

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

January 30, 2017

To the San Diego Board of Supervisors,

R-J-1 [With reference to The San Dieguito Planning Group’s comment on the Valiano recirculated DEIR (Valiano Project, PDS2013-SP-13-001, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13- 001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2013-ER-13-08- 002), the Del Dios/Mt. Israel Town Council wishes to file a formal statement in support of the Harmony Grove Town Council, the community of Harmony Grove and the community of Elfin Forrest and their strong opposition to the above referenced DEIR.

R-J-2 [The Board of the Del Dios/Mt. Israel Town Council have been following the Harmony Grove project with great interest as the development directly impacts our community, safety, and way of life. We are opposed to this DEIR. The proposed development is incompatible with fire safety. Density in the area will increase further taxing evacuation routes that are already problematic to the existing communities. Additional volume will exacerbate an already bad situation.

R-J-3 [We are also concerned with the traffic issues that will be impacted with the increase in homes. Traffic issues are understated for peak travel times and off peak increases in volume, travel time and compatibility with recreational activities, especially cyclists have not been addressed.

R-J-4 [We are also concerned that redrawing an area sets a precedent and should be considered very cautiously.

R-J-5 [In conclusion, the Del Dios/Mt.Israel Town Council is very much opposed to Valiano Recirculation DEIR.

Sincerely,
 Del Dios/Mt.Israel Town Council

R-J-1 Introductory comment noted. Please see responses to specific comments, below.

R-J-2 The comment is not related to the topics that were the subject of the recirculation and Revised Draft EIR. Please refer to response to comment R-A-1 regarding relevance to the changes in the Recirculated Draft EIR.

R-J-3 The comment is not related to the topics that were the subject of the recirculation and Revised Draft EIR. Please refer to response to comment R-A-1 regarding relevance to the changes in the Recirculated Draft EIR.

R-J-4 The comment expresses concern regarding the proposed General Plan Amendment. The County would fully review any future request for an analogous change specifically associated with a project similarly to the ongoing review (i.e., public circulation of the proposed change, receipt of public comment, and response to those comments, prior to taking any action on the request). Please note, however, that in order for the current request to be precedent setting, there would have to be analogous condition in this (or another) plan area in order for the precedent to apply. There is not another place in the EFHG portion of the San Dieguito CPA where a large block of property is sandwiched between two cities (San Marcos and Escondido) and wholly surrounded by existing developed or approved and developing uses. Please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis.

R-J-5 Comment noted.