

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

From: Mary A Holden <questhavenpets@att.net>
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 11:38 AM
To: Chan, Michelle
Subject: Elfin Forest

To whom it may concern:

R-X-1 *I am a longtime resident of Elfin Forest and I wanted to comment on the recirculated EIR for the Valiano Specific Plan. I agree 100% with the San Dieguito Planning Group's comment letter provided and sent on 1/12/17. In addition I wanted to weigh in on the most troubling portion of the REIR that will affect my family and my community. According to the document, it states in multiple places that:*

- *"There is a lack of established neighborhoods, as well as public services. As such, there is no existing community on site to divide"*

R-X-2 *Along with all the many issues I have with the application, this one is the most egregious to me personally. The community of Harmony Grove has existed for over 120 years. I've lived here for 17 years. I know all my neighbors around HG and Eden Valley. We organized and developed a community plan some years ago which encodes the very nature of our community. We have multiple events every year including the Fourth of July Picnic and Parade and our very own "Keepin' It Rural" 5k10k Trail Run. If you haven't been to Harmony Grove or Eden Valley, you really must come down and look at it yourself to see that we are a vibrant, thriving community.*

R-X-3 *This proposal marks the first attempt ever by a developer to rewrite a community's boundaries and effectively erase the very existence of a community in order to avoid having to work with and compromise with the community. Projects like this should be a two-way street. The very fact that the developer is suggesting we don't exist shows that they are not interested in working with us.*

We cannot allow others to rewrite our diligently created boundaries simply to avoid having to follow the same rules that we all have to follow.

R-X-4 *I oppose the project as currently presented and hope that as elected officials you will do the right thing and protect our rights as property owners, taxpayers and citizens of San Diego County.*

Respectfully,
 Mary Ann Holden

R-X-1 This is a repeat of comment R-M-1. See Response R-M-1.

R-X-2 The comment expresses the sense of community felt by the residents in the area, which is fully understood. Please see Response R-K-1 regarding the lack of community quote, as well as R-O-2 regarding the portion of the quote addressing neighborhoods.

R-X-3 The comment is in reference to the proposed Subarea boundary line adjustment. Please see Responses R-O-3 and R-F-63 regarding "erasure" of the Eden Valley community, and Response R-K-2 regarding developer outreach to the community. The amendment to the EFHGCP subarea northern boundary is not proposed to avoid having to follow rules; please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis.

R-X-4 Your opposition to the Project is noted.