followed in evaluating potential impacts.

4.1 Field Methodology

The archaeological survey of the property was conducted on February 27, 2013. The survey included an intensive pedestrian reconnaissance that consisted of a series of parallel transects, spaced at approximately 10-meter intervals. The entire 227-acre project area was included in the survey process. Photographs were taken to document project conditions during the survey (see Section 5.2). Ground visibility throughout the property was excellent to poor, with minimal ground cover in some areas, and other areas that were covered by existing golf course fairways and greens. During the survey, all rodent spoil piles and alluvial cuts were closely inspected for evidence of archaeological materials. The survey process was intensive, but the process was affected by the existing vegetation and grading used to create the golf course layout.

4.2 Archaeological Records Search

The records search conducted by the SCIC at San Diego State University (SDSU) was reviewed for an area of one mile surrounding the project in order to determine the possible presence of any previously recorded sites. Results of the records search are provided in Appendix II and discussed in Section 5.1. Land Patent records held by the BLM, accessible through the BLM Government Land Office (GLO) Web site, were also reviewed for pertinent project information. In addition, the BFSA research library was consulted for any relevant historical information.

4.3 Native American Consultation

BFSA requested a review of the Sacred Lands File by the NAHC to determine if any recorded Native American sacred sites or locations of religious or ceremonial importance are present within one-half mile of the project APE. No Native American cultural resources were identified within one-half mile of the project APE. Original correspondence is provided in Appendix III.
5.0 REPORT OF FINDINGS

5.1 Results of the Institutional Records Searches and Research

An archaeological records search for the project was conducted by BFSA at the SCIC at SDSU. The SCIC reported two previously recorded sites within the current project boundaries (SDI-674 and SDI-8663). A review of these site forms indicate that these sites and their associated loci were combined as a single site (SDI-674) in 1982 by Rosen et al. (Figure 5.1–1). In addition, 22 additional cultural resource sites have been recorded within a one-mile radius of the project area (Table 5.1–1). The records search also indicated that there have been 31 cultural resource studies conducted within portions of the project APE. An additional 57 studies have been conducted within a one-mile radius of the project APE. A total of 5 historic addresses have also been recorded within one mile of the project APE. The SCIC reviewed the following historic sources:

- The National Register of Historic Places Index
- The Office of Historic Preservation, Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility
- The Office of Historic Preservation, Directory of Properties in the Historic Property Data File
- Historic Maps including: 1872 San Diego County; Historic Roads 1769 to 1885

The complete records search results are provided in Appendix II.

Table 5.1–1
Cultural Resource Sites Located Within a One-Mile Radius of the Moosa Creek Mitigation Bank Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDI-673</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-674</td>
<td>Habitation Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-679</td>
<td>Prehistoric Artifact Scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-680</td>
<td>Temporary Camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-776</td>
<td>Habitation Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-782</td>
<td>Site Record Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-6003</td>
<td>Lithic Scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-8663</td>
<td>Habitation Site (Combined with SDI-674)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-10879</td>
<td>Prehistoric Artifact Scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-10880</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-12155</td>
<td>Temporary Camp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.1–1
Cultural Resource Location Map

*Bound Separately in Confidential Appendix*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDI-12948</td>
<td>Shell Scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-16497</td>
<td>Habitation Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-16884</td>
<td>Prehistoric/Historic Artifact Scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-17260</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-17261</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-17262</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-17795</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI-19341</td>
<td>Bedrock Milling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 37-028134</td>
<td>Historic Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-37-028135</td>
<td>Historic Highway Segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-37-030071</td>
<td>Prehistoric Isolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-37-031759</td>
<td>Historic Isolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-37-031763</td>
<td>Historic Isolate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The records search and literature review suggests that there is a moderate potential for historic sites to be contained within the boundaries of the property. In contrast, given the prehistoric activities known to be surrounding the San Luis Rey River and the quantity of prehistoric sites known to be in the region, there is a moderate to high potential for prehistoric archaeological discoveries.

BFSA also requested a record search of the Sacred Lands Files of the NAHC. The Sacred Lands File search did not identify any Native American cultural resources within one mile of the project APE. However, for record searches and background research, the absence of positive results does not necessarily indicate the absence of cultural resources. Consequently, an archaeological survey was conducted for the project area.

