

# **NOISE ASSESSMENT**

**Escondido Estates  
MPA-19-004, PDS2020-TM-5639  
Residential Development  
County of San Diego CA**

***Lead Agency:***

**County of San Diego  
Planning and Development Services  
5510 Overland Avenue #110  
San Diego, CA 92123**

***Prepared by:***

**Jeremy Loudon  
*Ich Consulting, Inc.*  
42428 Chisolm Trail  
Murrieta CA 92562  
760-473-1253**

***Prepared For:***

**Escondido Estates, LLC  
19782 Macarthur Blvd, Suite 300  
Irvine, CA 92612**

**June 4, 2021**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>ATTACHMENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF TERMS .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	1
1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS & EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	1
1.3 METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT .....	4
<b>2.0 NOISE SENSITIVE LAND USES (NSLU).....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 GUIDELINES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE .....	8
2.2 POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS .....	10
2.3 OFF-SITE NOISE IMPACTS.....	18
2.4 CONCLUSIONS .....	18
<b>3.0 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.....</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1 GUIDELINES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE .....	20
3.2 POTENTIAL PROPERTY LINE NOISE IMPACTS.....	21
3.3 CONCLUSIONS .....	23
<b>4.0 SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS, MITIGATION &amp; CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>5.0 CERTIFICATIONS.....</b>	<b>26</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 1-A: PROJECT VICINITY MAP .....	2
FIGURE 1-B: PROPOSED PROJECT SITE LAYOUT .....	3
FIGURE 1-C: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATION .....	5
FIGURE 2-A: FUTURE NOISE CONTOUR LOCATIONS.....	13
FIGURE 2-B: MODELED NSLU RECEPTOR LOCATIONS.....	14
FIGURE 2-C: EXTERIOR NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES (OPTION 1) .....	16
FIGURE 2-D: EXTERIOR NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES (OPTION 2).....	17

## **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 1-1: EXISTING NOISE LEVELS.....	4
TABLE 2-1: EXISTING TRAFFIC PARAMETERS .....	10
TABLE 2-2: NOISE MODEL CALIBRATION .....	11
TABLE 2-3: BUILDOUT 2030 TRAFFIC PARAMETERS .....	11
TABLE 2-4: FUTURE EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS.....	15
TABLE 3-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION.....	22
TABLE 3-2: GRADING OPERATION NOISE LEVELS .....	23

## **ATTACHMENTS**

MODEL CALIBRATION INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES.....	27
FUTURE NOISE CONTOUR MODEL INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES.....	29
DETAILED FUTURE NOISE MODEL INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES .....	34

## **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

**Sound Pressure Level (SPL):** a ratio of one sound pressure to a reference pressure ( $L_{ref}$ ) of 20  $\mu$ Pa. Because of the dynamic range of the human ear, the ratio is calculated logarithmically by  $20 \log (L/L_{ref})$ .

**A-weighted Sound Pressure Level (dBA):** Some frequencies of noise are more noticeable than others. To compensate for this fact, different sound frequencies are weighted more.

**Minimum Sound Level ( $L_{min}$ ):** Minimum SPL or the lowest SPL measured over the time interval using the A-weighted network and slow time weighting.

**Maximum Sound Level ( $L_{max}$ ):** Maximum SPL or the highest SPL measured over the time interval the A-weighted network and slow time weighting.

**Equivalent sound level ( $L_{eq}$ ):** the true equivalent sound level measured over the run time.  $L_{eq}$  is the A-weighted steady sound level that contains the same total acoustical energy as the actual fluctuating sound level.

**Day Night Sound Level (LDN):** Representing the Day/Night sound level, this measurement is a 24 –hour average sound level where 10 dB is added to all the readings that occur between 10 pm and 7 am. This is primarily used in community noise regulations where there is a 10 dB “Penalty” for nighttime noise. Typically, LDN’s are measured using A weighting.

**Community Noise Exposure Level (CNEL):** The accumulated exposure to sound measured in a 24-hour sampling interval and artificially boosted during certain hours. For CNEL, samples taken between 7 pm and 10 pm are boosted by 5 dB; samples taken between 10 pm and 7 am are boosted by 10 dB.

**Octave Band:** An octave band is defined as a frequency band whose upper band-edge frequency is twice the lower band frequency.

**Third-Octave Band:** A third-octave band is defined as a frequency band whose upper band-edge frequency is 1.26 times the lower band frequency.

**Response Time (F,S,I):** The response time is a standardized exponential time weighting of the input signal according to fast (F), slow (S) or impulse (I) time response relationships. Time response can be described with a time constant. The time constants for fast, slow and impulse responses are 1.0 seconds, 0.125 seconds and 0.35 milliseconds, respectively.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This noise study has been completed to determine the noise impacts associated with the development of the proposed residential project. The project known as "Escondido Estates" proposes a subdivision that will create 20 single family lots on approximately 10.3 acres (APN 234-231-01-00). Access would be provided by Idaho Avenue via San Pasqual Valley Road. The project is located east of San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78) and south of Idaho Avenue in the unincorporated area of the North County Metro Community Planning Area in San Diego County.

- On-Site Noise Analysis

It was determined from the detailed analysis that the single family NSLU's adjacent to the roadways will not comply with the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard without mitigation measures. In order to reduce the future exterior noise levels to below the County threshold noise barriers are required in the western portion of the site.

The noise affected outdoor areas of proposed lots located closest to San Pasqual Valley Road or having direct line of sight to the roadways will require noise barriers ranging in height from five (5) to six (6) feet. Lot 13 requires a 5-foot barrier and Lots 14 through 16 require a 6-foot barrier. Alternately, it was determined that a 10-foot barrier located along the right-of-way of San Pasqual Valley Road could be installed in lieu of individual noise walls at the pads.

The barriers must be constructed of a non-gapping material consisting of masonry, wood, plastic, fiberglass, vinyl, steel, or a combination of those materials, with no cracks or gaps through or below the enclosure walls. Any exterior seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked. If wood is used, it can be tongue and groove and will be at least one-inch thick or have a surface density of at least 3.5 pounds per square foot. Any gate(s) will be of ¾-inch or thicker wood (same height), solid-sheet metal of at least 18-gauge metal, or an exterior-grade solid-core steel door with prefabricated door jambs. If vinyl fencing is used, ¾-inch or thicker solid panels on minimum 4x4-inch posts with no cracks or gaps through or below and all seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked.

With the incorporation of the mitigation measures, the first floor building facades of the single family dwellings will comply with the General Plan Noise Element Standard, of 60 dBA CNEL. Exterior noise levels at the second floor building facades of all lots were found to be above the General Plan Noise Element Standard. Therefore, per the General Plan Noise Element a noise easement is required for the entire site and an interior noise study is required, to determine the mitigation required to achieve an interior noise level of 45 dBA CNEL prior to approval of a building permit. This report would finalize the noise requirements based upon precise grading plans and actual building design specifications. This is to ensure that interior noise levels for the proposed residential structures comply with the interior noise level requirement of 45 dBA pursuant to the County Noise Element. It should be noted; interior noise levels of 45 dBA CNEL can be obtained with conventional building construction methods

by providing a window condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g., air conditioning) and providing upgraded windows at all affected lots.

- Off-Site Noise Analysis

The Project does not create a direct impact of more than 3 dBA CNEL on any roadway segment and no cumulative noise increase of 3 dBA CNEL or more was found. Therefore, the proposed Project's direct and cumulative contributions to off-site roadway noise increases will not cause any significant impacts to any existing or future noise sensitive land uses.

