

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

From: Frautene McLarney <frautene@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Sibbet, David; Chan, Michelle; Wardlaw, Mark
Cc: Fitzpatrick, Lisa; Cox, Greg; Jacob, Dianne; Ron-Roberts; Horn, Bill; douglas.dill@att.net;
 Elfin Forest Harmony Grove Town Council
Subject: Re: Valiano specific plan

VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN: PDS2013-SP-13-0012, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, LOG NO. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002

To whom it may concern:

R-AK-1 I've been an Elfin Forest/Harmony Grove resident for 5 years now. For 10 years prior to buying property and establishing our small farm, my husband and I would frequently drive through our community and daydream about the day we would hopefully be able to afford a rural lifestyle for us and our children. I have been disappointed and dismayed at the attempts of developers recently to split up and change our community plan all with their profits being their only concern. I fully support the San Diego Planning Groups comment letter sent on January 12 2017. I have reviewed all of the REIR documents that have been submitted and take great offense to the quote "There is a lack of established neighborhoods, as well as public services. As such, there is no existing community on site to divide." There are established neighborhoods, there are carpools, there are farmsharing co-ops, there are co-operative horse facilities just to name a few 'services' that WE have established to serve US, OUR COMMUNITY. We live here because we all want the rural lifestyle and with that comes livestock, gardens, orchards, openspace etc. This is why we worked tirelessly for YEARS to establish the existence of our COMMUNITY with the county in a LEGAL document. It is infuriating that a developer with NO real knowledge of this area and without bothering to consider the affects these homes will have on us plows through with something that is not wanted or needed in this area. Does SD county need homes? Yes, but not in rural areas that are established and flourishing. This just happens to be the quick easy buck in their eyes.

R-AK-2 If anyone wants to assess if there is an established community in an area, they need to speak to the residents. Community is not something you see on paper, it is not defined by the proximity to stores or anything else. It is defined by the love and cooperation of the residents that occupy the area.

R-AK-3 Sincerely,

Frautene McLarney
 19928 fortuna del este
 Elfin Forest, CA 92029
 760-579-2993

On Fri, Jan 27, 2017 at 9:34 AM, Frautene McLarney <frautene@gmail.com> wrote:
 VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN: PDS2013-SP-13-0012, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, LOG NO. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002

To whom it may concern:

I've been an Elfin Forest/Harmony Grove resident for 5 years now. For 10 years prior to buying property and establishing our small farm, my husband and I would frequently drive through our community and daydream about the day we would hopefully be able to afford a rural lifestyle for us and our children. I have been disappointed and dismayed at the attempts of developers recently to split up and change our community plan all with their profits being their only concern. I fully support the San Diego Planning Groups comment letter sent on January 12 2017. I have reviewed all of the REIR documents that have been submitted and take great offense to the quote "There is a lack of established neighborhoods, as well as public services. As such, there is no existing community on site to divide." There are established neighborhoods, there are carpools, there are farmsharing co-

R-AK-1 Introductory comment noted. See Response R-M-1 regarding the San Dieguito Planning Group letter.

R-AK-2 The comment refers to a statement in the EIR regarding the existence of a neighborhood or community within the Project site. Please see Response R-K-1 regarding the misunderstanding of the "on-site" portion of the quote, as well as R-O-2 regarding public services. As stated in Response R-V-3, the Eden Valley community is largely semi-rural in nature and that would continue. The residential use proposed by the Project is SR-0.5 (a semi-rural land use designation) and Project uses include large areas of open space set aside, park and trail uses, agricultural land set aside, potential horse or other large animal properties, etc.

R-AK-3 The comment is related to the sense of community felt by the residents in the area, which is fully understood; please see Response R-K-1.

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

ops, there are co-operative horse facilities just to name a few 'services' that WE have established to serve US, OUR COMMUNITY. We live here because we all want the rural lifestyle and with that comes livestock, gardens, orchards, openspace etc. This is why we worked tirelessly for YEARS to establish the existence of our COMMUNITY