# 2021 County of San Diego Independent Redistricting Commission Final Report Template

FINAL REPORT SECTIONS	MILESTONES
1. INTRODUCTION	Include in partial draft for 10/14 IRC Meeting

- Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) overview and responsibilities (from education tour presentations)
- Overview of redistricting criteria and legal requirements
- High-level overview of activities, including public hearings (detailed explanations will be in subsequent sections)
- High-level overview of final map adoption

Inputs: Data and metrics from ABASD on public hearings

DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# 2. OUTREACH PLAN AND EFFORTS

Include in partial draft for 12/2 IRC Meeting

 Overview of public outreach efforts including, but not limited to media campaigns, interpretation services, translation of materials, and online mapping tools

Inputs: Data and metrics from ABASD and FLO Analytics DRAFTING TEAM: SPOCs, OFFICERS, ABASD, STAFF

# 3. MEETINGS AND TESTIMONY

Include in partial draft for 11/12 IRC Meeting

- Overview of IRC Regular Meetings and IRC Special Meetings
  - o Include # of meetings, locations, attendance, # of public speakers, # of public comments, and any other testimony that may have been received
- Overview of Pre-Mapping Public Hearings (PMPHs)
  - o Include # of PMPHs, locations, attendance, # of public speakers, # of public comments, other testimony that may have been received, COIs that were identified
- Overview of Draft Map Public Hearings (DMPH)
  - o Include # of DMPHs, locations, attendance, # of public speakers, # of public comments, other testimony that may have been received, public maps and partial maps that were presented
- Summary of communications received (emails, letters, proposed maps, etc.)

Inputs: Data and metrics from ABASD and FLO Analytics

DRAFTING TEAM: SPOCs, OFFICERS, ABASD, FLO, STAFF

#### 4. THE FINAL PLAN

Include in final draft for 12/9 IRC Meeting
Final text in final report for IRC approval 12/14

- Overview of the Final Map being submitted
- Total population and deviation
- Overview of process for analyzing statistics
- Demographics for the districts

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, VRA COUNSEL, OFFICERS, FLO, STAFF

# 4A. DISTRICT 1

# Include in final draft for 12/9 IRC Meeting Final text in final report for IRC approval 12/14

# CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED NEIGHBORHOODS

• List of cities (or partial cities) and unincorporated neighborhoods in the district

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Demographics including total population, deviation, race/ethnicity, historical data

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **REASONABLY EQUAL POPULATION**

Describe how the elections code criterion for reasonably equal populations was met

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **COMPLIANCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for compliance with Voting Rights Act was met

Inputs: Feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: VRA COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **CONTIGUITY**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographically contiguous districts was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# **COI INTEGRITY**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographic integrity of cities, local neighborhoods, or COIs was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

#### **GEOGRAPHICAL COMPACTNESS**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographical compactness was met such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant areas of population

DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

# PLACE OF RESIDENCE OF INCUBMENTS

 Describe how elections code criterion stating that districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party was met

DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **UNINCORPORATED AREA**

Report of the percent of the district that is unincorporated area

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR ADOPTION

• Overview of IRC's goals and intentions for the district. May include but is not limited to discussion of specific justification(s) based on redistricting criteria

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# 4B. DISTRICT 2

Include in final draft for 12/9 IRC Meeting
Final text in final report for IRC approval 12/14

# CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED NEIGHBORHOODS

List of cities (or partial cities) and unincorporated neighborhoods in the district

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Demographics including total population, deviation, race/ethnicity, historical data

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **REASONABLY EQUAL POPULATION**

Describe how the elections code criterion for reasonably equal populations was met

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **COMPLIANCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for compliance with Voting Rights Act was met

Inputs: Feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: VRA COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **CONTIGUITY**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographically contiguous districts was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# **COI INTEGRITY**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographic integrity of cities, local neighborhoods, or COIs was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

#### **GEOGRAPHICAL COMPACTNESS**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographical compactness was met such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant areas of population

DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

# PLACE OF RESIDENCE OF INCUBMENTS

 Describe how elections code criterion stating that districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party was met

DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **UNINCORPORATED AREA**

Report of the percent of the district that is unincorporated area

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR ADOPTION

• Overview of IRC's goals and intentions for the district. May include but is not limited to discussion of specific justification(s) based on redistricting criteria

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **4C. DISTRICT 3**

# Include in final draft for 12/9 IRC Meeting Final text in final report for IRC approval 12/14

# CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED NEIGHBORHOODS

List of cities (or partial cities) and unincorporated neighborhoods in the district

