

CHAPTER 8.0 LETTERS OF COMMENT AND RESPONSES

8.1 Introduction

A Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) for the proposed Project was prepared and circulated for public review from April 3, 2015 to May 22, 2015. During that time, the County received two Tribal comment letters (T-1 and T-2), six Agency comment letters (A-1 through A-4, A-5 and A-6), 17 Organization comment letters (O-1 through O-17), and two Individual comment letters (I-1 and I-2). The County subsequently received late comment letters from two Agency (A-3 and A-7).

In response to comments received from the circulation of the Draft EIR, recent court decisions on greenhouse gases, and a lack of concurrence from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (letter to the County dated March 30, 2017), revisions and additions have been made to the environmental analysis, including global climate change (greenhouse gas emissions), and project alternatives. The new Alternative H was introduced to be consistent with the South County MSCP hardline project footprint. Due to these changes, the County recirculated the Global Climate Change Section (previously Section 3.8, now Section 2.10) and Chapter 4.0, Project Alternatives. In addition to these Draft EIR sections, the County also circulated new technical appendices for Alternative H. Public comment on this 2019 Recirculation Package was open between April 11, 2019 through May 28, 2019. During that time, the County received four Agency comment letters (RA-1 through RA-4) and nine Organization comment letters (RO-1 through RO-9). The County subsequently received a late comment letter from one Agency (RA-5).

Due to the volume of comments received, a separate volume has been added to the Final EIR. Within that Volume are “Comment Letters” and “Comment Letter Responses”. A Table of Contents has been included in this Volume to direct the reader to the appropriate comment and/or response. The comments have each been assigned an alphanumeric label, and the individual comments within each written comment letter are bracketed and numbered. For example, Comment Letter A-1 contains 32 comments that are numbered A-1-1 through A-1-32.

The County’s responses to each comment on the Draft EIR represent a good-faith, reasoned effort to address the environmental issues identified by the comments. Under the State CEQA Guidelines, the County is not required to respond to all comments on the Draft EIR, but only those comments that raise environmental issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR. In accordance with CEQA Guidelines 15088 and 15204, the County has independently evaluated the comments and prepared the attached written responses describing the disposition of any significant environmental issues raised. CEQA does not require the County to conduct every test or perform all research, study, and experimentation recommended or demanded by commenters.

Rather, CEQA requires the County to provide a good faith, reasoned analysis supported by factual information. To fulfill these requirements, the County’s experts in planning and environmental sciences consulted with and independently reviewed analysis responding to the Draft EIR comments prepared by AECOM and other experts, which include experts in aesthetics, agriculture, air quality, biology, cultural resources, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and

hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use planning, noise, public services, transportation and traffic, utilities and service systems, energy, and environmental studies, each of whom has years of educational and field experience in these categories of environmental sciences; is familiar with the project and the environmental conditions in the County; and is familiar with the federal, state, and local rules and regulations (including CEQA) applicable to the proposed project. Accordingly, the County staff's final analysis provided in the responses to comments are backed by substantial evidence. Likewise, the County Counsel's Office prepared and/or independently reviewed legal analysis supplementing the responses to the Draft EIR comments.

In the case of specific comments, the County has responded with specific analysis; in the case of a general comment, or a recurring comment, the reader is referred to a series of "Global Responses" where applicable (discussed in Section 8.4). The absence of a specific response to every comment does not violate CEQA if the response would merely repeat other responses. Several of the comment letters repeat issues the County addressed in Global Responses and other written responses as part of the Final EIR. Due to the repetition, the County relies on those other responses addressing the same or similar issues, even if an individual response does not reference other applicable response(s). This is justified by the voluminous comments provided, and by the same or similar issues raised in such comments. For this reason, each reviewer is encouraged to review the Thematic Responses and the other written responses for further responsive information.

8.2 List of Agencies, Organizations, Individuals, and Tribes that Commented on the Draft EIR and 2019 Recirculation Package

Please refer to the Final EIR, Volume X for a comprehensive list of all written comments received during the public comment period.

8.3 Summary of Changes to the Draft EIR and Appendices

In some cases, comments received on the Draft EIR and 2019 Recirculation Package prompted changes to the final version of the document – i.e., the Final EIR. These are shown in strikeout/underline format. The Final EIR also includes informational updates and clarifications. These, too, are shown in strikeout/underline format. Consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(b), these revisions have been made to clarify text for consistency or revise punctuation as appropriate throughout the document, and these revisions do not result in what constitutes new significant information that would require recirculation of the document. In addition to the EIR changes, some of the EIR appendices were revised based on comments received during public review. The EIR sections and Appendices that were changed include:

- Summary
- Section 1.0, Introduction
- Section 2.1, Aesthetics and Visual Resources
- Section 2.2, Air Quality
- Section 2.3, Biology
- Section 2.4, Cultural Resources

- Section 2.5, Geology and Soils
- Section 2.6 Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Section 2.7, Noise
- Section 2.8, Solid Waste
- Section 2.9, Transportation and Traffic
- Section 2.10, Global Climate Change
- Section 3.2, Hydrology and Water Quality
- Section 3.3, Land Use and Planning
- Section 3.4, Mineral Resources
- Section 3.5, Population and Housing
- Section 3.6, Public Services
- Section 3.7, Utilities and Service Systems
- Section 3.8, Energy Use and Conservation
- Chapter 4.0, Project Alternatives
- Chapter 5.0, References
- Chapter 6.0, List of Preparers
- Chapter 7.0, List of Mitigation Measures and Environmental Considerations
- Appendix D-3, Biological Resources Technical Report Supplemental Analysis Otay Ranch Resort Village 13 – Alternative H
- Appendix D-19, Service Availability Letters Supplemental Analysis Otay Ranch Resort Village 13 – Alternative H
- Appendix D-21, Fire Protection Plan Otay Ranch Resort Village 13 - Alternative H
- Appendix D-23, Preserve Edge Plan Otay Ranch Resort Village 13 – Alternative H
- Appendix D-24, Otay Ranch Resort Village 13 Alternative H 1993 Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Program EIR Mitigation Monitoring Program Compliance

