## REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION BALAZS RESIDENTIAL MAJOR GRADING PLAN, PDS2022-LDGRMJ-30446

June 20, 2025

<u>I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?										
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT							
Discussion: The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.										
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?										
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT							
Discussion: The project site is also located within a Minor Amendment Area of the County's Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP). The site does not qualify as Biological Resource Core Area (BRCA) and is not located within or adjacent to any Pre-Approved Mitigation Areas (PAMA). The proposed project is for the development of a single-family dwelling. The 2.41 acre proposed project site is located within the County's Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) in land designated as a Minor Amendment Area. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated May 28, 2025.										
<b>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</b> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?										
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT							

## Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Olivenhain Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE	PROTECTIO	N ORDINANCE	- Does	the	projec	ct comply with:	
The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			Y [	ES ]	NO	_	ABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?				ES ]	NO	_	ABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
The Steep Slope	Y [	ES ]	NO		ABLE/EXEMPT ⊠		
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?				ES ]	NO	_	ABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?				ES ]	NO	_	ABLE/EXEMPT ☑
Discussion: Pursuant to Sect applicable to gra		the Resource Provement plans.	otectio	n Ord	dinand	ce (RPO), the I	RPO is not
	ershed Prote	NCE (WPO) - Do					
	YES	NO	NOT .	APPL	LICAE	BLE	
		agement Plan ar is/are found to l					
		oes the project co and the County of				•	iego Noise
	YES	NO	NOT .	APPL	LICAE	BLE	

## Discussion:

 $\boxtimes$ 

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.