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County of San Diego
Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue
San Diego, CA 92123

RE: OTAY RANCH RESORT VILLAGE – MINERAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATION UPDATE LETTER FOR ALTERNATIVE H

To Whom it May Concern:

T&B Planning, Inc. understands that since preparation of the Draft EIR for the Otay Ranch Resort Village project (hereafter the “Proposed Project”) a new alternative to the Proposed Project has been identified, Alternative H. Alternative H was introduced after we prepared the Mineral Resource Investigation for the Proposed Project (dated March 30, 2010). Specifically, as part of Alternative H, the development footprint was consolidated in the south-central portion of the Project site. This letter evaluates the potential for Alternative H to result in adverse impacts to mineral resources that were not previously addressed in the Mineral Resource Investigation dated March 30, 2010.

The Mineral Resource Investigation concluded that the project site does not contain important mineral resources because the site: 1) is not designated as an important “Mineral Resource Zone” (MRZ) by the California Department of Conservation; 2) does not contain a known mine or quarry; and 3) does not contain significant deposits or minable, processable, and/or marketable mineral resources. The geologic conditions on the project site remain the same as those originally evaluated in the Mineral Resources Investigation; therefore, the changes to the development plan under Alternative H do not alter the Mineral Resource Investigation’s conclusion that the project site does not contain important mineral resources.

The Mineral Resource Investigation also concluded that development of the Proposed Project would not result in the loss of availability of known, off-site mineral resources because the Proposed Project would not develop land uses incompatible with mining activities (e.g., residential) within 1,300 feet of an area designated as MRZ-2. The project site is located in the vicinity of the Jamul Quarry property, which is designated MRZ-2. The Jamul Quarry is located east of the Project site, on the southern side of Jamul Creek. Alternative H would consolidate development on the south-central portion of the project site, approximately 5,000 feet west of the Jamul Quarry property (see attached exhibit). Accordingly, Alternative H would not introduce incompatible land uses within 1,300 feet of an area designated as MRZ-2, including the Jamul Quarry.

As demonstrated by the foregoing information, Alternative H does not result in any impacts to mineral resources that were not already evaluated in the Mineral Resources Investigation (March 30, 2010) for the Proposed Project, and the analysis and conclusions contained in the Mineral Resource Investigation remain accurate. Please contact me at (619) 501-6041 x 101 or via email at jharding@tbplanning.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jerrica Harding, Senior Associate