REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF LIBERTY CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL PDS2015-MUP-15-027, PDS2015-ER-15-14-010

December 12. 2019

			_,				
<u>I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?							
`	∕ES □	NO	NOT APF	PLICAI ⊴	BLE/EXEMPT		
The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.							
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?							
YES ⊠		NO	NOT A	PPLIC	ABLE/EXEMPT		
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated July 22, 2019.							
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?							
`	∕ES □	NO	NOT APF	PLICAI ☑	BLE/EXEMPT		
The project will obtain its water supply from the Otay Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.							
IV. RESOURCE PRO	TECTION C	RDINANCE	Does the	proje	ct comply with:		
The wetland and w (Sections 86.604(a) a Protection Ordinance?	and (b)) of th	•	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP		
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP		

(Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Wetland and Wetland Buffers

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes

The average slope for the property is 10.7 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats

Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Elyssa Robertson on May 18, 2015. The project is conditioned to purchase offsite mitigation credits for the loss of Non-native grassland habitat. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian, Jerry Schaefer, Ph.D., and it has been determined there is one historical site present. Testing and other investigation has determined that the historical site does not meet the definition of significant site. It does not need to be preserved under the Resource Protection Ordinance.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of							
San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control							
Ordinance (WPO)		,	Ü	G			
, ,							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICA	BLE			
	\square						
	udy have be	•	•	and Hydromodification to be complete and in			
VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego							
Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICA	BLE			
		Ш					

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.