I oppose Soltec’s risky and ill-advised multi-tower proposed project within Boulevard’s jurisdiction. Boulevard’s Community Plan specifically prohibits any development in the agriculture preserves, in part, for good reason. The proposed project is not consistent with Boulevard’s comprehensive plan. The project is too large and too close to homes and sensitive resources. It will destroy the character and quality of life of Boulevard and will expose residents to significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard’s rural character and quality of life.

Judicial fast-tracking of Soltec’s industrial-scale projects, under AB908, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-source alternatives are less expensive and destructive.
Response to Comment Letters P1–P141

Form Letter Postcard
January–March 2014

The County of San Diego (County) received 141 postcards (P1–P141) titled “No Soitec Solar in Boulevard, CA.” These postcards were identical or nearly identical. Some postcards had additional notation included in the margins that does not substantively affect the content of the postcard. These minor variations in the form letter are noted for disclosure purposes, and individual responses are provided below.

For the sake of brevity and addressing the environmental considerations, one copy of the form letter (Comment Letter P1) is reproduced in the Final Program Environmental Impact Report (FPEIR), followed by the 11 letters that contained minor variations. The content of these postcards were substantively the same; therefore, a single response is provided. For a complete list of commenters that sent this postcard to the County, see Table RTC-1.

General Response

P-1 The County acknowledges the commenter’s opposition to the Proposed Project and support for the No Project Alternative.

The County agrees that the Proposed Project may result in potential adverse environmental impacts. These impacts are considered and addressed in the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (DPEIR); see Chapter 2.1, Aesthetics; Chapter 2.2, Air Quality; Chapter 2.3, Biological Resources; Chapter 2.4, Cultural Resources; Chapter 2.5, Land Use; Chapter 2.6, Noise; Chapter 3.1.4, Hazards and Hazardous Materials; and Chapter 3.1.5, Hydrology and Water Quality. Potential cumulative impacts are discussed for each environmental issue area in Chapters 2.0 and 3.0 of the DPEIR. The technology for the Proposed Project is not accurately characterized as “too experimental” given its deployment in numerous other sites in the U.S. and around the world. Please refer to response to comment C2-47. The cost to build the Proposed Project is not an environmental issue for which a response is required.

The County disagrees with the commenter’s assertion that it has allowed the “fast tracking” of the Proposed Project. The application for the Proposed Project has been processed according to the County Zoning Ordinance and related regulations. Furthermore, SB 743 amended Public Resources Code Section 21185(a) to address the constitutional issue identified by the Alameda Superior Court in Planning & Conservation League v. California.
The County acknowledges the commenter’s preference for a distributed-generation energy alternative. See common response ALT2.

The decision makers have the approval authority for the Proposed Project and will consider all information in the FPEIR and related documents before making a decision on the Proposed Project. The information in this comment will be in the FPEIR for review and consideration by the decision makers.

Individual Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postcard</th>
<th>Commenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>Eliot Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>Linda Shannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19</td>
<td>Mike Kortz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P35</td>
<td>Carl Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P54</td>
<td>Ben Mendoza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62</td>
<td>Jon Isaacs and Mary Lu Brandwein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P85</td>
<td>Harry Backer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P93</td>
<td>Robert and Janice Minton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P116</td>
<td>Daniel Reinard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P121</td>
<td>Linda Shannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P129</td>
<td>Allen Sojourner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P8-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P15-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P19-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P36-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P55-1 Potential impacts related to fugitive dust and air quality impacts are considered and discussed in the DPEIR; see Chapter 2.2, Air Quality. In response to the comment regarding the deterioration of Jewel Valley Road, see common response TRAF1.
P63-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P86-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.
P94-1 Potential impacts related to water use by the Proposed Project are considered and addressed in the DPEIR; see Sections 3.1.5.3.4, Groundwater Resources, and 3.1.9.3.1, Water.
P117-1 Potential impacts related to water use by the Proposed Project are considered and addressed in the DPEIR; see Sections 3.1.5.3.4, Groundwater Resources, and 3.1.9.3.1, Water. Potential impacts related to fire hazard are considered and addressed in the DPEIR; see Section 3.1.4, Hazards and Hazardous Materials.

P122-1 The comment does not raise an environmental issue for which a response is required.

P130-1 Potential impacts related to water use by the Proposed Project are considered and addressed in the DPEIR; see Sections 3.1.5.3.4, Groundwater Resources, and 3.1.9.3.1, Water. See also common response WR1.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.
No Soitec Solar in Boulevard, CA

You do not have the right to decide what goes in my backyard.

SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects; amending Boulevard's Community Plan; removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers; I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

Linda Shannon

name
address 2387 Ribbonwood Rd
phone or e-mail 619-766-4205

Robert Hingstgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
JAN 16 2014
Planning and Development Services
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers. I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to - aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

name: Mike Kortz
address: 1691 Shasta Trail, Boulevard
phone or e-mail: Kortz.m@hotmail.com

Robert Hingtgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
JAN 16 2014
Planning and Development Services
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

name: CARL ADAMS
address: 1170 TERRA DEL SOL
phone or e-mail: 619-766-9708

Robert Hingstgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

P35
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR

I oppose Soitec’s Solar’s four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard’s Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec’s dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard’s rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec’s industrial-scale projects, under AB930, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

Ben Mendes
name
address 32124 Jewel Valley Rd, P.O. Box 73
phone or e-mail 760-443-4087

Robert Hingtgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

name: J. Isaac/Mary Ann Brandt
address: 39745 Jewel Valley Way
phone or e-mail: mmarylbrandt@aol.com

Robert Hingfgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
JAN 23 2014
Planning and Development Services
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers. I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

name: HARRY H. BACKER
address: 39328 OLD HWY 100
phone or e-mail: BACKERI@GMAIL.COM

Robert Hingtgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

WHAT ARE YOU RECEIVING DD
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

Robert Hingston, Planners
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

DECEIVE

P93

Robert + Janice Minton
37675 Tierra De Mecanica
619 766 4992

The TDS Project is in the middle of a neighborhood.

Please don't build in neighborhoods.

This uses too much water.
SOITEC SOLAR PEIR
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB90, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destrctive.

Robert Hingten, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

This area has no water so fire caused 2007 it impacted all of San Diego
You have no right to destroy my property and the community!

Robert Hingggen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123
I oppose Soitec's Solar's four Boulevard projects, amending Boulevard's Community Plan, removing the agriculture preserve, requested waivers: I support the NO PROJECT alternative. Soitec's dual-tracking CPV modules are too big, too expensive, too experimental, and too close to homes and sensitive resources. They represent significant environmental impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological and cultural resources, land use and noise, and increased risk of wildfire and well interference. Boulevard is threatened with disproportionate and cumulative impacts from too many energy and infrastructure projects that do not comply with our community plan designed to maintain Boulevard's rural character and quality of life. Judicial fast-tracking of Soitec's industrial-scale projects, under AB900, has been ruled unconstitutional. Point-of-use alternatives are less expensive and destructive.

name: Allens Sjogren
phone or e-mail: 91925

Robert Hingtgen, Planner
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

FEB 18 2014
Planning and Development Services

water table drop 5' to 10' NO!