**Table 8-1
Summary of EIR Text Changes**

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
Table of Contents – Appendices (page vi)	Added text for additional appendices: C-24, C-25, C-26, C-27 and C-28, and C-29	Update
<i>Summary</i>		
S.1 – Project Description (page S-1)	Replaced “JPB Development” with “Moller Lakes Investment”	Correction
S.1 – Project Description (page S-1)	Added text to note the change in the applicants and PDS project numbers since the release of the 2015 Draft EIR	Update
S.2 Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures that Reduce or Avoid the Significant Effects (page S-4)	Added text regarding mitigation measures listed in Table S.1	Clarification
S.3 Areas of Controversy (page S-5)	<u>Updated to reflect executed sewer agreement</u>	<u>Update</u>
S.5 Issues to be Resolved by the Decision-Making Body (Page S-6)	<u>Clarified environmentally superior alternative</u>	<u>Clarification</u>
S.5 Project Alternatives (page S-6)	Added text to Alternative C, Alternative D, Alternative E, Alternative F, Alternative G and Alternative H	Correction
Table S.1 (page S-7)	Added text to M-AE-1 regarding final grading plans	Clarification
Table S.1 (page S-7 through S-11)	Added text to M-AQ-1a – M-AQ-1e	Update
Table S.1 (page S-11 through S-12)	Added text to M-AQ-2a – M-AQ-2b	Update
Table S.1 (page S-12)	Added reference to Figure 2.9-32 for M-TR-1	Clarification
Table S.1 (page S-12)	Replaced text “issuance of building permit” with “construction of the” for M-TR-4 through M-TR-6	Update
Table S.1 (page S-13)	Removed M-TR-7, M-TR-8 and M-TR-9	Reorganized Table
Table S.1 (Page S-16)	Added text SW-1 describing need for increased landfill capacity	Reorganized table
Table S-1 (Page S-16)	Moved text for TR-7, TR-8, TR-9 and TR-10 and mitigation	Reorganized table
Table S.1 (Page S-16)	Reorganized placement of TR-11 and TR-12	Reorganized table
Table S.1 (Page S-19)	Revised text in M-BI-1d regarding Conceptual Upland Restoration Plan	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-20)	Revised text in M-BI-1f regarding fencing/barrier standards to protect the preserve	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-21)	Revised text in M-BI-2 regarding mitigation ratios for Cornerstone Lands	Update

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
Table S.1 (Page S-22)	Added text in M-BI-3 regarding City of Chula Vista permit issuance of any land development permit	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-24)	Added text in M-BI-7 regarding performance measures for target functions	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-29)	Added text in M-BI-10 regarding take authorization for fairy shrimp	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-29)	Revised and added text in M-BI-9a regarding take authorization and the Quino checkerspot butterfly as well as the biological open space easement	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-31)	Added text M-BI-9b regarding performance measures for restoration and enhancement requirements	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-51)	Revised text “DPLU” to “PDS” in CR-4	Correction
Table S.1 (Page S-51)	Revised text regarding excavations of cut slopes	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-52)	Added text regarding M-GE-1c	Correction
Table S.1 (Page S-53)	Revised text to M-GE-2d regarding mitigation of rock fall hazards	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-55)	Added reference to Table 2.7-7	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-58)	Revised text to M-N-5 regarding drilling and rock crushing activities	Correction
Table S.1 (Page S-59)	Added text to M-N-6 regarding blasting peak particle	Clarification
Table S.1 (Page S-59)	Text adjustment to M-TR-2	Correction
Table S.1 (Page S-60)	Text adjustment to M-TR-3	Correction
Table S.1 (Page S-60)	Added text for M-GCC-1 through M-GCC-8	Update
Table S.1 (Page S-70)	Moved text for M-CR-1 and M-CR-2	Reorganization of table
Table S.1 (Page S-70)	Moved text for M-CR-4	Reorganization of table
Table S.1 (Page S705)	Moved text for M-TR-11 and M-TR-12	Reorganization of table
<i>Chapter 1.0 Project Description, Location and Environmental Setting</i>		
1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-20)	Added text to reference Section 6.4 of subsequently passed Phase 2 RMP Update	Update
<u>1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-21)</u>	<u>Updated Section 2.10 title</u>	<u>Update</u>
1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-22)	Updated year to most recent California Building and Fire Code	Update
1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-22)	Added text regarding 1995 Baldwin Letter agreement	Clarification
1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-23)	Added text regarding adoption of amendment to Otay SRP	Clarification
1.2.2.1 Specific Plan (Page 1-24)	Added text regarding adopted amendment to the Otay SRP regarding Otay Lakes Road	Clarification
1.2.2.5 Otay Ranch Resource Management Plan (Page 1-26)	Updated text to include adoption date of the Phase 2 RMP	Update
1.9 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes (Page 1-35)	Added text regarding irreversible environmental changes	Update
<u>1.9 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes (Page 1-38)</u>	<u>Added clarifying language to text</u>	<u>Clarification</u>