### 5.2 Results of the Field Surveys

The archaeological survey took place on February 27, 2013 under the direction of Brian F. Smith, Principal Investigator. The entire 227-acre project area was accessible for the survey. The archaeological survey of the property was an intensive reconnaissance consisting of a series of parallel survey transects spaced at approximately five to 10-meter intervals. While the entire property was surveyed, the golf course landscaping covered the majority of the property. In wetland areas along the western and north eastern boundaries, much of the ground surface was not visible due to dense vegetation and water accumulation. In general, the area surrounding the APE has been disturbed by the development of roads such as SR-76, Camino Del Rey, Old River Road, and Golf Club Drive. The previous development of a golf course within the bounds of the APE has also created additional impacts.
The pedestrian survey identified the majority of the project area as previously impacted, primarily by the construction of the golf course. This characterization of the property as surficially-to-severely disturbed is relevant to the consideration of cultural resources on the property. Many areas across the property have been disturbed by the construction of earthen mounds that raise the golf course above the floodplain. Given that the majority of the property is used as a golf course, considerable impacts have occurred to alter the APE. When parcels are cleared, disked, or otherwise disturbed, evidence of surface artifact scatters is lost. Whether or not any additional cultural resources have ever existed in this parcel is not clear. The current status of the property appears to have affected the potential to discover any surface scatters of artifacts. Photographs were taken to document project conditions at the time of the survey (Plates 5.2–1 through 5.2–4). The survey did not result in the identification of any new historic or prehistoric cultural resources within the project APE. The locations of Sites SDI-674 and SDI-8663 were revisited to determine if any portions of these sites were still identifiable within the APE.

5.2.1 Previously Recorded Sites: SDI-674 and SDI-8663

Site CA-SDI-674 was originally recorded by True (1960). Site SDI-8663 was recorded by RESCON in 1981, and multiple loci were documented. These two sites were subsequently combined by Rosen et al. in 1982. Since these two sites were combined, the site has been updated by Moslak et al. (2003), indicating that Locus B and portions of Locus A have been destroyed by the widening and straightening of SR-76. A review of the overlapping map data provided by the SCIC indicates that much of the older map data that was originally recorded for these sites may be in error. The recorded locaions of each site loci were revisited during the course of the current survey. No cultural elements of SDI-674 (8633) could be identified within the project APE. The portions of the sites identified within the APE are recorded as extending into the existing wetlands. Given the presence of dense vegetation and standing water, it is unclear if the sites actually extend into the wetlands. However, the previous studies conducted for these site areas by Laylander (2003) suggest that they do not. Therefore, based on the previous impacts generated by the development of the highway, as well as previous impacts to the project APE, the probability of encountering intact deposits from this site is considered low.
Plate 5.2–1: Project overview facing south at the west end of the APE.

Plate 5.2–2: Project overview from the center of the APE, facing west.

Plates 5.2–1 and 5.2–2
Project Overview
The Moosa Creek Mitigation Bank Project
Plate 5.2–3: View of the area of the first fairway, looking north.

Plate 5.2–4: View of Moosa Creek at the west side of the project.

Plates 5.2–3 and 5.2–4
Project Overview
The Moosa Creek Mitigation Bank Project
6.0 RECOMMENDED MITIGATION

The Class III archaeological assessment for the project was negative, no unrecorded cultural resources were identified. The records search indicated that there had been 31 previous studies in the project area, and results for the portions of those surveys that covered the project area were positive. Site CA-SDI-674 was originally recorded by True (1960), and Site SDI-8663 was recorded by RECON in 1981, where multiple loci were documented. As previously stated, both sites were subsequently combined by Rosen et al. in 1982. Site updates by Moslak et al. (2003) indicate that Locus B and portions of Locus A have been destroyed by the widening and straightening of SR-76. The recorded locations of each site loci were recorded as extending into the project APE when revisited during the course of the current survey. No cultural elements of SDI-674 (8633) could be identified within the project APE. The portions of the sites identified within the APE are recorded as extending into the existing wetlands, though given the presence of dense vegetation and standing water, it is unclear if this is actually true. As previously stated, many areas across the property have been disturbed by the construction of earthen mounds on the golf course and the development of surrounding roads. When parcels are cleared, disked, or otherwise disturbed, evidence of surface artifact scatters is lost. Whether or not any additional cultural resources have ever existed within the project APE is unclear. The current status of the property appears to have affected the potential to discover any surface scatters of artifacts. Cultural materials that may have been on site could have been masked by disking, mound construction, and alluvial processes across the property. Given both the natural processes of valley fill in this area and the effect of soil importing and grading to mask archaeological deposits, there is the potential that buried archaeological deposits are present within the project boundaries. Therefore, it is recommended that the project be allowed to proceed with the implementation of the archaeological monitoring during grading to protect any inadvertent discoveries.