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Demographics including total population, deviation, race/ethnicity, historical data

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# REASONABLY EQUAL POPULATION

Describe how the elections code criterion for reasonably equal populations was met

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **COMPLIANCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for compliance with Voting Rights Act was met

Inputs: Feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: VRA COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **CONTIGUITY**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographically contiguous districts was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# **COI INTEGRITY**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographic integrity of cities, local neighborhoods, or COIs was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

#### **GEOGRAPHICAL COMPACTNESS**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographical compactness was met such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant areas of population

DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

# PLACE OF RESIDENCE OF INCUBMENTS

 Describe how elections code criterion stating that districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party was met

DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **UNINCORPORATED AREA**

Report of the percent of the district that is unincorporated area

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR ADOPTION

• Overview of IRC's goals and intentions for the district. May include but is not limited to discussion of specific justification(s) based on redistricting criteria

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED NEIGHBORHOODS

List of cities (or partial cities) and unincorporated neighborhoods in the district

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Demographics including total population, deviation, race/ethnicity, historical data

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **REASONABLY EQUAL POPULATION**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for reasonably equal populations was met

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **COMPLIANCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for compliance with Voting Rights Act was met

Inputs: Feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: VRA COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **CONTIGUITY**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographically contiguous districts was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# **COI INTEGRITY**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographic integrity of cities, local neighborhoods, or COIs was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

#### **GEOGRAPHICAL COMPACTNESS**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographical compactness was met such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant areas of population

DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

# PLACE OF RESIDENCE OF INCUBMENTS

 Describe how elections code criterion stating that districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party was met DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **UNINCORPORATED AREA**

Report of the percent of the district that is unincorporated area

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR ADOPTION

• Overview of IRC's goals and intentions for the district. May include but is not limited to discussion of specific justification(s) based on redistricting criteria

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED NEIGHBORHOODS

List of cities (or partial cities) and unincorporated neighborhoods in the district

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Demographics including total population, deviation, race/ethnicity, historical data

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **REASONABLY EQUAL POPULATION**

Describe how the elections code criterion for reasonably equal populations was met

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# **COMPLIANCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

• Describe how the elections code criterion for compliance with Voting Rights Act was met

Inputs: Feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: VRA COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# **CONTIGUITY**

 Describe how elections code criterion for geographically contiguous districts was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS, COUNSEL

# **COI INTEGRITY**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographic integrity of cities, local neighborhoods, or COIs was met DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

#### **GEOGRAPHICAL COMPACTNESS**

• Describe how elections code criterion for geographical compactness was met such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant areas of population

DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC, OFFICERS

# PLACE OF RESIDENCE OF INCUBMENTS

DRAFTING TEAM: STAFF, COUNSEL, OFFICERS

 Describe how elections code criterion stating that districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party was met

# UNINCORPORATED AREA

Report of the percent of the district that is unincorporated area

Inputs: Data from FLO Analytics
DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, SPOC

# FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR ADOPTION

• Overview of IRC's goals and intentions for the district. May include but is not limited to discussion of specific justification(s) based on redistricting criteria

DRAFTING TEAM: COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# 5. CONCLUSION

Include in final report for 12/14

• Results of IRC vote to adopt final plan

Inputs: Feedback from Clerk of the Board and IRC Staff

**DRAFTING TEAM: OFFICERS** 

# 6. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL PLAN

Include in draft for 11/12

• Legal description of when the plan shall take effect and any referendum period

Inputs: feedback from General Counsel

DRAFTING TEAM: GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICERS

# 7. CLOSING

Include in draft for 12/2

• Brief paragraph thanking the public for participation

**DRAFTING TEAM: OFFICERS** 

8. APPENDICES  DRAFTING TEAM: FLO, STAFF, SPOC, OFFICERS	
Map of final adopted plan (all County and each district)  Inputs: Content from FLO Analytics and input from General Counsel	Include in final report for 12/14
IRC resolution of adoption     Inputs: Content from FLO Analytics and input from     General Counsel	Include in final report for 12/14
List of Census blocks and tracts in each district (if not included in resolution)     Inputs: Content from FLO Analytics and input from General Counsel	Include in final report for 12/14
Population and demographics report (all County and each district)     Inputs: Content from FLO Analytics and input from General Counsel	Include in final report for 12/14
Link to website/online map archive	Include in final draft for 12/9