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
Table 1.0-1 (Page 1-39)	Replaced the note for the adoption of the Phase 2 RMP for the Otay Ranch RMP amendment/adoption	Update
Table 1.0-2 (Page 1-39)	Added text regarding Site Development Permit, and the Boundary Line Adjustment	Update
Table 1.0-2 (Page 1-40)	Added text regarding the Give of 10-acre Marlin Parcel to be added to the MSCP Preserve	Update
Table 1.0-2 (Page 1-40)	Added text regarding actions for annexations and reorganizations	Clarification
Table 1.0-2 (Page 1-40)	Added text “fairy shrimp” under notes for Section 7 Consultation and Section 10 Incidental Take	Update
Table 1.0-6 (Page 1-46)	Corrected the project name from “Otay Crossings Commerce Park” to “Otay 310”	Correction
Table 1.0-2 (Page 1-46)	Added text to clarify Correctional Facility is a proposed project	Clarification
Table 1.0-6 (Page 1-47)	Added “Jamul Casino” row to table	Update
Table 1.0-6 (Page 1-47)	Revised text to correct values	Correction
<i>Chapter 2 Significant Environmental Effects</i>		
2.1 AESTHETICS AND VISUAL RESOURCES		
2.1.2.1 Consistency with Visual Character, Quality, and Design (Page 2.1-7)	Added text regarding buffer techniques	Clarification
2.1.2.1 Consistency with Visual Character, Quality, and Design (Page 2.1-7)	Added text regarding lighting	Clarification
2.1.2.2 Damage to Visual Resources (Page 2.1-9)	Added discussion regarding preliminary grading plans	Clarification
2.1.2.4 Consistency with Adopted Goals, Policies, and Ordinances (Table 2.1-1) (Page 2.1.11)	Added text to table regarding disturbance to topography	Clarification
2.1.5 Mitigation (Page 2.1-16)	Added text regarding final grading plans to M-AE-1 to match updates to section	Update
2.1.6 Conclusion (Page 2.1-16)	Added discussion summarizing mitigation measures	Clarification
2.2 AIR QUALITY		
2.2.2.2 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-6)	Updated text to reflect recent version of documents and regulations	Update
2.2.2.2 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-6)	Removed text referencing Appendix C-12	Correction
2.2.2.2 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-6)	Removed and replaced text regarding construction impacts	Update and correction
2.2.2.2 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-7)	Added text regarding construction impacts	Clarification
2.2.2.2 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-8)	Added pollutant-specific information	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
2.2.2.3 Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Pollutant Concentrations (Page 2.2-14)	Added text regarding best management practices	Clarification
2.2.2.3 Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Pollutant Concentrations (Page 2.2-15)	Added discussion regarding carbon monoxide analysis	Clarification
2.2.5.1 Construction Emissions (Page 2.2-21)	Updated mitigation measures	Update
2.2.5.1 Construction Emissions (Page 2.2-21- 2.2-23)	Updated mitigation measures	Update
2.2.6.1 Conformance to Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (Page 2.2-24)	Added explanation regarding VOCs	Clarification
Table 2.2-1 (Page 2.2-26)	Updated text regarding primary ambient air quality standards	Correction
Table 2.2-3 (Page 2.2-28)	Added text to table notes	Clarification
Table 2.2-4 (Page 2.2-29)	Revised text to parameters and added table notes	Correction and Update
Table 2.2-6 (Page 2.2-31)	Revised text to parameters and added table notes	Correction and Update
Table 2.2-8 (Page 2.2-33)	Added information for Table 2.2-8 regarding project contribution to ambient zone	Update
Table 2.2-9 (Page 2.2-33)	Added information for Table 2.2-9 regarding project contribution to ambient NO ₂ concentrations	Update
Table 2.2-10 (Page 2.2-34)	Added information for Table 2.2-10 regarding project contribution to ambient PM ₁₀ concentrations	Update
Table 2.2-11 (Page 2.2-34)	Added information for Table 2.2-11 regarding project contribution to ambient PM _{2.5} concentrations	Update
2.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
2.3.1 Existing Conditions (Page 2.3-1)	Updated date for surveys conducted	Update
2.3.1.2 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-3)	Updated date of focused surveys for the Special-Status Wildlife Species and added new reference	Update
2.3.1.2 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-3)	Removed and replaced text regarding Nuttall's scrub oak	Clarification
2.3.1.3 Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waterways (Page 2.3-5)	Updated years of vernal pool studies conducted and clarified vernal pool text	Update and Clarification
2.3.1.5 Regional Conservation Planning Context (Page 2.3-8)	Added text to update information regarding Phase 2 RMP	Update
2.3.1.5 Regional Conservation Planning Context (Page 2.3-8)	Clarified text referencing an agreement with the Wildlife Agencies	Clarification
2.3.1.5 Regional Conservation Planning Context (Page 2.3-9)	Corrected text for Public Utilities Department	Update
2.3.2.1 Riparian Habitat and Other Sensitive Natural Communities (Page 2.3-11 and 12)	Updated acreages of sensitive vegetation communities	Update
2.3.2.1 Riparian Habitat and Other Sensitive Natural Communities (Page 2.3-13)	Added text regarding the Multiple Habitat Planning Area exhibits	Update