6.1 General Project Monitoring

Monitoring of the project area during ground-disturbing activities by a qualified archaeologist and a Native American is recommended to ensure that if buried features (i.e., human remains, hearths, or historic deposits) are present, they will be handled in a timely and proper manner.

Recommended Grading Monitoring and Data Recovery Program

A grading monitoring and data recovery program to mitigate potential impacts to undiscovered buried archaeological resources within the project area shall be implemented to the satisfaction of the lead agency. This program shall include, but not be limited to, the following actions:
1. Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall provide written verification that a qualified archaeologist has been retained to implement the monitoring program. This verification shall be presented in a letter from the project archaeologist to the lead agency.

2. The qualified archaeologist/historian shall attend the pre-grading meeting with the contractors to explain and coordinate the requirements of the monitoring program.

3. During the original cutting of previously undisturbed deposits, the archaeological monitor(s) shall be on site full-time to perform periodic inspections of the excavations. The frequency of inspections will depend on the rate of excavation, the materials excavated, and the presence and abundance of artifacts and features.

4. Isolates and clearly non-significant deposits will be minimally documented in the field so the monitored grading can proceed.

5. In the event that previously unidentified cultural resources are discovered, the archaeologist shall have the authority to divert or temporarily halt ground disturbance operation in the area of discovery to allow for the evaluation of potentially significant cultural resources. The archaeologist shall contact the lead agency at the time of discovery. The archaeologist, in consultation with the lead agency, shall determine the significance of the discovered resources. The lead agency must concur with the evaluation before construction activities will be allowed to resume in the affected area. For significant cultural resources, a Research Design and Data Recovery Program to mitigate impacts shall be prepared by the consulting archaeologist and approved by the lead agency, then carried out using professional archaeological methods. If any human bones are discovered, the County coroner and lead agency shall be contacted. In the event that the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Most Likely Descendant, as identified by the NAHC, shall be contacted in order to determine proper treatment and disposition of the remains.

6. Before construction activities are allowed to resume in the affected area, the artifacts shall be recovered and features recorded using professional archaeological methods. The archaeological monitor(s) shall determine the amount of material to be recovered for an adequate artifact sample for analysis.

7. All cultural material collected during the grading monitoring program shall be processed and curated according to the current professional repository standards.
The collections and associated records shall be transferred, including title, to an appropriate curation facility, to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation.

8. A report documenting the field and analysis results that interprets the artifact and research data within the research context shall be completed and submitted to the satisfaction of the lead agency prior to the issuance of any building permits. The report will include Department of Parks and Recreation Primary and Archaeological Site forms.
7.0 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this archaeological report, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

[Signature]
March 5, 2013

Brian F. Smith
Principal Investigator
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Brian F. Smith, MA
Owner, Principal Investigator
Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road • Suite A •
Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: bsmith@bsfa-ca.com

Education

Master of Arts, History, University of San Diego, California 1982
Bachelor of Arts, History and Anthropology, University of San Diego, California 1975

Experience

Principal Investigator 1977–Present
Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

Brian F. Smith is the owner and principal historical and archaeological consultant for Brian F. Smith and Associates. In the past 32 years, he has conducted over 2,500 cultural resource studies in California, Arizona, Nevada, Montana, and Texas. These studies include every possible aspect of archaeology from literature searches and large-scale surveys to intensive data recovery excavations. Reports prepared by Brian Smith have been submitted to all facets of local, state, and federal review agencies, including the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Bureau of Reclamation (BR), the Department of Defense (DOD), and Department of Homeland Security. In addition, Mr. Smith has conducted studies for utility companies (Sempra Energy) and state highway departments (CalTrans).

