

From: AEMAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov
To: [Potter, Andrew](#); [Fang, Angela](#); [Donnelly, Liberty](#); [Temple, Nicole](#); [Flores, Lauren](#); [COSD, Redistricting](#); [Hall, David](#); [Lau, Chim](#); [Villa, Nicole](#); [Van Wagner, Keith](#)
Subject: IRC eComment
Date: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 9:40:21 AM

You've received a new form based mail from
<https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/redistricting/IRCEcomment.html>.

Values:

First_Name :
Timothy

Last_Name :
Snyder

E-mail :
[REDACTED]

Phone :
[REDACTED]

eComment :

Community Planning Areas (CPAs) are considered Communities of Interest (COIs) and the IRC states that it will make an effort to keep COIs intact. Except the Spring Valley CPA, which is divided in half on every map proposed. The census tract of La Presa is always divided from the census tract of Spring Valley and La Presa goes to a district where it's majority minority voters will strengthen an existing voting bloc. I get that. But to divide the CPA means that the planning group would have to work with two county supervisors, instead of one and there is a heightened possibility that these two census tracts may be split into new or other CPAs. In the proposed maps, La Presa often joins incorporated cities in a redefined supervisorial district, but La Presa is unincorporated and would be a stepchild in that district, up against receiving attention in a crowd of incorporated cities with their own governments, including planning departments. The Spring Valley Community Planning Group works diligently to improve both its census tracts and does not need a new level of difficulty in getting its job done. Please keep Spring Valley and La Presa together in one supervisorial district so that its planning group can continue to improve this community. Thank you.

Submit :
Submit