

SAN DIEGO COUNTY

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

2017 ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

**ADOLFO GONZALES,
CHIEF PROBATION
OFFICER**



This report was produced by the Research, Policy & Science Division of the San Diego County Probation Department from data that were provided by the Business Intelligence Unit. If you need other information that is not included here, please contact the Research, Policy and Science Division at PSG_Probation_RPS_Inquiries@sdcounty.ca.gov.

STATISCAL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORMAL PROBATION P. 5

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED IN 2017
AVERAGE AGE OF PROBATIONERS
PROBATIONERS BY GENDER
PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017
PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017
PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER IN 2017
PROBATIONERS BY SUPERVISION LEVEL
PROBATIONERS BY AGE GROUP
PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY
PROBATIONERS BY REGION
PROBATIONERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE
REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS BY STATIC-99 RISK LEVEL
DUI OFFENDERS BY REGION ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2017
PROBATIONERS BY ENDING STATUS
PROBATIONERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17
PROBATIONERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17
PROBATIONERS WHO TERMINATED PROBATION FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17

POST RELEASE OFFENDER (PRO) DIVISION P. 12

PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED IN 2017
AVERAGE AGE OF PRO OFFENDERS
PRO OFFENDERS BY GENDER
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER IN 2017
PRO OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION
PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
PRO OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE
PRO OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17
PRO OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17

STATISCAL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRO DIVISION- POST RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION (PRCS) CLIENTS P. 17

- PRCS CLIENTS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
- PRCS CLIENTS SUPERVISED IN 2017
- AVERAGE AGE OF PRCS CLIENTS
- PRCS CLIENTS BY GENDER
- PRCS CLIENTS BY ETHNICITY
- PRCS CLIENTS BY REGION
- PRCS CLIENTS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
- PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
- PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
- PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
- PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
- PRCS CLIENTS BY CRIME TYPE
- PRCS CLIENTS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17
- PRCS CLIENTS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17

PRO DIVISION- MANDATORY SUPERVISION (MS) CLIENTS P. 21

- MS CLIENTS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
- MS CLIENTS SUPERVISED IN 2017
- AVERAGE AGE OF MS CLIENTS
- MS CLIENTS BY GENDER
- MS CLIENTS BY ETHNICITY
- MS CLIENTS BY REGION
- MS CLIENTS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
- MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
- MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
- MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
- MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
- MS CLIENTS BY CRIME TYPE
- MS CLIENTS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17
- MS CLIENTS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17

STATISCAL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES P. 25

WARDS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
WARDS SUPERVISED IN 2017
NON-WARDS SUPERVISED ON 12/31/2017
NON-WARDS SUPERVISED IN 2017
WARDS AND NON-WARDS SUPERVISED BY GENDER
JUVENILES SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017
JUVENILES SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017
JUVENILES SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER 2012-2017
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY GENDER
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY REGION
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY ETHNICITY
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY AGE GROUP
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
WARDS/NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
WARDS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17
WARDS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17
WARDS WHO LEFT PROBATION FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17

JUVENILE REFERRALS AND PETITIONS P. 32

JUVENILE REFERRALS FILED IN 2017
JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS IN 2017
JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED IN 2017
JUVENILES WITH PETITIONS IN 2017
JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS AND PETITIONS IN 2017 BY GENDER
JUVENILE REFERRALS BY MONTH 2017
JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS BY ETHNICITY
JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS BY REGION
JUVENILE REFERRALS BY CRIME TYPE
TOP 10 AGENCIES WITH REFERRALS TO PROBATION
OUTCOME OF REFERRALS
JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED 2012-2017
JUVENILES WITH PETITIONS BY ETHNICITY
JUVENILES WITH PETITIONS BY REGION
JUVENILE PETITIONS BY CRIME TYPE
DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED
PETITIONS FOUND TRUE BY CRIME TYPE

STATISCAL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES P. 37

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017

BOOKINGS THROUGHOUT 2017

YOUTH RELEASED IN CY 2017 WITHIN 72 HOURS

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY GENDER

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY GENDER AND FACILITY

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY ETHNICITY AND FACILITY

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY HOME REGION AND FACILITY

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE AND FACILITY

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY AVERAGE AGE AND FACILITY

AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ADA) BY MONTH IN 2017

FACILITIES

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION

Probationers Supervised on 12/31/2017:

9,101

Probationers Supervised in CY 2017:

13,725

Average Age of Probationers:


36

Gender	Probationers Supervised in 2017	Percent
Male 	10,660	78%
Female 	3,065	22%


ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION


PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017



PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017



PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER IN 2017



Starting in 2014, individuals were counted under their highest supervision type according to the following hierarchy: MS > PRCS > Formal Probation. This count represents a distinct individual count. Prior years' counts may have included counts of individuals with multiple grants of supervision.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS BY SUPERVISION LEVEL

SUPERVISION LEVEL	TOTAL	
High	4,330	33%
Medium	3,249	24%
Low	5,508	42%
Prop 36	76	1%
TOTAL	13,163	100%

PROBATIONERS BY AGE GROUP

AGE GROUP	TOTAL	
18-24 Years	2,553	19%
25-34 Years	5,028	37%
35-44 Years	2,926	21%
Over 45 Years	3,218	23%
TOTAL	13,725	100%

PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	2,128	16%
Asian	517	4%
Hispanic	4,957	36%
White	5,632	41%
Other	470	3%
TOTAL	13,704	100%

PROBATIONERS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	2,697	25%
East	1,760	16%
North	4,589	43%
South	1,741	16%
TOTAL	10,787	100%

*Out-of-county and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	728	27%	265	15%	399	9%	180	10%	1,572	15%
Asian	145	5%	34	2%	182	4%	77	5%	438	4%
Hispanic	1,000	37%	439	25%	1,447	31%	1,059	61%	3,945	36%
White	749	28%	938	53%	2,367	52%	383	22%	4,437	41%
Other	73	3%	80	5%	186	4%	39	2%	378	4%
TOTAL	2,695	100%	1,756	100%	4,581	100%	1,738	100%	10,770	100%

PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	372	28%	927	28%	1,320	23%	78	21%	2,697	25%
East	242	18%	548	17%	898	15%	72	20%	1,760	16%
North	523	39%	1,264	39%	2,641	45%	161	43%	4,589	43%
South	196	15%	511	16%	974	17%	60	16%	1,741	16%
TOTAL	1,333	100%	3,250	100%	5,833	100%	371	100%	10,787	100%

PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
18-24 Years	581	30%	1,056	25%	858	12%	58	13%	2,553	19%
25-34 Years	789	40%	1,583	38%	2,489	35%	167	37%	5,028	37%
35-44 Years	345	18%	815	19%	1,663	23%	103	23%	2,926	21%
Over 45 Years	248	12%	745	18%	2,107	30%	118	27%	3,218	23%
TOTAL	1,963	100%	4,199	100%	7,117	100%	446	100%	13,725	100%

*Out-of-county and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
African-American	414	21%	794	19%	871	12%	49	11%	2,128	16%
Asian	39	2%	125	3%	340	5%	13	3%	517	4%
Hispanic	738	38%	1,562	37%	2,511	35%	146	33%	4,957	36%
White	710	36%	1,570	37%	3,128	44%	224	50%	5,632	41%
Other	61	3%	147	4%	250	4%	12	3%	470	3%
TOTAL	1,962	100%	4,198	100%	7,100	100%	444	100%	13,704	100%

PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Male	1,601	82%	3,330	79%	5,410	76%	319	72%	10,660	78%
Female	362	18%	869	21%	1,707	24%	127	28%	3,065	22%
TOTAL	1,963	100%	4,199	100%	7,117	100%	446	100%	13,725	100%

PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	4,219	33%
Crime Against Property	3,974	31%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	3,806	30%
Weapons Offense	75	1%
Other	634	5%
TOTAL	12,708	100%


9 *Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION

REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS BY STATIC-99 RISK LEVEL

STATIC 99 RISK LEVEL	TOTAL	
High	36	10%
Medium	82	24%
Low	232	66%
TOTAL	350	100%




DUI OFFENDERS BY REGION ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	111	15%
East	115	15%
North	419	56%
South	107	14%
TOTAL	752	100%

PROBATIONERS BY ENDING STATUS

ENDING STATUS	TOTAL	
Grant Expiration	1,952	39%
Grant Terminated	1,191	24%
Revoked to Prison	883	18%
Revoked to Local Prison	682	14%
Revoked to Summary Probation	176	4%
Deceased	50	1%
Prop 47 Reduction	8	0%
TOTAL	4,942	100%




*Out-of-county and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.
Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.


ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATION


PROBATIONERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



PROBATIONERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



PROBATIONERS WHO TERMINATED PROBATION FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO) - PRCS AND MS COMBINED

PRO Offenders Supervised on 12/31/2017:
2,384

PRO Offenders Supervised in CY 2017:
4,572


Average Age of PRO Offenders:
39

Gender	PRO Offenders Supervised in 2017	Percent
Male 	3,960	87%
Female 	612	13%


ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO) - PRCS AND MS COMBINED


PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017



PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017



PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER IN 2017



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO) - PRCS AND MS COMBINED

PRO OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	1,014	22%
Asian	139	3%
Hispanic	1,625	36%
White	1,648	36%
Other	142	3%
TOTAL	4,568	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	958	28%
East	678	19%
North	1,104	32%
South	749	21%
TOTAL	3,489	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	308	32%	150	22%	136	12%	142	19%	736	21%
Asian	40	4%	10	1%	40	4%	25	3%	115	4%
Hispanic	357	37%	168	25%	364	33%	374	50%	1,263	36%
White	233	25%	318	47%	520	47%	195	26%	1,266	36%
Other	20	2%	31	5%	43	4%	12	2%	106	3%
TOTAL	958	100%	677	100%	1,103	100%	748	100%	3,486	100%

*Out-of-county and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.
Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO) - PRCS AND MS COMBINED

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	310	26%	450	29%	187	26%	11	23%	958	27%
East	219	18%	301	20%	152	22%	6	13%	678	19%
North	403	34%	485	31%	199	28%	17	35%	1,104	32%
South	258	22%	304	20%	173	24%	14	29%	749	22%
TOTAL	1,190	100%	1,540	100%	711	100%	48	100%	3,489	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
18-24 Years	146	9%	123	6%	65	8%	5	6%	339	7%
25-34 Years	658	40%	564	28%	221	26%	21	24%	1,464	32%
35-44 Years	489	30%	598	30%	220	26%	25	28%	1,332	29%
Over 45 Years	340	21%	717	36%	343	40%	37	42%	1,437	32%
TOTAL	1,633	100%	2,002	100%	849	100%	88	100%	4,572	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
African-American	410	25%	480	24%	106	13%	18	21%	1,014	22%
Asian	40	2%	64	3%	33	4%	2	2%	139	3%
Hispanic	585	36%	661	33%	341	40%	38	44%	1,625	36%
White	554	34%	729	37%	339	40%	26	30%	1,648	36%
Other	44	3%	68	3%	27	3%	3	3%	142	3%
TOTAL	1,633	100%	2,002	100%	846	100%	87	100%	4,568	100%

*Out-of-county and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO) - PRCS AND MS COMBINED


PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Male	1,431	88%	1,778	89%	673	79%	78	89%	3,960	87%
Female	202	12%	224	11%	176	21%	10	11%	612	13%
TOTAL	1,633	100%	2,002	100%	849	100%	88	100%	4,572	100%


PRO OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	%
Crime Against Person	1,439	33%
Crime Against Property	1,001	23%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	1,759	40%
Weapons Offense	58	1%
Other	128	3%
TOTAL	4,385	100%

PRO OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17



PRO OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17



*Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (PRCS)

PRCS Clients Supervised on 12/31/2017:

1,682

PRCS Clients Supervised in CY 2017:

3,241

Average Age of PRCS clients:

39

Gender	PRCS Clients Supervised in 2017	Percent
<p>Male</p> 	<p>2,956</p>	<p>91%</p>
<p>Female</p> 	<p>285</p>	<p>9%</p>

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (PRCS)

PRCS CLIENTS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	825	25%
Asian	81	3%
Hispanic	1,134	35%
White	1,094	34%
Other	103	3%
TOTAL	3,237	100%

PRCS CLIENTS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	646	27%
East	489	21%
North	737	31%
South	501	21%
TOTAL	2,373	100%

PRCS CLIENTS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	247	38%	121	25%	95	13%	116	23%	579	24%
Asian	20	3%	9	2%	21	3%	15	3%	65	3%
Hispanic	237	37%	113	23%	269	36%	235	47%	854	36%
White	134	21%	223	46%	314	43%	127	26%	798	34%
Other	8	1%	22	4%	37	5%	7	1%	74	3%
TOTAL	646	100%	488	100%	736	100%	500	100%	2,370	100%

PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	209	25%	326	29%	100	27%	11	25%	646	27%
East	162	19%	229	21%	92	24%	6	14%	489	21%
North	262	32%	352	31%	109	29%	14	33%	737	31%
South	196	24%	216	19%	77	20%	12	28%	501	21%
TOTAL	829	100%	1,123	100%	378	100%	43	100%	2,373	100%

*Out-of-county and transient clients not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST-RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (PRCS)

PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
18-24 Years	101	8%	79	5%	18	4%	3	4%	201	6%
25-34 Years	469	39%	431	29%	116	26%	21	25%	1,037	32%
35-44 Years	366	31%	457	30%	122	27%	23	28%	968	30%
Over 45 Years	257	22%	547	36%	196	43%	35	43%	1,035	32%
TOTAL	1,193	100%	1,514	100%	452	100%	82	100%	3,241	100%

PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
African-American	322	27%	404	27%	81	18%	18	22%	825	25%
Asian	27	2%	39	3%	13	3%	2	2%	81	3%
Hispanic	439	37%	506	33%	155	34%	34	42%	1,134	35%
White	374	31%	513	34%	183	41%	24	30%	1,094	34%
Other	31	3%	52	3%	17	4%	3	4%	103	3%
TOTAL	1,193	100%	1,514	100%	449	100%	81	100%	3,237	100%

PRCS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*


GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Male	1,079	90%	1,407	93%	397	88%	73	89%	2,956	91%
Female	114	10%	107	7%	55	12%	9	11%	285	9%
TOTAL	1,193	100%	1,514	100%	452	100%	82	100%	3,241	100%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES


POST-RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (PRCS)

PRCS CLIENTS BY CRIME TYPE


CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	1,362	44%
Crime Against Property	643	21%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	917	30%
Weapons Offense	52	1%
Other	117	4%
TOTAL	3,091	100%



PRCS CLIENTS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17



PRCS CLIENTS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

MANDATORY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (MS)

MS Clients Supervised on 12/31/2017:

702

MS Clients Supervised in CY 2017:

1,331

Average Age of MS clients:

39

Gender	MS Clients Supervised in 2017	Percent
<p>Male</p> 	<p>1,004</p>	<p>75%</p>
<p>Female</p> 	<p>327</p>	<p>25%</p>

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

MANDATORY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (MS)

MS CLIENTS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	189	14%
Asian	58	4%
Hispanic	491	37%
White	554	42%
Other	39	3%
TOTAL	1,331	100%

MS CLIENTS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	312	28%
East	189	17%
North	367	33%
South	248	22%
TOTAL	1,116	100%

MS CLIENTS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	61	20%	29	15%	41	11%	26	11%	157	14%
Asian	20	6%	1	1%	19	5%	10	4%	50	4%
Hispanic	120	38%	55	29%	95	26%	139	56%	409	37%
White	99	32%	95	50%	206	56%	68	27%	468	42%
Other	12	4%	9	5%	6	2%	5	2%	32	3%
TOTAL	312	100%	189	100%	367	100%	248	100%	1,116	100%

MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	101	28%	124	30%	87	26%	-	-	312	28%
East	57	16%	72	17%	60	18%	-	-	189	17%
North	141	39%	133	32%	90	27%	3	60%	367	33%
South	62	17%	88	21%	96	29%	2	40%	248	22%
TOTAL	361	100%	417	100%	333	100%	5	100%	1,116	100%

*Out-of-county and transient clients not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) were adjusted in 2017.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

MANDATORY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (MS)

MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
18-24 Years	45	10%	44	9%	47	12%	2	33%	138	10%
25-34 Years	189	43%	133	27%	105	26%	-	-	427	32%
35-44 Years	123	28%	141	29%	98	25%	2	33%	364	28%
Over 45 Years	83	19%	170	35%	147	37%	2	33%	402	30%
TOTAL	440	100%	488	100%	397	100%	6	99%	1,331	100%

MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
African-American	88	20%	76	16%	25	6%	-	-	189	14%
Asian	13	3%	25	5%	20	5%	-	-	58	4%
Hispanic	146	33%	155	32%	186	47%	4	67%	491	37%
White	180	41%	216	44%	156	39%	2	33%	554	42%
Other	13	3%	16	3%	10	3%	-	-	39	3%
TOTAL	440	100%	488	100%	397	100%	6	100%	1,331	100%

MS CLIENTS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*


GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Male	352	80%	371	76%	276	70%	5	83%	1,004	75%
Female	88	20%	117	24%	121	30%	1	17%	327	25%
TOTAL	440	100%	488	100%	397	100%	6	100%	1,331	100%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES


MANDATORY SUPERVISION CLIENTS (MS)

MS CLIENTS BY CRIME TYPE


CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	77	6%
Crime Against Property	358	28%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	842	65%
Weapons Offense	6	0%
Other	11	1%
TOTAL	1,294	100%



MS CLIENTS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 16-17



MS CLIENTS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 16-17



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION


<p>Wards Supervised on 12/31/2017: 1,620</p> <p>Wards Supervised in CY 2017: 2,713</p> <p>Non-Wards Supervised on 12/31/2017: 121</p> <p>Non-Wards Supervised in CY 2017: 555</p>

Gender	Wards and Non-Wards Supervised in 2017	Percent
<p>Male</p> 	2,561	78%
<p>Female</p> 	707	22%


JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION


JUVENILES SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF THE YEAR 2012-2017



JUVENILES SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR 2012-2017



JUVENILES SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER 2012-2017



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION

WARDS BY GENDER

GENDER	TOTAL	
Male	2,162	80%
Female	551	20%
TOTAL	2,713	100%

WARDS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	535	23%
East	450	19%
North	1,031	43%
South	357	15%
TOTAL	2,373	100%

WARDS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	501	19%
Asian	53	2%
Hispanic	1,448	53%
White	596	22%
Other	111	4%
TOTAL	2,709	100%

WARDS BY AGE GROUP

AGE GROUP	TOTAL	
Under 15 Years	150	5%
15-16 Years	671	25%
17-18 Years	1,326	49%
Over 18 Years	566	21%
TOTAL	2,713	100%

WARDS BY RISK LEVEL*

ASSESSED RISK LEVEL	TOTAL	
High	1,231	45%
Medium	801	30%
Low	660	24%
Not Assessed	21	1%
TOTAL	2,713	100%

NON-WARDS BY GENDER

GENDER	TOTAL	
Male	399	72%
Female	156	28%
TOTAL	555	100%

NON-WARDS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	79	15%
East	117	23%
North	233	45%
South	86	17%
TOTAL	515	100%

NON-WARDS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	75	14%
Asian	19	3%
Hispanic	255	46%
White	173	31%
Other	31	6%
TOTAL	553	100%

NON-WARDS BY AGE GROUP

AGE GROUP	TOTAL	
Under 15 Years	72	13%
15-16 Years	152	27%
17-18 Years	255	46%
Over 18 Years	76	14%
TOTAL	555	100%

NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL*

ASSESSED RISK LEVEL	TOTAL	
High	35	6%
Medium	51	9%
Low	50	9%
Not Assessed	419	76%
TOTAL	555	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION

WARDS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	173	32%	112	25%	105	10%	35	10%	425	18%
Asian	9	2%	5	1%	23	2%	14	4%	51	2%
Hispanic	305	57%	162	36%	560	55%	254	71%	1,281	54%
White	37	7%	138	31%	292	28%	45	13%	512	22%
Other	11	2%	33	7%	48	5%	9	2%	101	4%
TOTAL	535	100%	450	100%	1,028	100%	357	100%	2,370	100%

NON-WARDS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		NORTH		SOUTH		TOTAL	
African-American	22	28%	17	15%	20	9%	9	11%	68	13%
Asian	6	8%	5	4%	5	2%	2	2%	18	4%
Hispanic	46	58%	37	32%	96	42%	59	69%	238	46%
White	4	5%	47	40%	95	41%	15	17%	161	31%
Other	1	1%	11	9%	15	6%	1	1%	28	6%
TOTAL	79	100%	117	100%	231	100%	86	100%	513	100%

WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	272	26%	161	22%	99	17%	3	19%	535	23%
East	214	20%	141	20%	93	16%	2	12%	450	19%
North	436	41%	314	43%	274	48%	7	44%	1,031	43%
South	137	13%	109	15%	107	19%	4	25%	357	15%
TOTAL	1,059	100%	725	100%	573	100%	16	100%	2,373	100%

NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Central	3	9%	9	18%	8	16%	59	15%	79	15%
East	12	35%	15	29%	11	22%	79	21%	117	23%
North	14	41%	18	35%	23	46%	178	47%	233	45%
South	5	15%	9	18%	8	16%	64	17%	86	17%
TOTAL	34	100%	51	100%	50	100%	380	100%	515	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the San Diego Risk and Resiliency Checkup (SDRRC-II) were adjusted.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION

WARDS JUVENILES BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Under 15 Years	57	5%	69	9%	24	4%	-	-	150	5%
15-16 Years	224	18%	257	32%	186	28%	4	19%	671	25%
17-18 Years	578	47%	368	46%	368	56%	12	57%	1,326	49%
Over 18 Years	372	30%	107	13%	82	12%	5	24%	566	21%
TOTAL	1,231	100%	801	100%	660	100%	21	100%	2,713	100%

NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP*

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Under 15 Years	4	11%	5	10%	10	20%	53	13%	72	13%
15-16 Years	12	34%	13	25%	12	24%	115	27%	152	27%
17-18 Years	17	49%	30	59%	20	40%	188	45%	255	46%
Over 18 Years	2	6%	3	6%	8	16%	63	15%	76	14%
TOTAL	35	100%	51	100%	50	100%	419	100%	555	100%

WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Male	1,005	82%	638	80%	503	76%	16	76%	2,162	80%
Female	226	18%	163	20%	157	24%	5	24%	551	20%
TOTAL	1,231	100%	801	100%	660	100%	21	100%	2,713	100%

NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER*

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
Male	23	66%	28	55%	35	70%	313	75%	399	72%
Female	12	34%	23	45%	15	30%	106	25%	156	28%
TOTAL	35	100%	51	100%	50	100%	419	100%	555	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

29 Cut-points for determining high and medium risk using the San Diego Risk and Resiliency Checkup (SDRRC-II) were adjusted.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION

WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
African-American	279	23%	128	16%	86	13%	8	38%	501	19%
Asian	21	2%	18	2%	13	2%	1	5%	53	2%
Hispanic	682	55%	440	55%	319	49%	7	33%	1,448	53%
White	207	17%	180	23%	204	31%	5	24%	596	22%
Other	41	3%	35	4%	35	5%	-	-	111	4%
TOTAL	1,230	100%	801	100%	657	100%	21	100%	2,709	100%


NON-WARDS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
African-American	3	9%	7	14%	8	16%	57	14%	75	14%
Asian	-	-	1	2%	2	4%	16	4%	19	3%
Hispanic	20	57%	24	47%	25	51%	186	44%	255	46%
White	11	31%	14	27%	12	25%	136	32%	173	31%
Other	1	3%	5	10%	2	4%	23	6%	31	6%
TOTAL	35	100%	51	100%	49	100%	418	100%	553	100%


JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

SUPERVISION


WARDS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



WARD RECIDIVISM RATE FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



WARDS WHO LEFT PROBATION FY 12-13 TO FY 16-17



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

REFERRALS & PETITIONS

Juvenile Referrals Filed in 2017:
4,425

Juveniles with Referrals in 2017:
3,538

Juvenile Petitions Filed in 2017:
1,716


Juveniles with Petitions in 2017:
1,570

Gender	Juveniles with Referrals in 2017	Juveniles with Petitions in 2017
Male 	2,641	1,190
Female 	897	380

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

REFERRALS & PETITIONS

JUVENILE REFERRALS BY MONTH 2017



JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	482	15%
Asian	94	3%
Hispanic	1,656	52%
White	815	25%
Other	167	5%
TOTAL	3,214	100%

JUVENILES WITH REFERRALS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	627	21%
East	461	16%
North	1,371	47%
South	484	16%
TOTAL	2,943	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

REFERRALS & PETITIONS

JUVENILE REFERRALS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME CATEGORY	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	1,332	33%
Crime Against Property	921	23%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	741	19%
Status Offense	354	9%
Weapons Offense	39	1%
Other	593	15%
TOTAL	3,980	100%

