

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

From: Michael O,Connor <firemanmic@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 11:26 AM
To: Chan, Michelle
Cc: Wardlaw, Mark
Subject: Valiano Specific Plan

Good Morning

I would like to express my opposition to this development in the Hills of Harmony Grove and Eden Valley., for the life of me I can not understand why this type of Leapfrog development continues to be entertained by our County Planning Dept. Do you not have a copy of the General Plan to hand out to these Developers. These areas roads are not suited for this type of development, but yet developers seem to think it is ok to put folks in harms way in event of a wild fire like the Cocos Fire . Please reject this project and start urging developers to study the General Plan and guide them away from this leapfrog development . I was driving the 52 East the other day and for miles and miles there was build able area for thousands of homes, if we are truly in a housing crisis there is a fine spot to put many homes . Please forward this to The Planning Commissioners and Board Of Supervisors

Regards Michael O'Connor

- R-AT-1 Introductory comment noted. Please see Response R-K-1 regarding general plan amendments and their use. Please note that fire/evacuation routes are not part of the Recirculated Draft EIR. Please refer to Response R-A-1; however, it is discussed in detail in Section 3.1.7 of the EIR.
- R-AT-2 Comments noted. Please see Response R-K-1 regarding general plan amendments and their use. Please also note that detailed review of General Plan conformity was undertaken by this Project, as described in Subchapter 3.1.4, Land Use, of the EIR and summarized in Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis. The Project is not considered leapfrog development; please see Response R-F-42 on this topic. Your comments have been forwarded to both the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors through their incorporation into this Final EIR.