# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Sweetwater Springs Triangular Parking Lot, PDS2021-STP-21-019

July 26, 2021

I. HABITAT L	OSS PERMIT	ORDINANO	<b>E</b> – Does the proposed project conform to	the
			Ordinance findings?	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	
Discussion:				
the Multiple Sp	ecies Conserv	ation Progra	provements are located within the boundaries am. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Londings is not required.	
II. MSCP/BMO Program and B			ct conform to the Multiple Species Conservat nce?	ion
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
within the bou	indaries of th the Multiple Sp	e Multiple pecies Cons	provements related to the proposed project someones. Species Conservation Program. The project servation Program and the Biological Mitigated in the Servation Program and the Biological Mitigated in the Servation Program and the Biological Mitigated Indianal Program and Indianal Property in the Proposed Property in the Property in	ect
III. GROUNDW the San Diego			es the project comply with the requirements nance?	s of
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	
Discussion:				

The project is exempt from the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance Section 67.720. The project will not have a significant adverse impact on groundwater quantity because the total project demand will be less than 20,000 gallons per day and the project complies with the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance.

## IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  ☑
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  ☑
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

#### Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

#### Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes defined by the RPO on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

### Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

## Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Carmen Zepeda-Herman, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. As such, the project complies with the RPO.

	rshed Prote		- Does the project comply nwater Management and	_
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion: The Project Storm be complete and i		, .	ment Plan has been reviev PO.	wed and is found to
			ct comply with the County ity of San Diego Noise Ord	•
	YES	NO 	NOT APPLICABLE  ☐	

#### Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.