Professional Accomplishments

These selected major professional accomplishments represent research efforts which have added significantly to the body of knowledge concerning the prehistoric lifeways of cultures once present in the southern California area and historic settlement since the late 18th century. Mr. Smith has been principal investigator on the following select projects, except where noted.


Archaeology at the Padres Ballpark: Involved the analysis of historic resources within a seven block area of the "East Village" area of San Diego, where occupation spanned a period from the 1870s to the 1940s. Over a period of two years, BSFA recovered over 200,000 artifacts and hundreds of pounds of metal, construction debris, unidentified broken glass, and wood. Collectively, the Ballpark project and the other downtown mitigation and monitoring projects represent the largest historical archaeological program anywhere in the country in the past decade. 2000-2007.
The Navy Broadway Complex: Architectural and historical assessment of over 25 structures that comprise the Naval Supply Depot, many of which have been in use since World War I and were used extensively during World War II. The EIR/EIS which was prepared included National Register evaluations of all structures. The archaeological component of the project involved the excavation of backhoe trenches to search for evidence of the remains of elements of the historic waterfront features that characterized the bay front in the latter half of the 19th century. This study was successful in locating portions of wharves and shanties that existed on the site prior to capping of this area after construction of the sea wall in the early 20th century.

4S Ranch Archaeological and Historical Cultural Resources Study: Data recovery program consisted of the excavation of over 2,000 square meters of archaeological deposits that produced over one million artifacts, primarily prehistoric materials. The archaeological program at 4S Ranch is the largest archaeological study ever undertaken in the San Diego County area and has produced data that has exceeded expectations regarding the resolution of long-standing research questions and regional prehistoric settlement patterns.

Charles H. Brown Site: Attracted international attention to the discovery of evidence of the antiquity of man in North America. Site located in Mission Valley, in the City of San Diego.

Del Mar Man Site: Study of the now famous Early Man Site in Del Mar, California, for the San Diego Science Foundation and the San Diego Museum of Man, under the direction of Dr. Spencer Rogers and Dr. James R. Moriarty.

Old Town State Park Projects: Consulting Historical Archaeologist. Projects completed in the Old Town State Park involved development of individual sites for commercial enterprises. The projects completed in Old Town include Archaeological and Historical Site Assessment for the Great Wall Cafe (1992), Archaeological Study for the Old Town Commercial Project (1991), and Cultural Resources Site Survey at the Old San Diego Inn (1988).

Site W-20, Del Mar, California: A two-year-long investigation of a major prehistoric site in the Del Mar area of the City of San Diego. This research effort documented the earliest practice of religious/ceremonial activities in San Diego County (circa 6,000 years ago), facilitated the projection of major non-material aspects of the La Jolla Complex, and revealed the pattern of civilization at this site over a continuous period of 5,000 years. The report for the investigation included over 600 pages, with nearly 500,000 words of text, illustrations, maps, and photographs which document this major study.

City of San Diego Reclaimed Water Distribution System: A cultural resource study of nearly 400 miles of pipeline in the City and County of San Diego.

Master Environmental Assessment Project, City of Poway: Conducted for the City of Poway to produce a complete inventory of all recorded historic and prehistoric properties within the City. The information was used in conjunction with the City's General Plan Update to produce a map matrix of the City showing areas of high, moderate, and low potential for the presence of cultural resources. The effort also included the development of the City's Cultural Resource Guidelines, which were adopted as City policy.

Draft of the City of Carlsbad Historical and Archaeological Guidelines: Contracted by the City of Carlsbad to produce the draft of the City's historical and archaeological guidelines for use by the Planning Department of the City.

The Midbayfront Project for the City of Chula Vista: involved a large expanse of undeveloped agricultural land situated between the railroad and San Diego Bay in the northwestern portion of the City. The study included the analysis of some potentially historic features and numerous prehistoric sites.
Cultural resources survey and test of sites within the proposed development of the Audie Murphy Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of 1,113.4 acres and 43 sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination; direction of field crews; evaluation of sites for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; assessment of cupule, pictograph, and rock shelter sites; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February-September 2002.

Cultural resources evaluation of sites within the proposed development of the Otay Ranch Village 13 Project, San Diego County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of 1,947 acres and 76 sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on County of San Diego and CEQA guidelines; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. May-November 2002.

Cultural resources survey for the Remote Video Surveillance Project, El Centro Sector, Imperial County: Project Manager/Director for a survey of 29 individual sites near the U.S./Mexico Border for proposed video surveillance camera locations associated with the San Diego Border barrier Project—project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; site identification and recordation; assessment of potential impacts to cultural resources; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Border Patrol, and other government agencies involved; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January, February, and July 2002.

Cultural resources survey and test of sites within the proposed development of the Menifee West GPA, Riverside County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of nine sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January-March 2002.

Mitigation of a Archaic cultural resource for the Eastlake III Woods Project for the City of Chula Vista, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program including collection of material for specialized faunal and botanical analyses; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. September 2001-March 2002.

Cultural resources survey and test of sites within the proposed French Valley Specific Plan/EIR, Riverside County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of two prehistoric and three historic sites—included project coordination and budgeting; survey of project area; Native American consultation; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; cultural resources project report in prep. July-August 2000.

Cultural resources survey and test of sites within the proposed Lawndale Valley Project, San Diego County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of 28 prehistoric and two historic sites—included project coordination; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; cultural resources project report in prep. July-August 2000.

Cultural resource survey and geotechnical monitoring for the Montvi Residence Project, La Jolla, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; field survey; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; monitoring of geotechnical borings; authoring of cultural resources project report. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California. June 2000.

Enhanced cultural resource survey and evaluation for the Prewitt/Schmucker/Cavadias Project, La Jolla, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; direction of field crews; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. June 2000.
Cultural resources survey and test of sites within the proposed development of the Menifee Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of one prehistoric and five historic sites—includes project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recording; historic structure assessments; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February-June 2000.

Salvage mitigation of a portion of the San Diego Presidio identified during water pipe construction for the City of San Diego, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. April 2000.

Enhanced cultural resource survey and evaluation for the Tyrian 3 Project, La Jolla, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced cultural resource survey and evaluation for the Lamont 5 Project, Pacific Beach, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced cultural resource survey and evaluation for the Reiss Residence Project, La Jolla, California: Project Manager/Director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. March-April 2000.

Salvage mitigation of a portion of Site SDM-W-95 (CA-SDI-211) for the Poinsettia Shores Santalina Development Project and Caltrans, Carlsbad, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

Survey and testing of two prehistoric cultural resources for the Airway Truck Parking Project, Otay Mesa, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

Cultural resources phase I and II investigations for the San Ysidro Segment of the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project along the International Border, San Diego County, California: Project Manager/Director for a survey and testing of a prehistoric quarry site along the border—NRHP eligibility assessment; project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recording; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. December 1999-January 2000.

Mitigation of a prehistoric cultural resource for the Westview High School Project for the City of San Diego, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program including collection of material for specialized faunal and botanical analyses; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; co-authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. October 1999-January 2000.
Mitigation of a prehistoric cultural resource for the Otay Ranch SPA-One West Project for the City of Chula Vista, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. September 1999-January 2000.

Monitoring of grading for the Herschel Place Project, La Jolla, California: Project Archaeologist/ Monitor—included monitoring of grading activities associated with the development of a single-dwelling parcel. September 1999.

Survey and testing of an historic resource for the Osterkamp Development Project, Valley Center, California: Project Archaeologist/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; budget development; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and testing of a prehistoric cultural resource for the Proposed College Boulevard Alignment Project, Carlsbad, California: Project Manager/Director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and evaluation of cultural resources for the Palomar Christian Conference Center Project, Palomar Mountain, California: Project Archaeologist—included direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and evaluation of cultural resources at the Village 2 High School Site, Otay Ranch, City of Chula Vista, California: Project Manager/Director—management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July 1999.

Cultural resources Phase I, II, and III investigations for the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project along the International Border, San Diego County, California: Project Manager/Director for the survey, testing, and mitigation of sites along border—supervision of multiple field crews, NRHP eligibility assessments, Native American consultation, contribution to Environmental Assessment document, lithic and marine shell analysis, authoring of cultural resources project report. August 1997-January 2000.

Phase I, II, and III investigations for the Scripps Poway Parkway East Project, Poway, California: Project Archaeologist/Project Director—included recordation and assessment of multicomponent prehistoric and historic sites; direction of Phase II and III investigations; direction of laboratory analyses including prehistoric and historic collections; curation of collections; data synthesis; coauthorship of final cultural resources report. February 1994; March-September 1994; September-December 1995.

Archaeological evaluation of cultural resources within the proposed corridor for the San Elijo Water Reclamation System Project, San Elijo, California: Project Manager/Director—test excavations; direction of artifact identification and analysis; graphics production; coauthorship of final cultural resources report. December 1994-July 1995.

Reports/Papers

Author, coauthor, or contributor, to over 2,500 cultural resources management publications, a selection of which are presented below.

2012  A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Payan Property Project, San Diego, CA

2012  Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Rieger Residence, 13707 Durango Drive, Del Mar, California 92014, APN 300-369-49

2011  Mission Ranch Project (TM 5290-1/MUP P87-036W3); Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring During Mass Grading / January 30, 2012 / Brian Smith

2011  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 1887 Viking Way Project, La Jolla, California

2011  Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 714 Project

2011  Results of archaeological monitoring at the 10th Avenue Parking Lot Project, City of San Diego, California (APNs 534-174-02 and 03), August 12, 2011, Brian F. Smith

2011  Archaeological Survey of the Pelberg Residence for a Bulletin 560 Permit Application; 8335 Camino Del Oro; La Jolla, California 92037 APN 346-162-01-00 / November 9, 2011 / Brian F. Smith

2011  A Cultural Resources Survey Update and Evaluation for the Robertson Ranch West Project and an Evaluation of National Register Eligibility of Archaeological sites for Sites for Section 106 Review (NHPA) / 10/10/11 / Brian F. Smith & Clarence Hoff

2011  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 43rd and Logan Project; June 7, 2012; Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2011  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 682 M Project, City of San Diego Project #174116

2011  A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Nooren Residence Project, 8001 Calle de la Plata, La Jolla, California, Project No. 226965

2011  A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Keating Residence Project, 9633 La Jolla Farms Road, La Jolla, CA 92037


2010  Pottery Canyon Site Archaeological Evaluation Project, City of San Diego, California, Contract No. H105126

2010  Archaeological Resource Report Form: Mitigation Monitoring of the Racetrack View Drive Project, San Diego, California; Project No. 163216; Larry J. Pierson; October 22, 2010

2010  A Historical Evaluation of Structures on the Butterfield Trails Property

2010  Historic Archaeological Significance Evaluation of 1761 Haydn Drive, Encinitas, California (APN 260-276-07-00)
2010 Results of Archeological monitoring of the Heller/Nguyen Project, TPM 06-01, Poway, CA

2010 Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation Program for the Sunday Drive Parcel Project, San Diego County, California, APN 189-281-14


2010 An Archaeological Study for the 1912 Spindrift Drive Project

2009 Cultural Resource Assessment of the North Ocean Beach Gateway Project City of San Diego #64A-003A; Project #154116.

2009 Archaeological constraints study of the Morgan Valley Wind Assessment Project, Lake County, California.

2008 Results of an archaeological review of the Helen Park Lane 3.1-acre Property (APN 314-561-31), Poway, California.

2008 Archaeological Letter Report for a Phase I Archaeological Assessment of the Valley Park Condominium Project, Ramona, California; APN 282-262-75-00.


2007 Result of an Archaeological Survey for the Villages at Promenade Project (APNs 115-180-007-3, 115-180-049-1, 115-180-042-4, 115-180-047-9) in the City of Corona, Riverside County.

2007 Monitoring Results for the Capping of Site CA-SDI-6038/SDM-W-5517 within the Katzer Jamul Center Project; P00-017.

2006 Archaeological Assessment for the Johnson Project (APN 322-011-10), Poway, California.

2005 Results of archaeological monitoring at the El Camino Del Teatro Accelerated Sewer Replacement Project [Bid No. K041364; WO # 177741; CIP # 46-610.6.]

2005 Results of archaeological monitoring at the Battara Draper Avenue Project [Project No. 15857; APN: 351-040-09].

2004 TM 5325 ER #03-14-043 Cultural Resources.


2003 Evaluation of Archaeological Resources Within the Spring Canyon Biological Mitigation Area, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


2002 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Audie Murphy Ranch Project (et al.). Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


2001 A Cultural Resources Survey and Site Evaluations at the Stewart Subdivision Project, Moreno Valley, County of San Diego. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


1999  Results of an Archaeological Evaluation for the Anthony's Pizza Acquisition Project in Ocean Beach, City of San Diego (with L. Pierson and B. Smith). Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


1995  Results of a Cultural Resources Study for the 4S Ranch. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


1994  Results of the Cultural Resources Mitigation Programs at Sites SDI-11,044/H and SDI-12,038 at the Salt Creek Ranch Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.


Professional Memberships

Society for California Archaeology
Tracy A. Stropes, MA, RPA

Senior Project Archaeologist
Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road • Suite A •
Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: tstropes@bfsea-ca.com

Education

Master of Arts, Anthropology, San Diego State University, California 2007
Bachelor of Science, Anthropology, University of California, Riverside 2000

Experience

Project Archaeologist
March 2009–Present
Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
Duties include project management of all phases of archaeological investigations for local, state and federal agencies; field supervisor of all phases of archaeological projects; lithic analysis; National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) site evaluations; authoring and coauthoring of cultural resource management reports primarily for southern California.

Archaeological Principal Investigator
June 2008–February 2009
TRC Solutions
Archaeological Principal Investigator for cultural resource segment of Natural Sciences and Permitting Division. Duties included management of all phases of archaeological investigations for private companies and local, state and federal agencies; personnel management, field supervision of all phases of archaeological projects; laboratory supervision; lithic analysis, Native American consultation, and reporting; National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) site evaluations; authoring and coauthoring of cultural resource management reports primarily for southern California.

Principal Investigator and Project Archaeologist
May 2006–May 2008
Archaeological Resource Analysts
As a sub consultant, served as Principal Investigator and Project Archaeologist for several projects for SRS Inc. Primary tasks included field direction, project management, personnel management, lab analysis, and authorship of company reports throughout southern California.

Project Archaeologist
Gallegos & Associates
Duties for Gallegos and Associates included project management, laboratory management, lithic analysis, field direction, Native American consultation, report authorship, and editing for several technical reports for various projects throughout southern California. In addition, composed several data recovery and preservation programs for sites throughout California for both CEQA and NEPA level compliance.

Project Archaeologist
September 1993–September 1996
Macko Inc.
Duties for Macko Inc. Included project management, laboratory management, lithic analysis, field supervision, report authorship, and editing for technical reports for various projects throughout southern California.

Archaeological Field Technician
January 1996–September 1993
Chambers Group Inc.
Archaeological Field Technician  
John Minch and Associates  
Duties for John Minch and Associates included archaeological excavation, survey, monitoring, wet screen facilities management, and project logistics.

Reports/Papers

Principal Author

2012  A Class III Cultural Resources Study for the USGS Creepmeter Project; July 20, 2012; Tracy Stropes and Brian Smith

2011  Results of the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Mission Brewery Villas Project City of San Diego (Project No. 52078) / April 9, 2012 / Tracy A. Stropes

2011  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 43rd and Logan Project; June 7, 2012; Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2011  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Sewer and Water Group 768 Project; April 10, 2012; Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2010  A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Butterfield Residence Project, La Jolla, California / January 17, 2011 / Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2010  A Cultural Resources Literature Review for the 11099 North Torrey Pines Road Project, San Diego, California; November 17, 2010; Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2010  A Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Eichen Residence Project, San Diego, California, Project No. 191775 / August 17, 2011 / Tracy A. Stropes

2010  Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for the San Jacinto Poultry Ranch Storage Building Project; November 11, 2010; Tracy Stropes and Brian Smith

2010  Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Salvation Army Vehicle Storage Area Project; 1015 West 12th Street, City of San Diego; Project #217113; December 5, 2011, Tracy A. Stropes, Principal Investigator

2010  Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Sunset Cliffs Trunk Sewer Project, City of San Diego, Project No. 178901, January 5, 2012, Tracy A. Stropes

2010  Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 682 Project; April 16, 2012; Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2010  A Phase III Cultural Resource Data Recovery Program for CA-SDI-16986, Hidden Meadows, San Diego County, California (TPM 20794) Tracy A. Stropes and Brian F. Smith

2010  Research Design, Data Recovery Program, and Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program for 1900 Spindrift Drive La Jolla, California; APN 346-44-05; January 26, 2011; Tracy Stropes and Brian F. Smith
2010 An Archaeological Study for the 1912 Spindrift Drive Project La Jolla California, Project No. 214654; L64A-003A; APN 346-44-04; January 26, 2011; Tracy Stropes and Brian F. Smith


2009 Cultural Resource Data Recovery Plan for the North Ocean Beach Gateway Project. Prepared for the City of San Diego and KTU+A.