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
2.3.2.1 Riparian Habitat and Other Sensitive Natural Communities (Page 2.3-15)	Updated date of vernal pool study	Update
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-19)	Revised acreages and added text regarding Quino checkerspot butterfly habitat	Correction and clarification
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-19)	Added discussion regarding Quino checkerspot butterfly and host plants	Clarification
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-20)	Updated acreage of critical Quino checkerspot butterfly habitat and clarified potential project impacts	Update and clarification
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-21)	Removed and replaced text regarding Nuttall's scrub oak	Clarification
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-21)	Added text regarding Phase 2 RMP	Update
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-22)	Added text regarding County List D species	Correction
2.3.2.3 Special-Status Species (Page 2.3-22)	Added discussion regarding the golden eagle	Clarification
2.3.2.5 Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans (Page 2.3-30)	Added text regarding conveyance of land	Clarification
2.3.2.5 Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans (Page 2.3-31)	Added text regarding MSCP Preserve boundary adjustment	Clarification
2.3.2.5 Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans (Page 2.3-33)	Added text regarding Phase 2 RMP	Update
2.3.2.5 Local Policies, Ordinances, and Adopted Plans (Page 2.3-33)	Revised percentages regarding variegated dudleya	Correction
2.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.3-35)	Added text regarding San Diego Fairy Shrimp	Update
2.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.3-35)	Revised text regarding Quino checkerspot butterfly	Clarification
2.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.3-36)	Added text regarding Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19	Update
2.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.3-37)	Updated discussion on County Quino Checkerspot Butterfly Amendment	Update
2.3.5.1 Vegetation Communities (Page 2.3-39)	Added text to M-BI-1a regarding conveyance areas	Clarification
2.3.5.1 Vegetation Communities (Page 2.3-40)	Added text to M-BI-1d regarding the Phase 2 RMP and the Conceptual Upland Restoration Plan	Update
2.3.5.1 Vegetation Communities (Page 2.3-41)	Added text to M-BI-2 regarding MSCP amendment requirements and corrected mitigation ratio	Correction and clarification
2.3.5.2 Jurisdictional Waters and Wetlands (Page 2.3-45)	Added text to M-BI-6 regarding marsh-elder	Clarification
2.3.5.3 Vernal Pools (Page 2.3-45)	Added text to M-BI-7 regarding performance measures for vernal pool and mima mound habitat	Clarification
2.3.5.4 Sensitive Plant Species (Page 2.3-47 and 48)	Added text to M-BI-8 regarding Phase 2 RMP requirements and the Resource Salvage and Restoration Plan	Update and clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
2.3.5.5 Sensitive Wildlife Species (Page 2.3-49 and 50)	Revised text for M-BI-9a regarding Take Authorization for Quino checkerspot butterfly and biological open space easement	Update and clarification
2.3.5.5 Sensitive Wildlife Species (Page 2.3-50)	Added text to M-BI-9b regarding Quino checkerspot butterfly	Update
<u>2.3.5.5 Sensitive Wildlife Species (Page 2.3-50)</u>	<u>Removed reference to Alternative H</u>	<u>Correction</u>
2.3.5.5 Sensitive Wildlife Species (Page 2.3-51)	Added text to M-BI-10 regarding take authorization for San Diego fairy shrimp	Update
2.3.5.5 Sensitive Wildlife Species (Page 2.3-51)	Corrected number of calendar days for pre-construction surveys	Correction
2.3.5.7 Indirect Impacts (Page 2.3-53)	Added text regarding altered hydrology	Clarification
Table 2.3-2 (Page 2.3-59 through 2.3-63)	Added text regarding San Diego goldenstar, graceful tarplant, ashy spike-moss and woven-spored lichen and survey observations	Update
Table 2.3-3 (Page 2.3-64 through 68)	Added text regarding butterfly wing wear, host plant surveys, and golden eagle	Clarification
Table 2.3-9 (Page 2.3-80 and 81)	Updated values for Palmer's grapplinghook and added footnotes for San Diego barrel cactus, San Diego marsh-elder, and San Diego goldenstar	Correction and clarification
Table 2.3-10 (Page 2.3-83)	Updated values for Quino checkerspot butterfly	Correction
Table 2.3-11 (Page 2.3-93)	Added Table 2.3-11 for percentage of each density category for Plantago erecta	Update
Table 2.3-12 (Page 2.3-93)	Added Table 2.3-12 for percentage of medium and high density category for Plantago erecta	Update
2.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES		
2.4.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance (Page 2.4-4)	Added text to clarify guidelines are for the County of San Diego	Clarification
2.4.2.5 Resource Protection Ordinance Compliance (Page 2.4-12)	Removed text regarding Preserve area	Correction
2.5 GEOLOGY AND SOILS		
2.5.5.1 Unstable Slopes (Page 2.5-13)	Added text regarding stabilization measures	Clarification
2.5.5.1 Unstable Slopes (Page 2.5-13)	Added text M-GE-1c regarding Metavolcanic Rock	Update
2.5.5.2 Rock Fall Hazards (Page 2.5-14)	Revised text to M-GE-2d regarding rock fall hazards	Update
2.5.6 Conclusion (Page 2.5-14)	Added text regarding stabilization measures	Clarification
2.6 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		
2.6.1.9 Aeronautical Uses (Page 2.6-8)	Revised text regarding airfield operator's lease	Update and clarification
2.6.2.3. Airport Hazards (Page 2.6-15)	Corrected significance threshold	Correction
2.6.2.3. Airport Hazards (Page 2.6-16)	Corrected appendix reference	Correction
2.6.2.3. Airport Hazards (Page 2.6-17)	Changed department to Public Utilities	Correction
2.6.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation (Page 2.6-27)	Removed "cumulative" in significance of impact to hazards and hazardous materials	Correction