- Construction Noise Analysis

The grading equipment will be spread out over the project site from distances near the occupied property to distances of 300-feet or more away. Based upon the proposed site plan the majority of the grading operations will occur more than 100-feet from the northern and western property lines. At distances of more than 90-feet the grading activities are anticipated not to exceed the County's 75-dBA standard and no mitigation measures are required.

No blasting or rock crushing is anticipated during the grading operations. Therefore, no impulsive noise sources are expected and the Project will comply with Section 36.410 of the County Noise Ordinance.

If clearing, grubbing, and grading activities are proposed during the nesting/breeding season of any year, and the biological monitor has determined that there are sensitive bird nests within the projected 60 dBA Leq construction noise contour, the following recommendations would apply: a County approved acoustical consultant shall perform noise measurements within the projected contour to assess the ambient noise levels in the absence of construction activities. The intent of these measurements is to establish baseline noise levels in the occupied habitat without construction. If the construction noise levels at nest sites during the breeding season are anticipated to exceed 60 dBA Leq or the ambient condition, whichever is higher, noise attenuation measures including, but not limited to, noise barriers and noise reducing features on construction equipment shall be implemented as necessary to maintain construction noise at acceptable levels at nest sites.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Project Description**

This noise study was completed to determine the noise impacts associated with the development of the proposed Escondido Estates Residential Project. The project is located at 33° 06' 55" N and 117° 03' 24" W, east of San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78) and south of Idaho Avenue in the unincorporated area of the North County Metro Community Planning Area in San Diego County. The general location of the project is shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1-A.

The proposed project is approximately 10.3 acres. The recently adopted County of San Diego General Plan Update Land Use designation for this site is VR-2 (Village Residential: 2 DU/acre) or 20 single family DU (10.3 acres x 2 DU/acre). The project proposes to build 20 single-family residential DU with one additional lot will be non-buildable and non-residential, and would include area for water quality. The site plan for the proposed project used for this analysis is shown on Figure 1-B.

### **1.2 Environmental Settings & Existing Conditions**


#### **a) Settings & Locations**

Access to the site would be taken from Idaho Avenue via San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78). The community is served by two major roadways connecting the area to the City of Escondido to the northwest and the Pauma Valley area to the north. Existing land uses surrounding the site are primarily residential, a church and vacant land.

#### **b) Existing Noise Conditions**

The project is located adjacent to San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78) described as a Major Road (4.1B) and Idaho Avenue described as a Light Collector (2.2D) in the vicinity of the site in the County of San Diego's Circulation Element. Existing noise occurs mainly from traffic traveling along the aforementioned roadways.


**Figure 1-A: Project Vicinity Map**



Source: Google Maps, 2019



**Figure 1-B: Proposed Project Site Layout**



Source: X Engineering & Consulting, Inc., 2021

## 1.3 Methodology and Equipment

### a) Noise Measuring Methodology and Procedures

To determine the existing noise environment and to assess potential noise impacts, a measurement was taken on the project having a view of San Pasqual Valley Road. The noise measurement was recorded on June 18, 2019 by Ldn Consulting between approximately 1:00 p.m. and 1:15 p.m.

Noise measurements were taken using a Larson-Davis Model LxT Type 1 meter. The meter was programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were mounted on a tripod, five feet above the ground and equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. The meters were calibrated with a Larson-Davis Model CAL 200.

The noise measurement location was determined based on site access and noise impact potential to the proposed residences. Monitoring location 1 (M1) was located along San Pasqual Valley Road. The noise monitoring location is provided graphically in Figure 1-C on the following page.


The results of the noise level measurements are presented in Table 1-1. The noise measurement was monitored for a time period of roughly 15 minutes. The ambient Leq noise levels measured on the project site during the morning were found to be 60 dBA Leq. The existing noise levels in the project area consisted primarily of traffic along San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78) and Idaho Avenue.

**Table 1-1: Existing Noise Levels**

Location	Time	One Hour Noise Levels (dBA)					
		Leq	Lmin	Lmax	L10	L50	L90
M1	1:00–1:15 p.m.	59.6	46.0	70.8	63.3	56.6	51.1
Source: Ldn Consulting, Inc. June 18, 2019							



Figure 1-C: Noise Measurement Location



## b) Noise Modeling Software

The expected roadway noise levels from San Pasqual Valley Road and Idaho Avenue were projected using Caltrans Sound32 Traffic Noise Prediction Model. Sound32 is a peak hour based traffic noise prediction model. The results of this analysis are based on the California Vehicle Noise Emission Levels (CALVENO). The Sound 32 model was calibrated in accordance with the FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Manual (Report RD-77-108) and in accordance with Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement (TeNS) section N-5400. The critical model input parameters, which determine the projected vehicular traffic noise levels, include vehicle travel speeds, the percentages of automobiles, medium trucks and heavy trucks in the roadway volume, the site conditions ("hard" or "soft") and the peak hour traffic volume.

The peak hour traffic volumes range between 6-12% of the average daily traffic (ADT) and 10% is generally acceptable for noise modeling purposes. The required coordinate information necessary for the Sound32 traffic noise prediction model input was taken from the preliminary site plans provided by X Engineering & Consulting dated April, 2020. To predict the future noise levels the preliminary site plans were used to identify the pad elevations, the roadway elevations, and the relationship between the noise source(s) and the NSLU areas. Traffic was consolidated into a single lane located along the centerline of each roadway. Longer roadway segments were subdivided into a series of adjoining segments for analysis. For this analysis, the roadway segments were extended a minimum of 300 feet beyond the observer locations. No grade correction or calibration factor (according to Caltrans Policy TAN-02-01 dated January 17, 2002) was included as part of the Sound32 traffic noise prediction model analysis.

To evaluate the potential noise impacts on the proposed development, outdoor observers were located in NSLU areas and placed five feet above the pad elevation and near the center of the rear yard a minimum of ten feet from the top/bottom of slope. All second floor observers were located fifteen feet above the proposed pad elevation at the anticipated building facades.

## c) Noise Calculations and Factors

Noise is defined as unwanted or annoying sound which interferes with or disrupts normal activities. Exposure to high noise levels has been demonstrated to cause hearing loss. The individual human response to environmental noise is based on the sensitivity of that individual, the type of noise that occurs and when the noise occurs.

Sound is measured on a logarithmic scale consisting of sound pressure levels known as a decibel (dB). The sounds heard by humans typically do not consist of a single frequency but of a broadband of frequencies having different sound pressure levels. The method for evaluating all the frequencies of the sound is to apply an A-weighting to reflect how the

human ear responds to the different sound levels at different frequencies. The A-weighted sound level adequately describes the instantaneous noise whereas the equivalent sound level depicted as Leq represents a steady sound level containing the same total acoustical energy as the actual fluctuating sound level over a given time interval.

The Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) is the 24 hour A-weighted average for sound, with corrections for evening and nighttime hours. The corrections require an addition of 5 decibels to sound levels in the evening hours between 7 p.m. and 10 p.m. and an addition of 10 decibels to sound levels at nighttime hours between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. These additions are made to account for the increased sensitivity during the evening and nighttime hours when sound appears louder.

A vehicle's noise level is from a combination of the noise produced by the engine, exhaust and tires. The cumulative traffic noise levels along a roadway segment are based on three primary factors: the amount of traffic, the travel speed of the traffic, and the vehicle mix ratio or number of medium and heavy trucks. The intensity of traffic noise is increased by higher traffic volumes, greater speeds and increased number of trucks.

