

LETTER

RESPONSE

Letter I62

From: Debb Mirr [<mailto:dbmrr@live.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2014 9:36 AM
To: Slovick, Mark
Subject: Lilac Hills Ranch Development

Hello,
 My concerns over the development of this community is WATER!

They will need water to grade the land, water for landscaping, water for flushing toilets,doing dishes, showers, etc., etc.
 California's drought warrants conservation of water resources. 1700 homes on this site?maybe 170 we could handle,but 1700, that is crazy.

I live off of the Old Hwy and Via Urner Way. They would have to put a stop light at this intersection, cars now coming barreling down the road to enter on the 15 freeway. This is a county rural area that in my opinion should be kept that way.

The environment impact to this community would be devastating. There is an observatory on Palomar Mountain,they recommend turning off lights at night, I can only imagine how many lights would be burning into the night and illuminating the skies above.I moved to this area because it is dark at night, peaceful and quiet, and I would appreciate any effort to keep it this way.

Sincerely
 Debra Mirr
debramirr@gmail.com
 760 749 6162

I62-1

I62-2

I62-3

I62-4

I62-1 Water supply for the project would come from the Valley Center Municipal Water District (VCMWD) which is imported from San Diego County Water Authority. Pursuant to Senate Bill 610 and Senate Bill 221, a Water Supply Assessment (WSA) was prepared for the project by the VCMWD (see Appendix Q of the FEIR). The WSA report evaluates water supplies that are or will be available during normal, single dry year, and multiple dry water years during a 20-year projection to meet existing demands, existing plus projected demands of the project, and future water demands served by the VCMWD. Based on the VCMWD's water supply reliability analysis contained in the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan, the WSA concludes that the VCMWD would have adequate water supply to meet and exceed expected demands for a 20-year planning horizon, including the project. In addition, the VCMWD issued an updated letter dated May 6, 2014 verifying that the conclusions of the WSA are still valid considering recent drought conditions and associated water use restrictions. This letter has been included as a cover letter to Appendix Q of the FEIR. Additionally, as shown in the June 9, 2015 memorandum from Dexter Wilson Engineering (FEIR Appendix T-1), the project is consistent with the temporary, emergency Executive Order (EO) B-29-15 issued by Governor Brown on April 1, 2015. The project is consistent with the emergency water conservation regulations mandated by the SWRCB and the VCMWD. The project intends to fully comply with all water conservation regulations required by the VCMWD and the State (emergency or otherwise). Please refer to FEIR subchapter 3.1.7 and Appendix T-1 for details. Also, the VCMWD issued another letter dated June 5, 2015, which is attached as Exhibit A to FEIR Appendix T-1. The June 2015 VCMWD letter concludes that "despite the impacts of short-term droughts and water supply shortages, in the long-term the District is confident that through the combined efforts of the state, MWD, the SDCWA and the VCMWD, sufficient supplies will be available for its service area, including the LHR development."

I62-2 For details on the traffic impacts and mitigation measures that would result from the project, refer to subchapter 2.3 and Appendix E of the FEIR. A significant transportation impact was not identified at Old Highway 395 and Via Urner Way, as the project would not contribute to any turning movements at this intersection and traffic volumes would not be anticipated to increase delay. As a result, a traffic signal at this location would not be warranted.

LETTER

RESPONSE

	<p>162-3 Subchapter 2.1.2.4 of the FEIR addresses lighting impacts of the project. Section III of the Specific Plan identifies lighting concepts and describes how lighting throughout the project would be done in a manner that minimizes light intrusion onto adjacent properties. As required in the County's Light Pollution Code, the project would use fixtures that are compatible with the design of each planning area and the requirement that light be shielded and directed downward.</p> <p>162-4 The County acknowledges this comment and the commenter's opposition to the project. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project. A photometric design concept (Appendix C-2) was created for the project in order to assure compliance with both the San Diego Light Pollution Code (Sections 59.108-59.110) and County Zoning Ordinance. Compliance with the San Diego Light Pollution Code and measures included in the Specific Plan would avoid adverse lighting impacts to observatories.</p>
--	---