

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** AEMAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, November 1, 2021 7:58 AM  
**To:** Potter, Andrew; Fang, Angela; Donnelly, Liberty; Temple, Nicole; Flores, Lauren; COSD, Redistricting; Hall, David; Lau, Chim; Villa, Nicole; Van Wagner, Keith  
**Subject:** IRC eComment

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

You've received a new form based mail from  
<https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/redistricting/IRCecomment.html>.

Values:

First\_Name :  
Greg

Last\_Name :  
Doud

E-mail :  
[REDACTED]

Phone :  
[REDACTED]

eComment :

Part of the problem is that public comments reference maps numbers which we know numbers and content of maps are changing so it's like trying to hit a moving target. Not sure if this is done intentionally to confuse and deflect? Certainly mixing coastal and inland communities is a recipe for disaster as we know each has different priorities/issues. Living in Fallbrook, I am against trying to map us with coastal communities.

I also am disturbed that some of the direction given by board members like: "If more population is needed, go to the southern portion of District 5 in the map and pick up more residents including Ramona and Julian." That seems like a very random, un-thoughtout approach to making decisions. I thought this was supposed to be more scientific/data centric in how decisions/boundaries are made? I would hope more care in decisions is made than this example. Your decisions impact the lives and communities of many people, and residents in the rural areas need to retain a more unified voice and not one that would have to try balance that with areas so dissimilar in demographics.

Submit :  
Submit