Dear Mr. David Sibbet,

I am writing you to provide my utmost protest against the proposed recycle facility in North Escondido. I live less than a quarter-mile away, and my children attend Calvin Christian school which is approximately ¼ mile away as the bird flies. This facility is in a residential area. I know it is zoned industrial, but it is located in the middle of residences. Further, the principal owners of the proposed facility have been convicted of TWO FEDERAL environmental violations: 1) Illegal dumping of sewage over a number of years, and 2) tampering with emission control devices. These are not just accusations from decades ago, these are tried and convicted Federal cases within approximately the last year. Now the county is going to trust they will obey the law and allow them to recycle waste surrounded by homes.

This site will be an eye sore to those traveling on the 15 freeway in either direction. Moreover, the plans do not call for a fully enclosed building for all operations; however, based on the County code Section 6975, M54 and M58 Industrial use must be conducted in a facility fully enclosed as it abuts a residential site both to the south and to the north. Therefore, the County must require an EIR which apparently is not being considered, even though in your letter dated March 11, 2014 wherein you denied the process of the site plan for this facility due to the requirement of an EIR. Now in September 2019, you state an EIR is no longer necessary citing a 2011 GPU. The facts here do not make sense.

The County may need a recycle facility, but this location is not the right one, and the principals who would own and operate it are certainly, not the correct ones. The County must deny this proposal.

Sincerely,

Ryan Kiesel
David,

What is your response to allowing a developer, Hilltop Group, Inc, who is owned by the same individuals as Diamond Environmental Services to be allowed to develop a recycle facility in a relatively residential neighborhood. These are the same individuals that have been CONVICTED on two serious federal charges for environmental violations. These were not just accidental violations; these were willful fraudulent acts that resulted in jail time. How do you respond to that?

Further, can you please specifically identify in the August 2011 GPU where this project conforms as it was proposed after the GPU was adopted? For in your own letter dated March 11, 2014, you require an EIR when that GPU existed at that time.

I expect a response.

Thank you,
Ryan

---

From: Sibbet, David <David.Sibbet@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 6:40 AM
To: Ryan Kiesel <rkiesel@manchesterfinancialgroup.com>
Subject: RE: North County Environmental Resources - North Escondido

Thank you for your comments. This email is confirmation that they have been received.

DAVID SIBBET, Planning Manager
From: Ryan Kiesel <rkiesel@manchesterfinancialgroup.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 4:51 PM
To: Sibbet, David <David.Sibbet@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Cc: Gaspar, Kristin <Kristin.Gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Desmond, Jim <Jim.Desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Jacob, Dianne <Dianne.Jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS) <Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: North County Environmental Resources - North Escondido

Dear Mr. David Sibbet,

I am writing you to provide my utmost protest against the proposed recycle facility in North Escondido. I live less than a quarter-mile away, and my children attend Calvin Christian school which is approximately ½ mile away as the bird flies. This facility is in a residential area. I know it is zoned industrial, but it is located in the middle of residences. Further, the principal owners of the proposed facility have been convicted of TWO FEDERAL environmental violations: 1) Illegal dumping of sewage over a number of years, and 2) tampering with emission control devices. These are not just accusations from decades ago, these are tried and convicted Federal cases within approximately the last year. Now the county is going to trust they will obey the law and allow them to recycle waste surrounded by homes.

This site will be an eye sore to those traveling on the 15 freeway in either direction. Moreover, the plans do not call for a fully enclosed building for all operations; however, based on the County code Section 6975, M54 and M58 Industrial use must be conducted in a facility fully enclosed as it abuts a residential site both to the south and to the north. Therefore, the County must require an EIR which apparently is not being considered, even though in your letter dated March 11, 2014 wherein you denied the process of the site plan for this facility due to the requirement of an EIR. Now in September 2019, you state an EIR is no longer necessary citing a 2011 GPU. The facts here do not make sense.

The County may need a recycle facility, but this location is not the right one, and the principals who would own and operate it are certainly, not the correct ones. The County must deny this proposal.

Sincerely,

Ryan Kiesel