

ERRATA TO THE FINAL EIR

This errata presents specific text changes made to the Final Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) since its publication to address minor edits. The information contained within this errata corrects typographical errors in the Final EIR and does not constitute “significant new information” requiring recirculation (Public Resources Code Section 21092.1; State CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5).

Revision to Chapter 8, “Mitigation Measures,” Section 8.1.1, “Aesthetics,” in the Final PEIR to be consistent with the clarifications made in Section 9.2.2, “Mitigation Measure M-AE.2-1 Edits in Section 2.2, “Aesthetics.”

The text as presented on page 8-1 of the Final PEIR:

8.1 List of Mitigation Measures

8.1.1 Aesthetics

M-AE.2-1: Conduct Project-Level Visual Analysis for Cannabis Facilities

Each outdoor and mixed-light cannabis facility application that is located within public viewpoints (visible from public roads or other viewpoints) shall include a visual analysis, photo simulation, or other appropriate level of review consistent with the *County Guidelines for Determining Significance and Report Format and Content Requirement–Visual Resources* to evaluate the potential for a proposed cannabis cultivation facility to substantially degrade the visual character or quality of public views. If the project site can be demonstrated to be outside of a public view, no further action is required. Potential visual impacts shall be addressed by siting outdoor and mixed-light cultivation facilities outside of public views. If this cannot be achieved, the applicant shall provide the reasoning in writing (e.g., all sites within the property are within public views, the site was previously farmed and selecting a new site would require additional grading, other sites contain sensitive resources, other sites do not contain fertile soils or other suitable conditions for growing cannabis). The siting of outdoor and mixed-light cultivation facilities will be subject to the County’s review and approval during the permit application process.

The text as updated:

8.1 List of Mitigation Measures

8.1.1 Aesthetics

M-AE.2-1: Conduct Project-Level Visual Analysis for Cannabis Facilities

Each outdoor and mixed-light cannabis facility application that is located within public viewpoints (visible from public roads or other public viewpoints such as parks) shall include a visual analysis, photo simulation, or other appropriate level of review consistent with the *County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance and Report Format and Content Requirement–Visual Resources* to evaluate the potential for a proposed cannabis cultivation facility to substantially degrade the visual character or quality of public views.

If the project site can be demonstrated to be outside of a public view, no further action is required. Potential visual impacts shall be addressed by siting outdoor and mixed-light cultivation facilities outside of public views. If this cannot be achieved, the applicant shall provide the reasoning in writing (e.g., all sites within the property are within public views, the site was previously farmed and selecting a new site would require additional grading, other sites contain sensitive resources, other sites do not contain fertile soils or other suitable conditions for growing cannabis). The siting of outdoor and mixed-light cultivation facilities will be subject to the County's review and approval during the permit application process.