Because mobile/traffic noise levels are calculated on a logarithmic scale, a doubling of the traffic noise or acoustical energy results in a noise level increase of 3 dBA. Therefore, the doubling of the traffic volume, without changing the vehicle speeds or mix ratio, results in a noise increase of 3 dBA. Mobile noise levels radiate in an almost oblique fashion from the source and drop off at a rate of 3 dBA for each doubling of distance under hard site conditions and at a rate of 4.5 dBA for soft site conditions. Hard site conditions consist of concrete, asphalt and hard pack dirt while soft site conditions exist in areas having slight grade changes, landscaped areas and vegetation. On the other hand, fixed/point sources radiate outward uniformly as sound travels away from the source. Their sound levels attenuate or drop off at a rate of 6 dBA for each doubling of distance.




The most effective noise reduction methods consist of controlling the noise at the source, blocking the noise transmission with barriers or relocating the receiver. Any or all of these methods may be required to reduce noise levels to an acceptable level.

## 2.0 NOISE SENSITIVE LAND USES (NSLU)

### 2.1 Guidelines for the Determination of Significance

The County's General Plan Chapter 8 Noise Element uses the Noise Compatibility Guidelines listed in Table N-1 of the General Plan Noise Element (provided below) to determine the compatibility of land use when evaluating proposed development projects. The Noise Compatibility Guidelines indicate ranges of compatibility and are intended to be flexible enough to apply to a range of projects and environments. For example, a commercial project would be evaluated differently than a residential project in a rural area or a mixed-use project in a more densely developed area of the County.

**TABLE N-1: NOISE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES (CNEL)**

Table N-1 Noise Compatibility Guidelines								
Land Use Category		Exterior Noise Level (CNEL)						
			55	60	65	70	75	80
A	Residential—single family residences, mobile homes, senior housing, convalescent homes							
B	Residential—multi-family residences, mixed-use (commercial/residential)							
C	Transient lodging—motels, hotels, resorts							
D*	Schools, churches, hospitals, nursing homes, child care facilities							
E*	Passive recreational parks, nature preserves, contemplative spaces, cemeteries							
F*	Active parks, golf courses, athletic fields, outdoor spectator sports, water recreation							
G*	Office/professional, government, medical/dental, commercial, retail, laboratories							
H*	Industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, mining, stables, ranching, warehouse, maintenance/repair							
	ACCEPTABLE—Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon the assumption that any buildings involved are of normal construction, without any special noise insulation requirements.							
	CONDITIONALLY ACCEPTABLE—New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed noise analysis is conducted to determine if noise reduction measures are necessary to achieve acceptable levels for land use. Criteria for determining exterior and interior noise levels are listed in Table N-2, Noise Standards. If a project cannot mitigate noise to a level deemed Acceptable, the appropriate county decision-maker must determine that mitigation has been provided to the greatest extent practicable or that extraordinary circumstances exist.							
	UNACCEPTABLE—New construction or development shall not be undertaken.							

\* Denotes facilities used for part of the day; therefore, an hourly standard would be used rather than CNEL (refer to Table N-2).

*Note: For projects located within an Airport Influence Area of an adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), additional Noise Compatibility Criteria restrictions may apply as specified in the ALUCP.*



A land use located in an area identified as “acceptable” indicates that standard construction methods would attenuate exterior noise to an acceptable indoor noise level and that people can carry out outdoor activities with minimal noise interference. Land uses that fall into the “conditionally acceptable” noise environment should have an acoustical study that considers the type of noise source, the sensitivity of the noise receptor, and the degree to which the noise source may interfere with sleep, speech, or other activities characteristic of the land use. For land uses indicated as “conditionally acceptable,” structures must be able to attenuate the exterior noise to the indoor noise level as indicated in the Noise Standards listed in Table N-2 of the General Plan Noise Element (provided below). For land uses where the exterior noise levels fall within the “unacceptable” range, new construction generally should not be undertaken.

**TABLE N-2: NOISE STANDARDS**

Table N-2 Noise Standards <sup>Note</sup>
1. The exterior noise level (as defined in Item 3) standard for Category A shall be 60 CNEL, and the interior noise level standard for indoor habitable rooms shall be 45 CNEL.
2. The exterior noise level standard for Categories B and C shall be 65 CNEL, and the interior noise level standard for indoor habitable rooms shall be 45 CNEL.
3. The exterior noise level standard for Categories D and G shall be 65 CNEL and the interior noise level standard shall be 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> (one hour average).
4. For single-family detached dwelling units, “exterior noise level” is defined as the noise level measured at an outdoor living area which adjoins and is on the same lot as the dwelling, and which contains at least the following minimum net lot area: (i) for lots less than 4,000 square feet in area, the exterior area shall include 400 square feet, (ii) for lots between 4,000 square feet to 10 acres in area, the exterior area shall include 10 percent of the lot area; (iii) for lots over 10 acres in area, the exterior area shall include 1 acre.
5. For all other residential land uses, “exterior noise level” is defined as noise measured at exterior areas which are provided for private or group usable open space purposes. “Private Usable Open Space” is defined as usable open space intended for use of occupants of one dwelling unit, normally including yards, decks, and balconies. When the noise limit for Private Usable Open Space cannot be met, then a Group Usable Open Space that meets the exterior noise level standard shall be provided. “Group Usable Open Space” is defined as usable open space intended for common use by occupants of a development, either privately owned and maintained or dedicated to a public agency, normally including swimming pools, recreation courts, patios, open landscaped areas, and greenbelts with pedestrian walkways and equestrian and bicycle trails, but not including off-street parking and loading areas or driveways.
6. For non-residential noise sensitive land uses, exterior noise level is defined as noise measured at the exterior area provided for public use.
7. For noise sensitive land uses where people normally do not sleep at night, the exterior and interior noise standard may be measured using either CNEL or the one-hour average noise level determined at the loudest hour during the period when the facility is normally occupied.
8. The exterior noise standard does not apply for land uses where no exterior use area is proposed or necessary, such as a library.
9. For Categories E and F the exterior noise level standard shall not exceed the limit defined as “Acceptable” in Table N-1 or an equivalent one-hour noise standard.

Note: Exterior Noise Level compatibility guidelines for Land Use Categories A-H are identified in Table N-1, Noise Compatibility Guidelines.

## 2.2 Potential Noise Impacts

It is expected that the primary source of potential noise impacts to the project site will occur from a combination of traffic noise along San Pasqual Valley Road (SR-78) and Idaho Avenue. To determine the future noise environment and impact potentials the Sound32 model first needs to be calibrated using the ambient noise measurements results. The existing conditions were modeled to compare against the noise measurements described in Section 1.3.a of this report. Section N-5440 of the Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement provides detailed procedures for calibrating the Sound32 traffic noise prediction model. The comparison is made to ensure that predicted traffic noise levels accurately reflect the actual measured noise levels. Section N-5460 suggests that model calibration should not be performed when calculated and measured noise levels are within 1 dBA. Differences of 3 to 4 dBA are routinely calibrated to adjust for site conditions the Sound32 model did not account for including topographic features, soft site conditions and existing structures or barriers.

