

**From:** [AEMAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:AEMAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov)  
**To:** [Potter, Andrew](#); [Fang, Angela](#); [Donnelly, Liberty](#); [Temple, Nicole](#); [Flores, Lauren](#); [COSD, Redistricting](#); [Hall, David](#); [Lau, Chim](#); [Villa, Nicole](#); [Van Wagner, Keith](#)  
**Subject:** IRC eComment  
**Date:** Thursday, December 2, 2021 9:03:44 PM

---

You've received a new form based mail from  
<https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/redistricting/IRCEcomment.html>.

Values:

First\_Name :  
Comments On Revised

Last\_Name :  
Public Submitted Maps

E-mail :  


Phone :

eComment :

From an East County resident perspective only:

- Item 8.1: Public Submitted Map 11 – Revised: Combines areas completely different than one another North to South in social interest that are incredibly far away in distance, in violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 21 of the Elections Code criteria (5) on “distance”. Camp Pendleton to Valley Center approximate area is particularly distant to the rest, and seems more a fit with proposed District 5 (Oceanside, Vista, etc).
- Item 8.1: Public Submitted Map 12 – Revised: Only issue is the inclusion of urban areas unrelated in social interest that are west of Santee/El Cajon, and west of Poway. To give those areas to another proposed district would probably fix that 6.2% population deviation easily.
- Item 8.1: Public Submitted Map 13 Revised: Combines areas completely different than one another North to South in social interest that are incredibly far away in distance, in violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 21 of the Elections Code criteria (5) on “distance”. Fallbrook to Escondido approximate area is particularly distant to the rest, and seems more a fit with proposed District 5 (Oceanside, Vista, etc). Also an issue is the inclusion of urban areas unrelated in social interest that are west of Santee/El Cajon. Urban areas and very northern areas around Fallbrook should be switched in favor of inclusion of all El Cajon, Rancho San Diego, and Spring Valley to proposed District 2, which has shared common economic and social interests to cities like Santee. It makes no sense to be splitting up cities in halves. The dire consequences shouldn't have to be listed, and this map should never be considered.
- Item 8.1: Public Submitted Map 14 – Revised: Combines areas completely different than one another in economic interest, in violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 21 of the Elections Code criteria (4) on “population that shares common social and economic interests”. Wealthy Rancho Santa Fe seems more a fit with proposed District 4 (Solana Beach, Del Mar, etc). Also an issue is the inclusion of urban areas unrelated in social interest that are west of Santee and Poway. They should be switched in favor of inclusion of El Cajon to proposed District 3, which has shared common economic and social interests to cities like Santee and Spring Valley. Even the population deviation is a high 13.1% on this draft map. Perhaps the proposed under-populated District 2 should be expanded slightly southward instead of trying to remove El Cajon from proposed District 3, otherwise this map doesn't seem to fit the necessary legal criteria.
- Item 8.1: Public Submitted Map 15: Combines areas completely different than one another in economic

interest, in violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 21 of the Elections Code criteria (4) on “population that shares common social and economic interests”. Wealthy Rancho Santa Fe seems more a fit with proposed District 4 (Solana Beach, Del Mar, etc). Also an issue is the inclusion of urban areas unrelated in social interest that are west of Santee and Poway. They should be switched in favor of inclusion of El Cajon to proposed District 3, which has shared common economic and social interests to cities like Santee and Spring Valley.

Overall, it seems from an East County resident perspective that Map 12 is closest to keeping our Community Of Interest. Map 13 is impossible to work with on multiple fronts. Map 14 doesn't meet legal criteria. Map 11 needs some work. And Map 15 would need much more revising.

Submit :  
Submit