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
2.7 NOISE		
2.7.2.1 Noise Sensitive Land Uses Affected by Airborne Noise (Page 2.7-9)	Added descriptive language for County segments	Clarification
2.7.2.1 Noise Sensitive Land Uses Affected by Airborne Noise (Page 2.7-10)	Added text regarding noise levels	Clarification
2.7.2.3 Construction Noise (Page 2.7-16)	Added text regarding grading	Clarification
2.7.5.1 Impacts Related to the Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Substantial Exterior/Interior Noise Levels (Page 2.7-27 and 28)	Corrected table references in mitigation measures M-N-1b, M-N-1d, and M-N-1e	Correction
2.7.5.4 Impulsive Noise (Page 2.7-30)	Corrected minimum setback distances in M-N-5	Correction
2.7.5.5 Groundborne Vibration (Page 2.7-30)	Added text regarding blasting	Clarification
Table 2.7-6 (Page 2.7-37)	Added text regarding city boundary traffic	Update
Table 2.7-9 (Page 2.7-41)	Revised text for County boundary segment	Correction
Table 2.7-10 (Page 2.7-43)	Added text regarding Wueste Road and City/County boundary	Update
2.8 SOLID WASTE		
2.8.1.1 Existing Regulations and Programs (Page 2.8-1)	Added text regarding Household Hazardous Waste	Clarification
2.8.1.2 Existing Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Programs (Page 2.8-2)	Removed text regarding proposed Gregory Canyon Landfill	Update and clarification
2.8.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.8-6)	Updated text regarding the Otay Ranch Village 2 Comprehensive SPA Plan Amendment	Update
2.8.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.8-6)	Removed and replaced text regarding Otay Landfill	Clarification
2.9 TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC		
2.9.1.9 Analysis Study Area (Page 2.9-6)	Added text regarding project driveways	Clarification
2.9.2.1 Study Area Roadways Description (Page 2.9-10)	Added text regarding City/County boundary and intersection	Clarification
2.9.3.2 Analysis – Existing Plus Project (Phase I) (Page 2.9.17)	Removed and replaced text regarding Project driveway	Correction
2.9.3.3 Analysis – Existing Plus Project Buildout (Page 2.9-20)	Removed and replaced text regarding driveway and intersection	Correction
2.9.3.4 Analysis - Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-24)	Added text regarding land use	Clarification
2.9.3.4 Analysis - Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-25)	Added text regarding project driveways	Clarification
2.9.3.4 Analysis - Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-25 – 2.9-26)	Added discussion regarding TDIF, TIF and MMP	Clarification and Update
2.9.3.4 Analysis - Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-29)	Added text regarding intersections	Clarification
2.9.3.5 Analysis – 2030 Plus Project Buildout (Page 2.9-33)	Added text regarding intersections and City/County boundary	Clarification
2.9.3.6 Analysis – Site Access and On-Site Circulation (Page 2.9-39)	Added text regarding intersections	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
2.9.3.6 Analysis – Site Access and On-Site Circulation (Page 2.9-40)	Updated exhibit reference	Update
2.9.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 2.9-44 – 2.9-45)	Added text regarding intersections	Clarification
2.9.5.3 Cumulative Year (2025) (.9-47)	Added text regarding intersections	Clarification
2.9.6.1 Existing Plus Project Phase I (Page 2.9-48)	Removed and replaced text for mitigation triggers for M-TR-1 through 3	Clarification
2.9.6.2 Existing Plus Project Buildout (Page 2.9-49)	Removed and replaced text for mitigation triggers for M-TR-4 through 6	Correction
2.9.6.3 Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-50 and 51)	Removed and replaced text for mitigation triggers for M-TR-7 through 10 and clarified intersections for M-TR-11 and 12	Correction and Clarification
2.9.7.1 Existing Plus Project (Phase I) (Page 2.9-52)	Added text regarding intersections and level of significance	Clarification
2.9.7.2 Existing Plus Project (Buildout) (Page 2.9-52)	Added text regarding level of significance	Clarification
2.9.7.3 Cumulative Year (2025) (Page 2.9-53)	Added text regarding level of significance	Clarification
Table 2.9-12 (Page 2.9-65)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
Table 2.9-24 (Page 2.9-76 – 2.9-77)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
Table 2.9-31 (Page 2.9-90 – 2.9-91)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
Table 2.9-39 (Page 2.9-107)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
Table 2.9-46 (Page 2.9-116)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
Table 2.9-47 (Page 2.9-120 – 2.9-121)	Added text regarding intersection	Clarification
2.10 GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE		
2.10	<u>Updated number of mitigation measures</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 2.10-4)	Added <u>Changed</u> text regarding NHTSA's adoption of SAFE vehicles	Clarification
2.10.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 2.10-8)	<u>Added language describing EO B-55-18</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 2.10-13)	<u>Added language on new EO</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 2.10-16)	<u>Added discussion on recent updates to County CAP status</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 2.10-17 – 2.10-18)	Added text regarding carbon offsets under Voluntary Markets	Clarification
2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-23)	Updated values for metric tons of carbon dioxide	Correction
<u>2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-24)</u>	<u>Updated language on new Energy Efficiency Standards</u>	<u>Update</u>
<u>2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-25)</u>	<u>Updated number of mitigation measures</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-26)	Added discussion regarding South Bay Bus Rapid Transit	Clarification
2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-26)	Added discussion regarding development of major urban and employment centers	Clarification
<u>2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-26)</u>	<u>Added callout for new mitigation measure</u>	<u>Update</u>
<u>2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-28)</u>	<u>Added information on Executive Orders</u>	<u>Update</u>