During the ambient noise measurements, traffic counts were taken to determine the existing vehicle mix for the model calibration. Based on the results, the existing traffic noise model utilizes a vehicle mix of 95.5% Autos, 3.0% Medium Trucks and 1.5% Heavy Trucks along San Pasqual Valley Road. Idaho Avenue had a vehicle mix of 97.8% Autos, 2.2% Medium Trucks and 0% Heavy Trucks. Table 2-1 presents the roadway parameters used in the analysis including the calculated hourly traffic volumes, vehicle speeds and the traffic flow distribution (vehicle mix) for the existing conditions. The vehicle mix provides the hourly distribution percentages of automobile, medium trucks and heavy trucks for input into the Sound32 Model.

**Table 2-1: Existing Traffic Parameters**

Roadway <sup>1</sup>	Observed Traffic Volume	Observed Speeds (MPH)	Vehicle Mix %		
			Auto	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks
San Pasqual Valley Road	138	40-45	95.5	3.0	1.5
Idaho Avenue	45	35-40	97.8	2.2	0

<sup>1</sup> All roadway parameters were observed during the ambient noise measurement period.

The ambient measurement location was modeled in Sound32 to compare with the noise monitoring locations presented previously in Table 1-1. The modeled existing noise level comparison is provided in Table 2-2 below. The model is over predicting the noise levels by up to 3.7 dBA using hard-site conditions over predicting the noise levels by up to 0.6 dBA using soft-site conditions. This slight over prediction near the center of the site is due to variations in the vehicular speeds and topographic changes.



**Table 2-2: Noise Model Calibration**

Receptor	Location	Site Conditions	Calibration Results (dBA)		
			Measured Noise Levels	Modeled Noise Levels	Difference
M1	Along San Pasqual Valley Road	Hard	59.6	63.3	+3.7
		Soft		60.2	+0.6
Model is within 1 dBA and no calibration is needed.					

Therefore, the roadways were modeled using soft site conditions for the future noise environment and no calibration factor was applied to predict the first/ground floor areas. Second floor areas were modeled using hard site conditions based upon Caltrans Protocol. The existing model input parameters for calibration and output file are provided as **Attachment A** to this report.

a) Potential Build Out Noise Conditions

The Buildout scenario includes the future year 2030 traffic volume forecasts provided by the County's General Plan Update. The future traffic along San Pasqual Valley Road and Idaho Avenue near the project site are estimated to be 18,600 ADT and 3,000 ADT, respectively. The future roadway parameters and inputs utilized in this analysis are provided in Table 2-3. To assess the peak hour traffic noise conditions for both roadways, 10% of the ADT was utilized and the observed vehicle mix was also utilized. San Pasqual Valley Road is considered a Major Road based on the County of San Diego Department of Public Works Public Road Standards with a designed traffic speed of 55 MPH.

**Table 2-3: Buildout 2030 Traffic Parameters**

Roadway	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	Peak Hour Volume <sup>1</sup>	Modeled Speeds (MPH)	Vehicle Mix % <sup>2</sup>		
				Auto	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks
San Pasqual Valley Road	18,600	1,860	55	95	3	2
Idaho Avenue	3,000	300	40	95	3	2
<sup>1</sup> 10% of the ADT.						
<sup>2</sup> Conservative vehicle mix.						

Idaho Avenue is considered a Light Collector with a designed traffic speed of 40 MPH. To determine the worst case future noise levels a speed limit of 55 MPH along San Pasqual Valley Road and a speed limit of 40 MPH along Idaho Avenue was used. The future traffic noise model also utilizes a conservative vehicle mix of 95% Autos, 3% Medium Trucks and 2% Heavy Trucks along both roadways.

b) Potential Noise Impact Identification


Noise contours are lines that when drawn from a noise source indicate a continuous or equivalent level of noise exposure. Noise contour lines are generally used as a planning tool to assess potential impacts and the need for additional analysis. The noise contour lines that may affect the project site were developed for the unshielded future Buildout conditions. No barriers or structures were included as part of the noise contour analysis. The Sound32 traffic noise prediction model was used to calculate the noise contours perpendicular to the roadways. No natural topography or road edges were incorporated in the contour model to determine the worst-case future noise levels. The model input parameters and results for the first and second noise contours are provided in **Attachment B**.

The 75 dBA CNEL contours are all located within the right-of-way (ROW) of San Pasqual Valley Road and Idaho Avenue. The worst-case first floor 60 dBA CNEL contour exists within the project boundary. Therefore, the project will require mitigation measures to comply with the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard. Figure 2-A provides the location of the future first floor 60 dBA CNEL noise contours for the proposed project layout. The noise contours provided in Figure 2-A show that noise sensitive land use (NSLU) areas will exceed the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard. Based on the findings, a more detailed exterior noise analysis was performed for the proposed project to determine the required mitigation measures. Additionally, second floor areas were found to be above the 60 dBA CNEL at all lots. Therefore, an interior noise assessment will be required for all Lots prior to the approval of building plan permits.

c) Detailed Analysis and Mitigation Measures


The Buildout analysis was modeled assuming future year traffic parameters as shown previously in Table 2-3. It was determined from the detailed analysis that the single family NSLU located along San Pasqual Valley Road will not comply with the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard without mitigation measures. This is due to a combination of the close proximity of proposed lots to the roadways and the traffic volumes and speeds. Modeled observer locations for a sample of the potentially affected NSLU's are presented in Figure 2-B.

**Figure 2-A: Future Noise Contour Locations**





**Figure 2-B: Modeled NSLU Receptor Locations**



The results of the specific noise modeling for the site are provided in Table 2-4 below for both the unmitigated and mitigated scenarios. Noise affected outdoor areas of Lots 14 through 16 located closest to San Pasqual Valley Road or having direct line of sight to the roadways require a 6-foot barrier. Lot 13 requires a 5-foot barrier. Exhibit 2-C below shows the mitigation locations and barrier heights required to bring noise levels at the units on the western portion of the site adjacent or near San Pasqual Valley Road to the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise level standards for the proposed outdoor areas.


Alternately, it was determined that a 10-foot barrier located along the right-of-way of San Pasqual Valley Road could be installed in lieu of individual noise walls at the pads, as seen in Exhibit 2-D.

**Table 2-4: Future Exterior Noise Levels**

Modeled Receptor Number	Receptor Location (Lot #)	Receptor Elevation (Feet) <sup>1</sup>	Unmitigated Outdoor Noise Level (dBA CNEL) <sup>2</sup>	Barrier Height (Feet)	Mitigated Outdoor Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) <sup>3</sup>	Second Floor Façade Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) <sup>3</sup>
1	7		60	-	60	<b>64</b>
2	8		60	-	60	<b>64</b>
3	9		59	-	59	<b>64</b>
4	10		56	-	56	<b>61</b>
5	11		58	-	58	<b>62</b>
6	12		59	-	59	<b>63</b>
7	13		<b>62</b>	5	60	<b>66</b>
8	14		<b>63</b>	6	60	<b>67</b>
9	15		<b>63</b>	6	60	<b>67</b>
10	16		<b>63</b>	6	60	<b>65</b>
11	17		<b>61</b>	0 <sup>4</sup>	60	<b>64</b>
12	18		<b>61</b>	0 <sup>4</sup>	60	<b>63</b>
13	19		60	-	60	<b>63</b>
14	20		60	-	60	<b>62</b>
<sup>1</sup> Receptor Elevation is 5-feet above the Pad Elevation for ground level and 15-feet above pad for second floor. <sup>2</sup> Exterior Mitigation required per County Guidelines if <b>BOLD</b> <sup>3</sup> Interior Noise Study required per County Guidelines if <b>BOLD</b> <sup>4</sup> The barriers on Lots 14, 15, and 16 also reduce the noise at Lots 17 and 18						




**Figure 2-C: Exterior Noise Mitigation Measures (Option 1)**





**Figure 2-D: Exterior Noise Mitigation Measures (Option 2)**



The barriers must be constructed of a non-gapping material consisting of masonry, wood, plastic, fiberglass, vinyl, steel, or a combination of those materials, with no cracks or gaps through or below the enclosure walls. Any exterior seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked. If wood is used, it can be tongue and groove and will be at least one-inch thick or have a surface density of at least 3.5 pounds per square foot. Any gate(s) will be of ¾-inch or thicker wood (same height), solid-sheet metal of at least 18-gauge metal, or an exterior-grade solid-core steel door with prefabricated door jambs. If vinyl fencing is used, ¾-inch or thicker solid panels on minimum 4x4-inch posts with no cracks or gaps through or below and all seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked.