2009 A Cultural Resource Study for the Gallo Residence Project, La Jolla, California. Prepared for Marengo Martin Architects Inc.


2008 Wild Goose Expansion 3 Project Butte County, California Colusa County, California. Prepared for Niska Gas Storage II C.


2007 Cultural Resource Inventory for Empire Homes (APN 104-180-04), Lake Forest, California. Prepared for Empire Homes.


2007 Cultural Resource Inventory for Empire Homes (APN 104-180-04), Lake Forest, California. Prepared for Empire Homes.


2005 Grand Pacific Resorts Data Recovery and Index Sample Program for CA-SDI-8797, Area A, City of Carlsbad, CA. Prepared for Grand Pacific Resorts Inc.


1994 Final Report: Data Recovery Excavations at Five Late Prehistoric Archaeological Sites Along the Los Trancos Access Road, Newport Coast Planned Community, Orange County, California. Prepared for the Coastal Community Builders, a division of The Irvine Company.

Contributing Author

2006 Lithic Analysis for Thirteen Sites Along the Transwestern Phoenix Expansion Project, Loops A and B. Prepared for Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC.


2004 Historical Resources Report for the Kuta and Mascari Properties, Otay Mesa, California. Prepared for Centex Homes.

2004  Cultural Resource Test Report for Site CA-SDI-16788, Otay Mesa, California. Prepared for Otay Mesa Property, L.P.


2001  Cultural Resource Test Program for the Kramer Junction Expansion Project Adelanto, California. Prepared for AMEC.


2000  Cultural Resource Test Results for the Otay Mesa Generating Project, Prepared for the California Energy Commission and Otay Mesa Generating Company, LCC.


2000  The Quail Ridge Cultural Resource Test Program, San Diego County, California. Prepared for Helix Environmental Planning Inc.


2000 Historical/Archaeological Monitoring and Data Recovery Program for Prehistoric Site CA-SDI-48, Locus C Naval Base Point Loma, San Diego, California. Prepared for Department of the Navy, Southwest Division.


1999 5000 Years of Occupation: Cultural Resource Inventory and Assessment Program for the Carlsbad Municipal Golf Course Project City of Carlsbad, California. Prepared or Cotton/Beland/Associates, Inc.


1999 Historical Archaeological Test of a portion of CA-SDI-8303 for the Faraday Road Extension Carlsbad, California. Prepared for the City of Carlsbad.


1996 Final Report: Results of Phase II Test Excavations and Phase III Data Recovery Excavations at Nine Archaeological Sites Within the Newport Coast Planned Community Phase III Entitlement Area, San Joaquin Hills, Orange County, California. Prepared for Coastal Community Builders, a division of The Irvine Company.

1995 Final Report: A Phase II Test Excavation at CA-ORA-136, Block 800 City of Newport Beach, Orange County California. Prepared for the Irvine Apartment Communities, a division of The Irvine Company.

Presentations


2003 Steep Edge Unifacial Tools of Otay Mesa: An Analysis of Edge Types from CA SDI-7215 SCA Southern California Data Sharing Meetings

2001 Identification of Late Period Behavior Patterns in Elfin Forest: Three Sites in Northern San Diego County.

2001 Society for California Archaeology Data Sharing Meetings, San Luis Obispo, California.


1994/95 Guest Lecturer and Flint Knapping Instruction - Archaeological Field Class Fall Semester, Cypress College, Cypress, California. Paul Langenwalder/Henry C. Koerper, Directors.

1994/95 Annual Guest Lecturer - "Living History Days" at the Mission, Mission San Juan Capistrano, San Juan Capistrano, California.

1994 Guest Lecturer - El Monte High School, El Monte, California.
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Register of Professional Archaeologists
Society for California Archaeology
Archaeological Institute of America
APPENDIX II

Archaeological Records Search Results

(Bound Separately in Confidential Appendix)
APPENDIX III

Native American Heritage Commission
Sacred Lands File Search Results

(Bound Separately in Confidential Appendix)