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
<u>2.10.2.4 Impact Analysis (Page 2.10-29)</u>	<u>Updated discussion on State policies</u>	<u>Update</u>
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-30)	Added text to M-GCC-1 regarding a bike-sharing program for resort guests	Update
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-31)	Added text to M-GCC-2 regarding lighting and energy use for multi-family and non-residential buildings	Update
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-32)	Added text to M-GCC-5 regarding multi-family residences	Update
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-32)	Added text to M-GCC-6 regarding Level 2 EV charging stations	Update
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-33 through 34)	Added text to M-GCC-7 regarding GHG emission reduction, carbon offset documentation, and reduction opportunities Added new text for M-GCC-7	Clarification Update
2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-35 41 through 50 37)	Added text to for M-GCC-8 regarding GHG emission reduction, carbon offset documentation, and reduction opportunities	Clarification Update
<u>2.10.5 Mitigation (Page 2.10-51)</u>	<u>Added M-GCC-9</u>	<u>Update</u>
<u>Table 2.10-3 (Page 2.10-54)</u>	<u>Edit to reflect updated mitigation measures</u>	<u>Update</u>
Table 2.10-4 (2.10- 56 44)	Revised annual emissions for construction activities, construction carbon offsets and construction totals. Added text to the notes for on-site reduction strategies to the Project GHG Emissions and new mitigation measures	Correction
<i>Chapter 3 Environmental Effects Found Not to be Significant</i>		
3.2 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
3.2.1.1 Hydrologic Setting (Page 3.2-2)	Added text regarding Otay Reservoir surrounding land	Clarification
3.2.2.1 Hydrology (Page 3.2-16)	Removed and replaced text regarding water treatment plant	Clarification
3.2.2.1 Hydrology (Page 3.2-17)	Removed and replaced text regarding Otay Reservoir storage volume	Clarification
3.3 LAND USE AND PLANNING		
3.3.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 3.3-7)	Added text regarding Otay SRP amendments	Clarification
3.3.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 3.3-7)	Added text for reference	Clarification
3.3.1.2 Regulatory Setting (Page 3.3-7)	Added text to identify locations and agencies	Correction
3.3.2.2 Conflicts with Applicable Land Use Plans, Policies, Guidelines and Regulations (Page 3.3-20)	Added footnote for conservation entities	Clarification
3.3.2.2 Conflicts with Applicable Land Use Plans, Policies, Guidelines, and Regulations (Page 3.3-21)	Added text regarding Otay Ranch Phase 2 RMP Update	Clarification
3.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 3.3-27)	Added text referencing City of San Diego	Clarification
Table 3.3-1 (Page 3.3-33)	Added text regarding San Diego Air Sports Center (Tactical Air Operations)	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
Table 3.3-1 (Page 3.3-38)	Removed and replaced text regarding recycled water and the Otay Water District fee	Clarification
Table 3.3-1 (Page 3.3-40)	Removed and replaced text regarding recycled water and Otay Water District fee	Clarification
3.4 MINERAL RESOURCES		
3.4.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis (Page 3.4-9)	Removed text regarding export materials.	Correction
3.5 POPULATION AND HOUSING		
3.5.1.1 Otay SRP (Page 3.5-2)	Removed text regarding single-family and multi-family homes associated with other villages	Correction
3.5.1.1 Otay SRP (Page 3.5-2)	Added Removed text regarding environmentally superior plan	Clarification
3.5.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance (Page 3.5-4)	Added text regarding population growth	Clarification
3.5.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance (Page 3.5-5)	Removed “and 19” from Village 14 and Planning Area 16”	Correction
3.5.6 Conclusion (Page 3.5-7)	Added <u>and updated</u> text regarding amendments to documents	Clarification
3.6 PUBLIC SERVICES		
3.6.1.1 Fire Protection and Emergency Services (Page 3.6-1)	Updated to correct San Diego County Fire Authority name	Update
3.6.1.1 Fire Protection and Emergency Services (Page 3.6-2)	Added text regarding Fire Station #6 and #7	Clarification
3.6.1.1 Fire Protection and Emergency Services (Page 3.6-2)	Added discussion regarding fire station staffing	Update and clarification
3.6.1.2 Fire Protection and Emergency Services (Page 3.6-2)	Added text regarding first responding engine	Clarification
3.6.1.2 Law Enforcement (Page 3.6-3)	Added text regarding Priority calls	Clarification and correction
3.6.1.3 Schools (Page 3.6-4)	Added text regarding schools and their proximity to project site	Clarification
3.6.1.4 Parks (Page 3.6-5)	Added text regarding park provision	Clarification
3.6.2.1 Fire Protection and Emergency Services (Page 3.6-7)	Added text regarding San Diego County Fire Authority	Update and clarification
3.6.2.3 Schools (Page 3.6-10)	Added text regarding CVESD student count	Clarification
3.6.2.3 Schools (Page 3.6-10)	Added text regarding Arroyo Vista and Salt Creek Elementary	Clarification
3.6.2.3 Schools (Page 3.6-10)	Added text regarding school capacity	Clarification
3.6.2.3 Schools (Page 3.6-11)	Added text regarding total enrollment of SUHSD	Clarification
3.6.2.3 Schools (Page 3.6-11)	Added text regarding Olympian High School, Eastlake High School and Eastlake Middle School	Update and clarification
3.7 UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS		
3.7.1.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-2 through 3.7-5)	Added text regarding 2015 UWMP	Clarification
3.7.1.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-7)	Added text regarding emergency water conservation regulations and reference to Appendix C-18	Clarification and update

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
3.7.1.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-9)	Updated text regarding Public Utilities Department	Clarification and update
3.7.1.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-9)	Added discussion regarding South Bay Water Reclamation Plant	Clarification
3.7.1.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-9)	Added reference to technical memorandum, Appendix C-28	Clarification and update
3.7.2.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-10)	Added text regarding 2015 UWMP update	Clarification
3.7.2.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-11)	Added text for reference for demand requirements	Clarification
3.7.2.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-14)	Removed discussion of offset program	Update
<u>3.7.2.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-14)</u>	<u>Updated language to reflect executed sewer agreement</u>	<u>Update</u>
3.7.2.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-14)	Removed “Metro” and replaced with “City of San Diego’s Public Utilities Department”	Correction
3.7.2.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-15)	Updated design guide reference	Update
3.7.2.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-16)	Revised value regarding overflow volumes	Correction
3.7.2.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-16)	Added text referencing Appendix C-16	Clarification
3.7.2.2 Wastewater (Page 3.7-17)	Removed “Metro” and replaced with City of San Diego’s Public Utilities Department	Correction
3.7.3.1 Water Supply (Page 3.7-20)	Added text regarding 2015 UWMP update	Clarification
<i>Chapter 4 Project Alternatives</i>		
4.1 Rationale for Alternative Selection (Page 4-1)	Added text regarding inception of Alternative H	Clarification
4.1.1 Alternatives Selected for Analysis (Page 4-2)	Corrected text to “undeveloped land”	Correction
4.2.1 No Project Alternative Description and Setting (Page 4-7)	Added text regarding project outcome if no development occurs	Clarification
4.2.2 Comparison of the Effects of the No Project Alternative (Page 4-10)	Added text regarding agreement related to traffic impacts	Clarification
4.3.1 Alternative B Description and Setting (Page 4-11)	Added discussion regarding project objectives met by Alternative B	Clarification
4.3.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Existing Otay SRP Alternative (Alternative B) to the Proposed Project (Page 4-13)	Added text regarding Preserve conveyance obligation	Clarification
4.3.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Existing Otay SRP Alternative (Alternative B) to the Proposed Project (Page 4-14 and 15)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.4.1 Alternative C Description and Setting (Page 4-16)	Added discussion regarding project objectives met by Alternative C	Clarification
4.4.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative C to the Proposed Project (Page 4-17)	Clarified text regarding Preserve conveyance obligation	Clarification
4.4.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative C to the Proposed Project (Page 4-19)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.5.1 Alternative D Description and Setting (Page 4-20)	Added discussion regarding project objectives met by Alternative D	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
4.5.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative D to the Proposed Project (Page 4-21)	Clarified text regarding Preserve conveyance obligation	Clarification
4.5.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative D to the Proposed Project (Page 4-23)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.6.1 Alternative E Description and Setting (Page 4-24)	Added text regarding project objectives met by Alternative E	Clarification
4.6.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative E to the Proposed Project (Page 4-25)	Clarified text regarding Preserve conveyance obligation	Clarification
4.6.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative E to the Proposed Project (Page 4-26)	Removed “Alternative H” and replaced with “Alternative E” under Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Correction
4.6.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative E to the Proposed Project (Page 4-27)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.7.1 Alternative F Description and Setting (Page 4-28)	Added text regarding project objectives met by Alternative F	Clarification
4.7.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative F to the Proposed Project (Page 4-29)	Removed text “conserved” and “open space” and replaced with “undeveloped”	Correction
4.7.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative F to the Proposed Project (Page 4-29)	Corrected text regarding Preserve conveyance obligation	Clarification
4.7.2 Comparison of the Effects of Alternative F to the Proposed Project (Page 4-31)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.8.1 Alternative G Description and Setting (Page 4-32)	Added text regarding project objectives met by Alternative G	Clarification
4.8.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative G to the Proposed Project (Page 4-33)	Corrected text regarding Preserve conveyance observation	Clarification
4.8.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative G to the Proposed Project (Page 4-34)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.9.1 Alternative H Description and Setting (Page 4-36)	Added text regarding County approval of Alternative H	Clarification
4.9.1 Alternative H Description and Setting (Page 4-36)	Revised acreage for Otay Ranch RMP Preserve and manufactured open space under Alternative H	Correction
4.9.1 Alternative H Description and Setting (Page 4-37)	Added text regarding Alternative H project objectives	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-39)	Revised Preserve conveyance acreage for Alternative H development	Correction
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-39)	Added text regarding performance measures for Quino Checkerspot Butterfly Management/Enhancement Plan	Clarification and update
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-39)	Added reference to M-BI-10	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-39 through 40)	Added text to describe each Biological Resource mitigation measure	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-42)	Added acreage associated with the biological open space easement in M-BI-9a	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-43)	Added text to reference Appendix D-3	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-45)	Corrected difference in acres of development	Correction
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-47)	Added text to reference Appendix D-11 in M-N-1a through 1d	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4-48 and 49)	Added text regarding agreement for traffic impacts	Clarification
4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4- 49)	Updated emissions of CO _{2e} per year	Update
<u>4.9.2 Comparison of the Effects of the Alternative H to the Proposed Project (Page 4- 51)</u>	<u>Updated number of GCC mitigation measures</u>	<u>Update</u>
Table 4.0-1 (Page 4-51)	Revised table	Correction
Table 4.0-1 (Page 4-51)	Added text to footnote	Correction and clarification
Table 4.0-2 (Page 4-53)	Corrected significance level for Transportation and Traffic to match EIR sections	Correction
Table 4.0-3 (4-55)	Added text regarding soil in areas subject to sub-surface blasting, construction roads with more than 150 daily trips, construction access roads from Otay Lakes Road, approved chemical soil stabilizers, off-road, and diesel-powered construction equipment to M-AQ-1a	Correction and update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-56)	Added text regarding surface-level blasting activities	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-56)	Added text regarding a dust control plan for M-AQ-1b	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-57)	Added text regarding a construction truck traffic plan to M-AQ-1c	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-58)	Added text regarding a rideshare program for construction crew to M-AQ-1d	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-58)	Added text regarding architectural coating to M-AQ-1e	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-58)	Added text regarding project HOA to M-AQ-2b	Clarification and update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-61)	Revised conveyance acreage to M-BI-1a	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-64)	Revised ratios for replacement lands to M-BI-2	Update
Page Table 4.0-3 (4-67)	Added text regarding performance measures for target functions	Clarification

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-72)	Added text regarding take authorization for San Diego fairy shrimp	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-71)	Revised acreage for biological open space easement	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-73)	Added text regarding performance measures for restoration and enhancement requirements for M-BI-9b	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-77)	Relocated text for uses in and adjacent to the preserve to M-BI-15	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-97)	Added text to reference Appendix D-11	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-102)	Added M-TR-13 to the table	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-103)	Added text regarding mitigation timing	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-104)	Added text regarding interior lighting in the multi-family residences and non-residential buildings	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-105)	Added text to state that multi-family residences will be designed and constructed without wood-burning or natural gas-burning fireplaces to M-GCC-5	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-105)	Added text regarding Level 2 EV charging stations to M-GCC-6	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-105 through 112)	Added text regarding GHG emission reduction to M-GCC-7 and M-GCC-8	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-105)	Revised values of carbon dioxide metric ton	Update
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-106 through 112)	Added text regarding retired carbon offset registries to M-GCC-7 and M-GCC-8	Clarification
Table 4.0-3 (Page 4-109)	Added text regarding retired carbon offset required documentation	Clarification
Table 4.0-6 (Page 4-115)	Updated annual CO2e emissions	Update
<i>Chapter 5 List of References</i>		
Chapter 5.0 List of References (Page 5-2)	Added text to reference Chula Vista Elementary School District	Update
Chapter 5.0 List of References (Page 5-4)	Added text to reference Deena Raver	Update
Chapter 5.0 List of References (Page 5-6)	Added text to reference San Diego County Water Authority	Update
Chapter 5.0 List of References (Page 5-7)	Added text to reference Sweetwater Union High School District	Update
<i>Chapter 6 List of EIR Preparers, Persons, and Organizations Contacted</i>		
6.2 Persons Contributing to EIR Preparation (Page 6-4)	Added H.T. Harvey & Associates and M.J. Baxter Drilling Company	Update
<i>Chapter 7 List of Mitigation Measures and Environmental Design Considerations</i>		
7.1 Aesthetics and Visual Resources (Page 7-1)	Added text regarding final grading plans to M-AE-1	Clarification
7.2 Air Quality (Page 7-2)	Added text to match updated mitigation for M-AQ-1 and M-AQ-2	Update

Section (Page)	Change	Reason for Change
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-7)	Added text regarding the Conceptual Upland Restoration Plan in M-BI-1d	Update
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-12)	Added text regarding performance measures for target functions in M-BI-7	Clarification
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-13 and 14)	Added text regarding the Quino checkerspot butterfly and the biological open space easement to M-BI-9a	Update
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-14)	Added text regarding performance measures for San Diego Quino Checkerspot restoration and enhancement requirements in M-BI-9b	Clarification
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-15)	Added text regarding take authorization of Fairy shrimp in M-BI-10	Update
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-16)	Unbolded text	Correction
7.3 Biological Resources (Page 7-19)	Removed duplicative statement from M-BI-15	Correction
7.4 Cultural Resources (Page 7-24)	Reformatted text in M-CR-1	Correction
7.5 Geology and Soils (Page 7-35)	Removed and replaced text regarding excavations of cut slopes in M-GE-1a	Update
7.5 Geology and Soils (Page 7-36)	Removed and replaced text for M-GE-1c to match updates to Section 2.5	Update
7.5 Geology and Soils (Page 7-36)	Removed and replaced text for M-GE-2d to match updates to Section 2.5	Update
7.7 Noise (Page 7-39)	Added text regarding a solid wall	Update
7.7 Noise (Page 7-42)	Added text regarding blasting peak particle velocity	Update
7.8 Transportation and Traffic (Page 7-44 through 46)	Updated mitigation triggers to reflect correct equivalent dwelling units and intersections	Clarification and Correction
7.9 Global Climate Change (Page 7-46)	Added M-GCC-1 through M-GCC-9 8 to reflect Recirculated Section 2.10	Update
7.9 Environmental Design Considerations (Page 7-54)	Added text for Removed GCC-ED-1 through and added text for GCC EDU-1 and 2 (previously 2 and 3) 3 to reflect Recirculated Section 2.10	Update
7.12.2 Water Supply (Page 7-57)	Removed text regarding recycled water for UT-ED-9	Correction
7.12.3 Gas and Electric (Page 7-58)	Removed and replaced text regarding residential garages for UT-ED-13	Correction
Chapter 8.0	Added Chapter 8.0 to reflect changes since public review of the 2015 Draft EIR and 2019 Recirculation	Update

8.4 Global Responses to Comments

Similar comments were received on several topics. In response, Global Responses were prepared to comprehensively address these comments for both the 2015 Response to Comments and 2019 Response to Comments from Recirculation. The individual responses refer to the following Global Responses, which include:

- Global Response 1: Phase 2 RMP

- Global Response 2: Golden Eagle
- Global Response 3: Travel Time and Standard Methodology
- Global Response 4: Fire Service Provision
- Global Response 5: Determining Adequacy of Response/NFPA 1710
- Global Response R1: Carbon Offsets
- Global Response R2: County of San Diego Climate Action Plan
- Global Response R3: Structure Vulnerability and Ignition
- Global Response R4: Quino Checkerspot Butterfly
- Global Response R5: Wildfire Protection and Evacuation

These Global Responses are included in the Volume of ~~individual~~ Response to Comments for easy referral by the reader when reviewing the specific Response to Comments.

This page intentionally left blank.