With the incorporation of the mitigation measures, the first floor building facades of the single family dwellings will comply with the General Plan Noise Element Standard, of 60 dBA CNEL. Exterior noise levels at the second floor building facades of all lots were found to be above the General Plan Noise Element Standard. Therefore, per the General Plan Noise Element a noise easement is required for the entire site and an interior noise study is required for all lots, to determine the mitigation required to achieve an interior noise level of 45 dBA CNEL. This report would finalize the noise requirements based upon precise grading plans and actual building design specifications. This is to ensure that interior noise levels for the proposed residential structures comply with the interior noise level requirement of 45 dBA pursuant to the County Noise Element. It should be noted; interior noise levels of 45 dBA CNEL can be obtained with conventional building construction methods by providing a window condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g., air conditioning) and providing upgraded windows at all affected lots.

### 2.3 Off-site Noise Impacts

To determine if direct or cumulative off-site noise level increases associated with the development of the proposed project would create noise impacts. The traffic volumes for the existing conditions were compared with the traffic volume increase of existing plus the proposed project. The project is estimated to only generate 228 daily trips (12 per lot) with a peak hour volume of 23 trips. The existing average daily traffic (ADT) volumes on the area roadways are more than several thousand ADT. Typically, it requires a project to double (or add 100%) the traffic volumes to have a direct impact of 3 dBA CNEL or be a major contributor to the cumulative traffic volumes. The project will add less than a 5% increase to the exiting roadway volumes and no direct or cumulative impacts are anticipated.

### 2.4 Conclusions

It was determined from the detailed analysis that the single family NSLU's adjacent to the roadways will not comply with the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard



without mitigation measures. In order to reduce the future exterior noise levels to below the County threshold noise barriers are required in the western portion of the site.

The noise affected outdoor areas of proposed lots located closest to San Pasqual Valley Road or having direct line of sight to the roadways will require noise barriers ranging in height from five (5) to six (6) feet. Lot 13 requires a 5-foot barrier and Lots 14 through 16 require a 6-foot barrier. Alternately, it was determined that a 10-foot barrier located along the right-of-way of San Pasqual Valley Road could be installed in lieu of individual noise walls at the pads.

With the incorporation of the mitigation measures, the first floor building facades of the single family dwellings will comply with the General Plan Noise Element Standard, of 60 dBA CNEL. Exterior noise levels at the second floor building facades of all lots were found to be above the General Plan Noise Element Standard. Therefore, per the General Plan Noise Element a noise easement is required for the entire site and an interior noise study is required for all lots, to determine the mitigation required to achieve an interior noise level of 45 dBA CNEL. This report would finalize the noise requirements based upon precise grading plans and actual building design specifications. This is to ensure that interior noise levels for the proposed residential structures comply with the interior noise level requirement of 45 dBA pursuant to the County Noise Element. It should be noted; interior noise levels of 45 dBA CNEL can be obtained with conventional building construction methods by providing a window condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g., air conditioning) and providing upgraded windows at all affected lots.

The Project does not create a direct impact of more than 3 dBA CNEL on any roadway segment and no cumulative noise increase of 3 dBA CNEL or more was found. Therefore, the proposed Project's direct and cumulative contributions to off-site roadway noise increases will not cause any significant impacts to any existing or future noise sensitive land uses.

### **3.0 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES**

#### **3.1 Guidelines for the Determination of Significance**

Construction Noise: Noise generated by construction activities related to the project will exceed the standards listed in San Diego County Code Sections as follows.

##### **SEC. 36.408: HOURS OF OPERATION OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT**

Except for emergency work, it shall be unlawful for any person to operate or cause to be operated, construction equipment:

- a. Between 7 p.m. and 7 a.m.
- b. On a Sunday or a holiday. For purposes of this section, a holiday means January 1st, the last Monday in May, July 4th, the first Monday in September, December 25th and any day appointed by the President as a special national holiday or the Governor of the State as a special State holiday. A person may, however, operate construction equipment on a Sunday or holiday between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the person's residence or for the purpose of constructing a residence for himself or herself, provided that the operation of construction equipment is not carried out for financial consideration or other consideration of any kind and does not violate the limitations in sections 36.409 and 36.410.

##### **SEC. 36.409: SOUND LEVEL LIMITATIONS ON CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT**

Except for emergency work, it shall be unlawful for any person to operate construction equipment or cause construction equipment to be operated, that exceeds an average sound level of 75 decibels for an eight-hour period, between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m., when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is being received.

##### **SEC. 36.410: SOUND LEVEL LIMITATIONS ON IMPULSIVE NOISE**

In addition to the general limitations on sound levels in section 36.404 and the limitations on construction equipment in section 36.409, the following additional sound level limitations shall apply:

- (a) Except for emergency work or work on a public road project, no person shall produce or cause to be produced an impulsive noise that exceeds the maximum sound level shown in Table 36.410A (provided below), when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is received, for 25 percent of the minutes in the measurement period, as described in subsection (c) below. The maximum sound level depends on the use being made of the occupied property. The uses in Table 36.410A are as described in the County Zoning Ordinance.

**TABLE 36.410A: MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE) MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS (dBA)**

OCCUPIED PROPERTY USE	DECIBELS (dBA)
Residential, village zoning or civic use	82
Agricultural, commercial or industrial use	85

- (b) Except for emergency work, no person working on a public road project shall produce or cause to be produced an impulsive noise that exceeds the maximum sound level shown in Table 36.410B, when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is received, for 25 percent of the minutes in the measurement period, as described in subsection (c) below. The maximum sound level depends on the use being made of the occupied property. The uses in Table 36.410B are as described in the County Zoning Ordinance.

**TABLE 36.410B: MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE) MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS (dBA) FOR PUBLIC ROAD PROJECTS**

OCCUPIED PROPERTY USE	dB(A)
Residential, village zoning or civic use	85
Agricultural, commercial or industrial use	90

- (c) The minimum measurement period for any measurements conducted under this section shall be one hour. During the measurement period a measurement shall be conducted every minute from a fixed location on an occupied property. The measurements shall measure the maximum sound level during each minute of the measurement period. If the sound level caused by construction equipment or the producer of the impulsive noise exceeds the maximum sound level for any portion of any minute, it will be deemed that the maximum sound level was exceeded during that minute.

### 3.2 Potential Property Line Noise Impacts

Construction noise represents a short-term impact on the ambient noise levels. Noise generated by construction equipment includes haul trucks, water trucks, graders, dozers, loaders and scrapers can reach relatively high levels. Grading activities typically represent one of the highest potential sources for noise impacts. The most effective method of controlling construction noise is through local control of construction hours and by limiting the hours of construction to normal weekday working hours.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has compiled data regarding the noise generating characteristics of specific types of construction equipment. Noise levels generated by heavy construction equipment can range from 60 dBA to in excess of 100 dBA when measured at 50 feet. However, these noise levels diminish rapidly with distance from the construction site at a rate of approximately 6 dBA per doubling of distance. For example, a noise level of 75 dBA measured at 50 feet from the noise source to the receptor would be reduced to 69 dBA at 100 feet from the source to the receptor and reduced to 63 dBA at 200 feet from the source.