TOP 10 AGENCIES WITH REFERRALS TO PROBATION

REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL
SD Sheriff's Department	1,196
SD Police Department	1,041
Escondido Police Department	331
Chula Vista Police Department	311
Oceanside Police Department	263
SD Unified School District Police Department	185
Metropolitan Transit	151
El Cajon Police Department	123
Carlsbad Police Department	121
National City Police Department	89


OUTCOME OF REFERRALS

OUTCOME	TOTAL	
Counsel/Close	986	26%
DA/Court Action	2,264	61%
Dismissed	96	3%
Diverted by Probation	-	-
Other	393	10%
TOTAL	3,739	100%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

REFERRALS & PETITIONS

JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED 2012- 2017



JUVENILES WITH PETITIONS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	TOTAL	
African-American	246	19%
Asian	30	2%
Hispanic	711	53%
White	285	21%
Other	61	5%
TOTAL	1,333	100%

JUVENILES WITH PETITIONS BY REGION*

REGION	TOTAL	
Central	242	20%
East	221	19%
North	526	45%
South	191	16%
TOTAL	1,180	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

REFERRALS & PETITIONS

JUVENILE PETITIONS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME CATEGORY	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	717	49%
Crime Against Property	506	35%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	135	9%
Status Offense	3	0%
Weapons Offense	25	2%
Other	66	5%
TOTAL	1,452	100%

DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED

DISPOSITION	TOTAL	
Admitted/Found True	1,156	76%
Dismissed	358	24%
TOTAL	1,514	100%

PETITIONS FOUND TRUE BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME CATEGORY	TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	496	49%
Crime Against Property	323	32%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	116	12%
Status Offense	7	1%
Weapons Offense	16	2%
Other	42	4%
TOTAL	1,000	100%

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.
Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

JUVENILE INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

Youth in an Institution on 12/31/2017:

327

Bookings throughout CY 2017:

3,041

Youth Released in CY 2017 within 72 hours:

448

Gender	Youth in an Institution on 12/31/2017	Percent
<p>Male</p> 	<p>275</p>	<p>84%</p>
<p>Female</p> 	<p>52</p>	<p>16%</p>

JUVENILE INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY GENDER AND FACILITY

FACILITY	MALE	FEMALE
CB	73	-
EMJDF	116	-
GRF	-	21
KMJDF	86	31
TOTAL	275	52

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY ETHNICITY AND FACILITY

FACILITY	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	ASIAN	HISPANIC	WHITE	OTHER	TOTAL
CB	18	-	42	8	3	71
EMJDF	23	4	71	14	4	116
GRF	1	-	14	4	2	21
KMJDF	18	3	66	20	5	112
TOTAL	60	7	193	46	14	320

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY HOME REGION AND FACILITY*

FACILITY	CENTRAL	EAST	NORTH	SOUTH	TOTAL
CB	25	14	21	8	68
EMJDF	24	21	49	14	108
GRF	2	4	11	3	20
KMJDF	27	9	51	9	96
TOTAL	78	48	132	34	292

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE AND FACILITY

FACILITY	CRIME AGAINST PERSON	CRIME AGAINST PROPERTY	DRUG OFFENSE	WEAPONS OFFENSE	OTHER	TOTAL
CB	31	23	6	-	3	63
EMJDF	60	28	4	-	4	96
GRF	6	8	2	-	1	17
KMJDF	48	40	6	1	5	100
TOTAL	145	99	18	1	13	276

*Out-of-county and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.
Regional boundary classifications changed in 2017.

JUVENILE INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

YOUTH IN AN INSTITUTION ON 12/31/2017 BY AVERAGE AGE AND FACILITY

FACILITY	AVERAGE AGE
CB	16
EMJDF	17
GRF	16
KMJDF	16

AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ADA) BY MONTH IN 2017

MONTH	KMJDF	EMJDF	CB	GRF
January	139	115	75	22
February	144	119	80	20
March	159	126	82	20
April	146	132	85	25
May	134	127	88	25
June	128	136	78	25
July	104	152	81	24
August	117	134	85	22
September	106	130	87	20
October	110	129	76	22
November	121	142	65	21
December	119	133	76	22
ADA Year	127	131	80	22

FACILITIES

ABBREVIATION	FACILITY NAME
CB	Camp Barrett
EMJDF	East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility
GRF	Girls Rehabilitation Facility
KMJDF	Kearny Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility