

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

From: Steven Hutchison <hutchisonsm@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 2:58 PM
To: Sibbet, David
Cc: Chan, Michelle; Wardlaw, Mark; Fitzpatrick, Lisa
Subject: Valiano Project in Eden Valley

R-AA-1 [Regarding the Valiano project in Eden Valley, it seems that the overwhelming defeat of the Lilac Hills Ranch project at the polls in November did not instill the lesson that citizens of San Diego County do not approve of, nor want, development that is ill-conceived and poorly located. Planning and Development Services needs to be more responsive to the citizens you are working for when it comes to reviewing traffic congestion, needless infrastructure extension and loss of community identity and values in relation to projects such as this.

R-AA-2 [Those of us in north county opposed to the scope of this project are **not** necessarily opposed to all development, but we do expect to have developers and the county government respect the recently enacted General Plan. That plan calls for responsible growth, and yet, even after communities accept their share of that growth [read Harmony Grove Village], the development community seems to think that additional large projects should be permitted as well. What is just as worrying is that the PDS folks agree more often than not. Stick to the plan and develop at densities allowed in the General Plan.

R-AA-3 [We don't need to create an even more daunting evacuation scenario in Eden Valley than the one the recent Cocos fire created by adding hundreds more panic-stricken motorists to narrow country roads. Please recommend that this project not be advanced to the planning commission.

Steve Hutchison
Valley Center CA 92082

R-AA-1 The comment is not related to the topics that were the subject of the recirculation and Revised Draft EIR. Please refer to response to comment R-A-1 regarding relevance to the changes in the recirculated Draft EIR.

R-AA-2 The comment is not related to the topics that were the subject of the recirculation and Revised Draft EIR. Please refer to response to comment R-A-1 regarding relevance to the changes in the recirculated Draft EIR.

R-AA-3 The comment is related to fire/evacuation routes, which are not part of the Recirculated Draft EIR. Please refer to Response R-A-1; however, it is discussed in detail in Section 3.1.7 of the EIR. Your opposition to the Project is noted.