Using a point-source noise prediction model, calculations of the expected construction noise impacts were completed. The essential model input data for these performance equations include the source levels of each type of equipment, relative source to receiver horizontal and vertical separations, the amount of time the equipment is operating in a given day (also referred to as the duty-cycle) and any transmission loss from topography or barriers.

The single family units may be developed on a lot-by-lot basis, which may result in some lots undergoing building construction simultaneously but all grading activities and internal roadways will be graded prior to the occupancy of any proposed Lots. According to the project applicant, a total of two dozers, a loader/tractor, a water truck and an excavator will be required during grading activities to complete the proposed grading operations. The anticipated equipment will be spread out over the site working in different areas for 1-4 weeks and then relocating to a different portion of the site as needed. For example: a single water truck and a single dozer may be utilized near the project boundary while the other equipment is working on the opposite side of the site. The list of equipment and the associated noise levels utilized in this analysis are shown in Table 3-1. The worst case anticipated construction noise levels during construction are characterized below.

**Table 3-1: Reference Noise Levels for Construction**

Construction Phase	Construction Equipment	Quantity	Source Level @ 50 Feet (dBA) <sup>1</sup>
Grading and Base Operations	Dozer - D8	1	72
	Tractor/Backhoe	1	74
	Loader/Grader	1	73
	Water Trucks	1	70
	Scraper	2	75
<sup>1</sup> Source: EPA 1971 and Empirical Data			

Existing residential uses are located to the east and south of the site as can be seen in Table 3-

2, if all the equipment was operating in the same location, which is not physically possible, at an average distance of over 100-feet from the nearest property line the point source noise attenuation from these construction activities is -5.1 dBA. This would result in an anticipated worst case eight-hour average combined noise level of less than 75 dBA at the property line. Given this and the spatial separation of the equipment, the noise levels will comply with the County of San Diego's 75 dBA standard at all Project property lines.

**Table 3-2: Grading Operation Noise Levels**

<b>Construction Equipment</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Source Level @ 50-Feet (dBA)<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Duty Cycle (Hours/Day)</b>	<b>Cumulative Noise Level @ 50-Feet (dBA)</b>
Dozer - D8	1	72	8	72.0
Tractor/Backhoe	1	74	8	74.0
Loader/Grader	1	73	8	73.0
Water Trucks	1	70	8	70.0
Scraper	2	75	8	78.0
Cumulative Levels @ 50 Feet				80.1
Distance to Property Line (Feet)				90
Noise Reduction Due to Distance				-5.1
<b>NEAREST PROPERTY LINE NOISE LEVEL</b>				<b>75.0</b>
<sup>1</sup> Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1971 and Empirical Data				

No blasting or rock crushing is anticipated during the grading operations. Therefore, no impulsive noise sources are expected and the Project is anticipated to comply with Section 36.410 of the County Noise Ordinance and no further analysis is required.

### 3.3 Conclusions

If all the equipment was working in the same area, at an average distance of over 100-feet the point source noise attenuation from the site preparation activities and the nearest property line is -5.1 dBA. This would result in an anticipated worst case eight-hour average combined noise level of less than 75 dBA at the property line. Given this and the spatial separation of the equipment over the site, the noise levels from the grading are anticipated to comply with the County of San Diego's 75 dBA standard per Section 36.409 of the Noise Ordinance at all Project property lines.

No blasting or rock crushing is anticipated. Therefore, no impulsive noise sources are expected and the Project will comply with Section 36.410 of the County Noise Ordinance.

## **4.0 SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS, MITIGATION & CONCLUSIONS**

- On-Site Noise Analysis

The 75 dBA CNEL contours are all located within the right-of-way (ROW) of San Pasqual Valley Road. The worst-case first floor 60 dBA CNEL contour exists within the project boundary. Therefore, the project will require mitigation measures to comply with the County of San Diego 60 dBA CNEL exterior noise standard.

The noise affected outdoor areas of proposed lots located closest to San Pasqual Valley Road or having direct line of sight to the roadways will require noise barriers ranging in height from five (5) to six (6) feet. Lot 13 requires a 5-foot barrier and Lots 14 through 16 require a 6-foot barrier. Alternately, it was determined that a 10-foot barrier located along the right-of-way of San Pasqual Valley Road could be installed in lieu of individual noise walls at the pads.

The barriers must be constructed of a non-gapping material consisting of masonry, wood, plastic, fiberglass, vinyl, steel, or a combination of those materials, with no cracks or gaps through or below the enclosure walls. Any exterior seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked. If wood is used, it can be tongue and groove and will be at least one-inch thick or have a surface density of at least 3.5 pounds per square foot. Any gate(s) will be of ¾-inch or thicker wood (same height), solid-sheet metal of at least 18-gauge metal, or an exterior-grade solid-core steel door with prefabricated door jambs. If vinyl fencing is used, ¾-inch or thicker solid panels on minimum 4x4-inch posts with no cracks or gaps through or below and all seams or cracks shall be filled or caulked.

With the incorporation of the mitigation measures, the first floor building facades of the single family dwellings will comply with the General Plan Noise Element Standard, of 60 dBA CNEL. Exterior noise levels at the second floor building facades of all lots found to be above the General Plan Noise Element Standard. Therefore, per the General Plan Noise Element a noise easement is required for the entire site and an interior noise study is required for all lots except, to determine the mitigation required to achieve an interior noise level of 45 dBA CNEL. This report would finalize the noise requirements based upon precise grading plans and actual building design specifications. This is to ensure that interior noise levels for the proposed residential structures comply with the interior noise level requirement of 45 dBA pursuant to the County Noise Element. It should be noted; interior noise levels of 45 dBA CNEL can be obtained with conventional building construction methods by providing a window condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g., air conditioning) and providing upgraded windows at all affected lots.

- Off-Site Noise Analysis

The Project does not create a direct impact of more than 3 dBA CNEL on any roadway segment and no cumulative noise increase of 3 dBA CNEL or more was found. Therefore, the proposed Project's direct and cumulative contributions to off-site roadway noise increases will not cause any significant impacts to any existing or future noise sensitive land uses.

- Construction Noise Analysis

If all the equipment was working in the same area, at an average distance of over 100-feet the point source noise attenuation from the site preparation activities and the nearest property line is -5.1 dBA. This would result in an anticipated worst case eight-hour average combined noise level of less than 75 dBA at the property line. Given this and the spatial separation of the equipment over the site, the noise levels from the grading are anticipated to comply with the County of San Diego's 75 dBA standard per Section 36.409 of the Noise Ordinance at all Project property lines.

No blasting or rock crushing is anticipated during the grading operations. Therefore, no impulsive noise sources are expected and the Project will comply with Section 36.410 of the County Noise Ordinance.

