

From: Hingtgen, Robert J
To: ["David Revelt"](#)
Cc: [Beddow, Donna](#)
Subject: RE: Soitec inquiry
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:05:00 PM
Attachments: [1464_001.pdf](#)

David,

Attached is a boilerplate schedule for processing a Major Use Permit application to a decision with the Planning Commission with an EIR as the environmental document. As you can see it allows for scoping the EIR, three iterations of review of the EIR, developing responses to public comments, review by County Counsel, and preparation for hearing. It does not include additional processing time that would be required if a Planning Commission decision is appealed to the Board of Supervisors (an additional 2-3 months). This schedule is only for the Major Use Permit, and does not include post approval processing for condition satisfaction or grading or improvement plan approvals.

A more specific schedule would be developed for processing the Major Use Permit during a Major Pre-Application review and meeting that is required prior to submittal of Major Use Permit applications. Please see the application forms at the following link:

http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds/zoning/formfields/ESUB_Major_Project_Pre_App.pdf

The costs for the Major Pre-Application review would be included in the overall cost estimate that would be developed for processing the Major Use Permit. Based on our conversation yesterday, I would recommend you submit the Major Pre-Application forms in the very near future.

Thanks,

Robert Hingtgen, Planner III
Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Ave., Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
M.S. O-650
Tel - (858) 694-3712
email - robert.hingtgen@sdcounty.ca.gov

From: David Revelt [mailto:drevelt@solarelectricsolutions.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 3:53 PM
To: Hingtgen, Robert J
Cc: Freeman Hall; Mike Stern
Subject: RE: Soitec inquiry

Robert,

Thanks for your phone call this afternoon. My contact information is below.

Regarding the 18 month estimate for permitting, is it possible to sketch out the time for the major

elements in the process – e.g. X months for EIR preparation, X months for comments, X months to review/resolve comments, X months for final approvals, etc.?

We're mapping out the time schedule for the project, and trying to determine if a shorter timeframe is feasible given the work we've already completed for the project.

Thanks. -David

David Revelt
Solar Electric Solutions, LLC
11726 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 414
Los Angeles, CA 90049
drevelt@solarelectricsolutions.com
Phone: 310-826-8559

This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission and are not the addressee, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent communication disclosure shall not compromise or waive any privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender at the email address or phone number indicated above.

From: Hingtgen, Robert J [<mailto:Robert.Hingtgen@sdcounty.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 2:33 PM
To: David Revelt
Subject: Soitec inquiry

Mr. Revelt,

It was good to speak to you and Mr. Hall concerning the Soitec project. Please send me an email with your contact information and I will place you on our list for notification of upcoming public meetings and hearings for the project.

Thank you,

Robert Hingtgen, Planner III
Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Ave., Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
M.S. O-650
Tel - (858) 694-3712
email - robert.hingtgen@sdcounty.ca.gov

ESTIMATE OF DISCRETIONARY PROCESSING TIME AND COSTS

Project Name: 0
 Project Number: 0
 Staff Completing Schedule: 0
 Decision-Making Body: Planning Commission
 Date Schedule Produced/Revised: 9/26/2013

TASK/ACTIVITY	Estimated Duration (Days)	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date
APPLICATION SUBMITTAL			
DETERMINATION THAT AN EIR IS REQUIRED			
PDS reviews project application "completeness", completes planning and environmental scoping	30	12/2/2013	11/1/2013
PDS completes initial scope of EIR	14	12/16/2013	11/1/2013
Applicant submits documents for Public Review of Notice of Preparation (NOP)	7	12/23/2013	
PDS completes advertisements and distributes NOP	10	1/2/2014	
Public review of NOP	30	2/3/2014	
PDS receives and distributes public comments on NOP to Applicant	3	2/6/2014	
PDS meets with applicant to discuss EIR scope, cost estimate and schedule	10	2/17/2014	
Applicant submits 1st Draft EIR and Planning Documentation	120	6/6/2014	
PDS reviews 1st Draft EIR	45	7/21/2014	
Meeting with applicant	7	7/28/2014	
Applicant submits 2nd Draft EIR and Planning Documentation*	35	9/1/2014	
PDS reviews 2nd Draft EIR*	30	10/1/2014	
Meeting with applicant	7	10/8/2014	
Applicant submits 3rd Draft EIR and Planning Documentation*	30	11/7/2014	
PDS reviews 3rd Draft EIR*	30	12/8/2014	
PDS meets with applicant to resolve final project issues*	7	12/15/2014	
Applicant produces copies of documents, submits DEIR and copies of documents	10	12/25/2014	
PDS completes distribution paperwork, advertises and distributes Draft EIR	14	1/8/2015	
Public Review of Draft EIR	45	2/23/2015	
PDS transmits Public Comments to Applicant	3	2/26/2015	
PDS holds meeting with applicant to discuss approach to address public comments, discuss project schedule	10	3/9/2015	
Applicant submits 1st Draft Responses to Public Comment (RTC) and EIR Errata	30	4/8/2015	
PDS reviews 1st Draft Responses to Public Comments and EIR Errata	25	5/4/2015	
Applicant submits 2nd Draft RTC and EIR Errata*	21	5/25/2015	
PDS reviews 2nd draft RTC & EIR Errata, meets with applicant / consultant to finalize responses for I-119 review	20	6/15/2015	
Applicant submits Draft RTC & EIR Errata for I-119 review & 1st draft EIR Findings for staff review	5	6/22/2015	
Board Policy I-119 Review of Responses to Comments and DEIR	40	8/3/2015	
Holds meeting with applicant/consultant*	7	8/10/2015	
Applicant submits revised RTC, EIR Errata, and EIR Findings, meets with PDS to review changes	14	8/24/2015	
PDS reviews RTC, EIR Errata & Findings	45	10/8/2015	
Finalize RTC, EIR Errata, and Findings. Holds meeting with applicant/consultant*	10	10/19/2015	
Applicant makes final revisions, produces copies of FEIR, CEQA Findings and RTCs and pays Fish and Wildlife Fees	7	10/26/2015	
PDS makes staff recommendation on the project & finalizes project documentation	30	11/18/2015	
Planning Commission Hearing	24	12/14/2015	

PROJECT SCHEDULE ASSUMPTIONS	COST ESTIMATE ASSUMPTIONS	COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY
Project description remains consistent throughout process	Estimate is based on relative cost of projects of similar complexity	Total Discretionary Cost Estimate \$0
Applicant will submit information in accordance with schedule	Estimate does not include applicant's consultant/engineering costs	Deposits Paid to Date \$0
All issues will be resolved concurrently.	Does not include County costs for post discretionary review (e.g. final map)	Account Balance \$0
Bolded tasks are under the control of applicant/consultant.	Costs assume project schedule assumptions are maintained	Estimated County Costs Remaining \$0
* Task can be eliminated if earlier draft documents are adequate.	Costs will be paid at installments throughout the process	Fish & Wildlife Fees/ County Clerk Fee \$50
Hearing date is subject to decision making body availability and schedule	If project is over budget, cost estimate will be revised	% Expended of Total Cost Estimate #DIV/0!
The project will not be continued by decision maker or appealed	The State of CA adjusts Fish and Wildlife Fees annually for inflation	
Assumes public review comments are not exceptionally numerous or complex	Project will be processed with an Environmental Impact Report	
Assumes deposit account balance remains positive. County work may not proceed without adequate funds.	Cost estimate does not include additional deposits for Trails Review or DEH that may be required	