

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

From: Juanita <jandjsav@prodigy.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 10:33 PM
To: Sibbet, David; Chan, Michelle; Wardlaw, Mark; Fitzpatrick, Lisa
Cc: Horn, Bill; roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov; Gaspar, Kristin; Jacob, Dianne; Cox, Greg; efhgct@gmail.com; douglas.dill@att.net
Subject: VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN

1/29/17

RE: VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN: PDS2013-SP-13-0012, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, LOG NO. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002 .

To whom it may concern:

- R-BA-1 [I am a longtime resident of Eden Valley and I wanted to comment on the recirculated EIR for the Valiano Specific Plan. I agree 100% with the San Dieguito Planning Group's comment letter provided and sent on 1/12/17.
 - R-BA-2 [This proposal marks the first attempt ever by a developer to rewrite a community's boundaries and effectively erase the very existence of a community in order to avoid having to work with and compromise with the community. Projects like this should be a two-way street. The very fact that the developer is suggesting we don't exist shows that they are not interested in working with us. EDEN VALLEY/HARMONY GROVE is an established community and we will not be divided. We cannot allow others to rewrite our diligently created boundaries simply to avoid having to follow the same rules that we all have to follow.
 - R-AB-3 [I oppose the project as currently presented and hope that as elected officials you will do the right thing and protect our rights as property owners, taxpayers and citizens of San Diego County.
- Sincerely,
 Juanita Savage
 1027 Country Club Drive, Escondido, CA 92029
 760-746-9115

- R-BA-1 This is a repeat of comment R-M-1. See Response R-M-1.
- R-BA-2 There is no intent to “erase” the community within which the Project is located. The suggested amendment to the Community Plan would simply incorporate the parcel within the community plan area that includes all the rest of Eden Valley (the San Dieguito CPA). The amendment to the EFHGCP subarea northern boundary is not proposed to avoid having to follow rules. Please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis, Response R-F-63 regarding continued recognition of Eden Valley, and Response R-K-2 regarding developer outreach to the community.
- R-BA-3 Your opposition to the Project is noted.