## **5.0 CERTIFICATIONS**

The contents of this report represent an accurate depiction of the future acoustical environment and impacts within and surrounding the residential development. The report was prepared by Jeremy Loudon; a County approved CEQA Consultant for Acoustics.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeremy Loudon, Principal  
Ldn Consulting, Inc.  
760-473-1253  
jloudon@ldnconsulting.net

Date June 4, 2021



**ATTACHMENT A**

MODEL CALIBRATION INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES

Escondido Estates - Calibration  
T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1  
774 , 45 , 24 , 45 , 12 , 45  
T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2  
264 , 35 , 6 , 35 , 0 , 35  
L-San Pasqual, 1  
N,68.,969,715,  
N,179.,825,710,  
N,317.,646,700,  
N,411.,524,695,  
N,552.,342,690,  
N,646.,219,688,  
N,725.,-4,686,  
L-Idaho, 2  
N,41.,807,715,  
N,179.,825,710,  
N,455.,861,702,  
N,581.,877,702,  
N,670.,889,705,  
N,938.,923,720,  
N,1105.,945,730,  
N,1243.,963,740,  
N,1377.,980,747,  
N,1436.,988,748,  
R, 1 , 65 ,10  
436,737,703.,M1HARD  
R, 2 , 65 ,10  
436,737,703.,M1SOFT  
D, 4.5  
ALL,2  
C,C

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:  
Escondido Estates - Calibration

BASED ON FHWA-RD-108 AND  
CALIFORNIA REFERENCE ENERGY MEAN EMISSION LEVELS

RECEIVER	LEQ
M1HARD	63.3
M1SOFT	60.2

**ATTACHMENT B**

FUTURE NOISE CONTOUR MODEL INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES

Escondido Estates - Ground Level Contours

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1

1767 , 55 , 56 , 55 , 37 , 55

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2

285 , 40 , 9 , 40 , 6 , 40

L-San Pasqual, 1

N,68.,969,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,317.,646,700,

N,411.,524,695,

N,552.,342,690,

N,646.,219,688,

N,725.,-4,686,

L-Idaho, 2

N,41.,807,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,455.,861,702,

N,581.,877,702,

N,670.,889,705,

N,938.,923,720,

N,1105.,945,730,

N,1243.,963,740,

N,1377.,980,747,

N,1436.,988,748,

R, 1 , 65 ,10

265,796,711.,R1

R, 2 , 65 ,10

371,658,703.,R2

R, 3 , 65 ,10

477,521,697.,R3

R, 4 , 65 ,10

583,383,696.,R4

R, 5 , 65 ,10

691,244,695.,R5

R, 6 , 65 ,10

437,818,706.,R6

R, 7 , 65 ,10

543,681,700.,R7

R, 8 , 65 ,10

649,543,701.,R8

R, 9 , 65 ,10

755,406,701.,R9

R, 10 , 65 ,10

842,266,706.,R10

R, 11 , 65 ,10

609,840,706.,R11

R, 12 , 65 ,10

715,703,709.,R12

R, 13 , 65 ,10

822,565,712.,R13

R, 14 , 65 ,10

928,428,716.,R14

R, 15 , 65 ,10

994,285,719.,R15

R, 16 , 65 ,10

782,863,715.,R16

R, 17 , 65 ,10

888,725,724.,R17

R, 18 , 65 ,10

994,588,727.,R18

R, 19 , 65 ,10

1095,450,741.,R19

R, 20 , 65 ,10

1146,305,732.,R20

R, 21 , 65 ,10

954,885,725.,R21

R, 22 , 65 ,10

1060,748,741.,R22

R, 23 , 65 ,10

1166,610,753.,R23

R, 24 , 65 ,10

1248,469,751.,R24

R, 25 , 65 ,10

1298,325,737.,R25

D, 4.5

ALL,ALL

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:  
Escondido Estates - Ground Level Contours

BASED ON FHWA-RD-108 AND  
CALIFORNIA REFERENCE ENERGY MEAN EMISSION LEVELS

RECEIVER	LEQ
R1	73.2
R2	72.9
R3	72.8
R4	72.9
R5	72.0
R6	66.2
R7	63.7
R8	63.4
R9	63.0
R10	62.4
R11	64.6
R12	59.9
R13	59.2
R14	58.3
R15	57.8
R16	64.1
R17	57.6
R18	56.2
R19	55.2
R20	54.7
R21	63.8
R22	56.0
R23	54.0
R24	53.0
R25	52.4

Escondido Estates - Second Level Contours

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1

1767 , 55 , 56 , 55 , 37 , 55

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2

285 , 40 , 9 , 40 , 6 , 40

L-San Pasqual, 1

N,68.,969,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,317.,646,700,

N,411.,524,695,

N,552.,342,690,

N,646.,219,688,

N,725.,-4,686,

L-Idaho, 2

N,41.,807,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,455.,861,702,

N,581.,877,702,

N,670.,889,705,

N,938.,923,720,

N,1105.,945,730,

N,1243.,963,740,

N,1377.,980,747,

N,1436.,988,748,

R, 1 , 65 ,10

265,796,721.,R1

R, 2 , 65 ,10

371,658,713.,R2

R, 3 , 65 ,10

477,521,707.,R3

R, 4 , 65 ,10

583,383,706.,R4

R, 5 , 65 ,10

691,244,705.,R5

R, 6 , 65 ,10

437,818,716.,R6

R, 7 , 65 ,10

543,681,710.,R7

R, 8 , 65 ,10

649,543,711.,R8

R, 9 , 65 ,10

755,406,711.,R9

R, 10 , 65 ,10

842,266,716.,R10

R, 11 , 65 ,10

609,840,716.,R11

R, 12 , 65 ,10

715,703,719.,R12

R, 13 , 65 ,10

822,565,722.,R13

R, 14 , 65 ,10

928,428,726.,R14

R, 15 , 65 ,10

994,285,729.,R15

R, 16 , 65 ,10

782,863,725.,R16

R, 17 , 65 ,10

888,725,734.,R17

R, 18 , 65 ,10

994,588,737.,R18

R, 19 , 65 ,10

1095,450,751.,R19

R, 20 , 65 ,10

1146,305,742.,R20

R, 21 , 65 ,10

954,885,735.,R21

R, 22 , 65 ,10

1060,748,751.,R22

R, 23 , 65 ,10

1166,610,763.,R23

R, 24 , 65 ,10

1248,469,761.,R24

R, 25 , 65 ,10

1298,325,747.,R25

C,C

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:

Escondido Estates - Second Level Contours

BASED ON FHWA-RD-108 AND  
CALIFORNIA REFERENCE ENERGY MEAN EMISSION LEVELS

RECEIVER	LEQ
----------	-----

R1	73.9
R2	73.8
R3	73.7
R4	73.7
R5	73.0
R6	68.5
R7	67.3
R8	67.1
R9	66.7
R10	66.0
R11	66.6
R12	64.4
R13	63.8
R14	63.1
R15	62.5
R16	65.6
R17	62.4
R18	61.5
R19	60.6
R20	60.2
R21	65.0
R22	60.9
R23	59.6
R24	58.9
R25	58.4

**ATTACHMENT C**

DETAILED FUTURE NOISE MODEL INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES



Escondido Estates - Ground Level Unmitigated

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1

1767 , 55 , 56 , 55 , 37 , 55

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2

285 , 40 , 9 , 40 , 6 , 40

L-San Pasqual, 1

N,68.,969,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,317.,646,700,

N,411.,524,695,

N,552.,342,690,

N,646.,219,688,

N,725.,-4,686,

L-Idaho, 2

N,41.,807,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,455.,861,702,

N,581.,877,702,

N,670.,889,705,

N,938.,923,720,

N,1105.,945,730,

N,1243.,963,740,

N,1377.,980,747,

N,1436.,988,748,

B-LOT 16, 1 , 2 , 0 ,0

634.,841,703,703,

597.,837,703,703,

577.,724,703,703,

625.,728,703,703,

B-LOT 15, 2 , 2 , 0 ,0

621.,686,703,703,

572.,672,703,703,

566.,616,703,703,

588.,621,703,703,

600.,615,703,703,

635.,527,703,703,

671.,528,703,703,

701.,533,707,707,

715.,533,707,707,

769.,540,707,707,

R, 1 , 65 ,10

893,335,718.,LOT7

R, 2 , 65 ,10

879,408,723.,LOT8

R, 3 , 65 ,10

873,494,726.,LOT9

R, 4 , 65 ,10

973,612,729.,LOT10

R, 5 , 65 ,10

897,605,724.,LOT11

R, 6 , 65 ,10

827,594,719.,LOT12

R, 7 , 65 ,10

713,547,712.,LOT13

R, 8 , 65 ,10

665,535,708.,LOT14

R, 9 , 65 ,10

577,660,708.,LOT15

R, 10 , 65 ,10

606,809,708.,LOT16

R, 11 , 65 ,10

670,786,712.,LOT17

R, 12 , 65 ,10

783,820,717.,LOT18

R, 13 , 65 ,10

865,828,723.,LOT19

R, 14 , 65 ,10

943,838,727.,LOT20

D, 4.5

ALL,ALL

C,C

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:

Escondido Estates - Ground Level Unmitigated

REC REC ID DNL PEOPLE LEQ(CAL)

1	LOT7	65.	10.	60.0
2	LOT8	65.	10.	59.6
3	LOT9	65.	10.	58.8
4	LOT10	65.	10.	56.4
5	LOT11	65.	10.	57.5
6	LOT12	65.	10.	58.8
7	LOT13	65.	10.	61.6
8	LOT14	65.	10.	63.1
9	LOT15	65.	10.	63.1
10	LOT16	65.	10.	62.5
11	LOT17	65.	10.	60.9
12	LOT18	65.	10.	60.6
13	LOT19	65.	10.	60.0
14	LOT20	65.	10.	59.7

Escondido Estates - Ground Level Mitigated

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1

1767 , 55 , 56 , 55 , 37 , 55

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2

285 , 40 , 9 , 40 , 6 , 40

L-San Pasqual, 1

N,68.,969,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,317.,646,700,

N,411.,524,695,

N,552.,342,690,

N,646.,219,688,

N,725.,-4,686,

L-Idaho, 2

N,41.,807,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,455.,861,702,

N,581.,877,702,

N,670.,889,705,

N,938.,923,720,

N,1105.,945,730,

N,1243.,963,740,

N,1377.,980,747,

N,1436.,988,748,

B-LOT 16, 1 , 2 , 0 ,0

634.,841,703,709,

597.,837,703,709,

577.,724,703,709,

625.,728,703,709,

B-LOT 15, 2 , 2 , 0 ,0

621.,686,703,709,

572.,672,703,709,

566.,616,703,709,

588.,621,703,709,

600.,615,703,709,

635.,527,703,709,

671.,528,703,709,

701.,533,707,712,

715.,533,707,712,

769.,540,707,712,

R, 1 , 65 ,10

893,335,718.,LOT7

R, 2 , 65 ,10

879,408,723.,LOT8

R, 3 , 65 ,10

873,494,726.,LOT9

R, 4 , 65 ,10

973,612,729.,LOT10

R, 5 , 65 ,10

897,605,724.,LOT11

R, 6 , 65 ,10

827,594,719.,LOT12

R, 7 , 65 ,10

713,547,712.,LOT13

R, 8 , 65 ,10

665,535,708.,LOT14

R, 9 , 65 ,10

577,660,708.,LOT15

R, 10 , 65 ,10

606,809,708.,LOT16

R, 11 , 65 ,10

670,786,712.,LOT17

R, 12 , 65 ,10

783,820,717.,LOT18

R, 13 , 65 ,10

865,828,723.,LOT19

R, 14 , 65 ,10

943,838,727.,LOT20

D, 4.5

ALL,ALL

C,C

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:

Escondido Estates - Ground Level Mitigated

REC REC ID DNL PEOPLE LEQ(CAL)

1	LOT7	65.	10.	60.0
2	LOT8	65.	10.	59.5
3	LOT9	65.	10.	58.8
4	LOT10	65.	10.	56.4
5	LOT11	65.	10.	57.5
6	LOT12	65.	10.	58.5
7	LOT13	65.	10.	60.4
8	LOT14	65.	10.	60.4
9	LOT15	65.	10.	60.4
10	LOT16	65.	10.	59.9
11	LOT17	65.	10.	60.1
12	LOT18	65.	10.	60.3
13	LOT19	65.	10.	59.9
14	LOT20	65.	10.	59.6

Escondido Estates - Second Level Mitigated

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 1

1767 , 55 , 56 , 55 , 37 , 55

T-PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS, 2

285 , 40 , 9 , 40 , 6 , 40

L-San Pasqual, 1

N,68.,969,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,317.,646,700,

N,411.,524,695,

N,552.,342,690,

N,646.,219,688,

N,725.,-4,686,

L-Idaho, 2

N,41.,807,715,

N,179.,825,710,

N,455.,861,702,

N,581.,877,702,

N,670.,889,705,

N,938.,923,720,

N,1105.,945,730,

N,1243.,963,740,

N,1377.,980,747,

N,1436.,988,748,

B-LOT 16, 1 , 2 , 0 ,0

634.,841,703,709,

597.,837,703,709,

577.,724,703,709,

625.,728,703,709,

B-LOT 15, 2 , 2 , 0 ,0

621.,686,703,709,

572.,672,703,709,

566.,616,703,709,

588.,621,703,709,

600.,615,703,709,

635.,527,703,709,

671.,528,703,709,

701.,533,707,712,

715.,533,707,712,

769.,540,707,712,

R, 1 , 65 ,10

893,335,728.,LOT7

R, 2 , 65 ,10

879,408,733.,LOT8

R, 3 , 65 ,10

873,494,736.,LOT9

R, 4 , 65 ,10

973,612,739.,LOT10

R, 5 , 65 ,10

897,605,734.,LOT11

R, 6 , 65 ,10

827,594,729.,LOT12

R, 7 , 65 ,10

713,547,722.,LOT13

R, 8 , 65 ,10

665,535,718.,LOT14

R, 9 , 65 ,10

577,660,718.,LOT15

R, 10 , 65 ,10

606,809,718.,LOT16

R, 11 , 65 ,10

670,786,722.,LOT17

R, 12 , 65 ,10

783,820,727.,LOT18

R, 13 , 65 ,10

865,828,733.,LOT19

R, 14 , 65 ,10

943,838,737.,LOT20

C,C

SOUND32 - RELEASE 07/30/91

TITLE:

Escondido Estates - Second Level Mitigated

REC REC ID DNL PEOPLE LEQ(CAL)

1	LOT7	65.	10.	64.2
2	LOT8	65.	10.	64.0
3	LOT9	65.	10.	63.5
4	LOT10	65.	10.	61.0
5	LOT11	65.	10.	61.9
6	LOT12	65.	10.	62.8
7	LOT13	65.	10.	65.7
8	LOT14	65.	10.	66.8
9	LOT15	65.	10.	66.9
10	LOT16	65.	10.	65.2
11	LOT17	65.	10.	63.7
12	LOT18	65.	10.	62.9
13	LOT19	65.	10.	62.6
14	LOT20	65.	10.	62.4