COMMENTS RESPONSES

From: Huston Ranch <hustonqtrhorses@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 10:49 PM

To: Sibbet, David; Chan, Michelle; Wardlaw, Mark; Fitzpatrick, Lisa

Cc: Cox, Greg; Jacob, Dianne; Gaspar, Kristin; roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov; Horn, Bill;

douglas.dill@att.net; efhgtc@gmail.com; edenvalleynews@aol.com

Subject: VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN

RE: VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN: PDS2013-SP-13-0012, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, LOG NO. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002.

To whom it may concern:

R-Z-1 I am a 15 year resident of Eden Valley and I wanted to comment on the recirculated EIR for the Valiano Specific Plan. I agree 100% with the San Dieguito Planning Group's comment letter provided and sent on 1/12/17. Great letter!

This absurd proposal marks the first attempt ever by a developer to rewrite a community's boundaries and effectively erase the very existence of a community in order to avoid having to work with and compromise with the community. Projects like this should be a two-way street. The very fact that the developer is suggesting we don't exist, and even deletes the name of Eden Valley from this revised plan shows that they are not interested in working with us.

Please note that we are an established community and we will NOT be divided. We will not allow others to rewrite our exisitng boundaries simply to avoid having to follow the same rules that we all have to follow.

I oppose the project as currently presented and hope that as elected officials you will do the right thing and protect our rights as property owners, taxpayers and citizens of San Diego County.

Best regards,

William G. Huston, USMC - Ret

1023 Country Club Drive,

Escondido, CA 92029

- R-Z-1 This is a repeat of comment R-M-1. See Response R-M-1.
- R-Z-2 The comment is in reference to the proposed Subarea boundary line adjustment. Please see Responses R-O-3 and R-F-63 regarding "erasure" of the Eden Valley community, and Response R-K-2 regarding developer outreach to the community. The amendment to the EFHGCP subarea northern boundary is not proposed to avoid having to follow rules; please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEOA Analysis.
- R-Z-3 The comment indicates that the amendment to the EFHGCP subarea northern boundary is proposed to avoid having to follow rules; please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis. Please also see Response R-V-3 regarding the Eden Valley community and R-K-1 regarding the acknowledged sense of community.
- R-Z-4 Your opposition to the Project is noted.

-