Proj	ject Location:	Owner on Application:		
		Grading Plan Check #:		
desi	report form for a "minor" grading project is to be completed a gnated on the Grading Plan and permit as the Engineer who ling permit issued by Planning & Developing Services.			
appr area calc with	intent of the format is to provide information to Planning & roved Grading Plan and Permit. Where the questions below as, it is understood that your response will not normally be basulations. It should be noted, however, that the Department is respect to over-steepened slopes, encroachments of required I placed differs substantially from that authorized.	refer to location, configuration or qua sed on an actual land survey or details particularly concerned where there ar	antity of cut a ed earthwork re possible in	and/or fill quantity fractions
of m land that	nning & Development Services requires that all fills authorized aximum density with the exception that not more than 12" of parcel. The need to compact all fills that are beyond the prefuture proposed construction of room additions or swimming poer removed or recompacted, or that extensive foundation work	uncompacted and untested fills may be sent limits of the present proposed co pools or similar structures will not requ	oe dispersed Instruction is	over the to insure
Con	npaction reports will not be accepted unless this form is compl	eted and signed by the registered des	ign professic	nal.
	HE ANSWERS TO ANY OF THESE QUESTIONS IS NO THI DINANCE.	ERE MAY BE A VIOLATION OF THE	COUNTY G	RADING
A.	COMPATIBILITY WITH GRADING PLAN AND PERMIT			
1.	Was the compacted fill placed only in the approximate location as areas to filled?	ons designated on the grading plan	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
2.	Did the quantity of fill material placed conform to the grading	plan?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
3.	Did the toe of fill or the top of cut meet the prescribed propecuts)?	rty line setback (1.5' for fill; 3.0' for	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
4.	Were the finished fill slopes equal to or less than 2 horizonta	to 1 vertical?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
5.	If the fill material was obtained by cuts on the site, were the proper slope approximately as shown on the approved grading		YES 🗌	NO 🗌
6.	Were brow ditches constructed approximately as shown on t	he grading plan?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
В.	LOCATION AND AMOUNT OF COMPACTION TESTS			
1.	Have you attached a sketch and data showing the loca compaction tests?	tion and relative elevation for all	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
2.	Was a compaction test made so that there is at least compacted material?	one test in each 2' thick lens of	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
3.	As indicated by inspections, observations and compaction the top 1.0', compacted to at least 90% of maximum dry density		YES 🗌	NO 🗌

5510 OVERLAND AVE, SUITE 110, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 • (858) 565-5920 • (888) 336-7553 HTTP://WWW.SDCPDS.ORG

PDS 073 REV.: 08/24/2020 PAGE **1** of **2**

C.	QUALITY OF FILL COMPACTION OPERATION			
1.	Was the area to receive fill properly prepared in terms of brush removal, benching, wetting removal of noncompacted fill or debris and related items?	, YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
2.	Was all detrimentally expansive soil placed in the fill at 3' or more below finish grade?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
3.	Have you attached a copy of the compaction curve showing the relationship optimum moisture content and maximum density?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
4.	Was all material used as fill (earth, rocks, gravel) smaller than 12" in size?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
5.	Are all areas of the fill suitable for support of structures?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
6.	Were all <u>existing</u> fills on the site recompacted in accordance with the provisions of the grading ordinance?	y YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
D.	STATISTICAL DATA			
1.	Dates the grading work was performed:			
2.	Dates your representative was on site and number of hours on site for each date, and name of	representative:		
E.	AS-BUILT DATA			
1.	If the fill placement was not in accordance with the approved grading plan, did you notify permittee to obtain approval for deviation from the plan before proceeding with additional fil placement?		NO 🗌	
2.	If the approved grading plan does not reflect the actual location, depth and type of fill, have you submitted for review and approval an as-built plan?	yes 🗌	NO 🗌	
F.	STORMWATER PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS			
1.	Have erosion control BMP's been placed in compliance with minimum County standards?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
2.	Have sediment control BMP's been placed in compliance with minimum County standards?	YES	NO 🗌	
3.	Is the site access road stabilized?		NO 🗌	
4.	Are the disturbed area perimeter barriers in place?		NO 🗌	
REM	MARKS:			
CEI	RTIFICATION			
	ereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that the information provided in this certification is true to the belief.	e best of my kn	owledge	
	Signature: Date			
	(To be signed and dated by a Registered C	Sivil Engineer)		
	Registration or Certification Number:			
	Address:			
	Phone Number			