

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report FY 2017-18

Housing and Community Development Services

David Estrella, Director

September 25, 2018



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	6
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	11
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	12
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	18
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e);91.520(c)	20
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	23
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	24
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	27
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	28
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)	29
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	30
CR-65 - Persons Assisted	31
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	34

Executive Summary

San Diego County Region

The San Diego County region (region) is comprised of 18 incorporated cities and 26 unincorporated communities. The region is over 4,500 square miles in area with 75 miles of coastline. The terrain rises from sea level to 6,535 feet on Hot Springs Mountain. In 2016, as reported by the U.S. Census, Population Division, the region's population was almost 3.3 million.

The County of San Diego

The County of San Diego (County) has over 17,000 employees and an annual operating budget of over \$6 billion. The County's mission is "to efficiently provide public services that build strong and sustainable communities" and its stated core values are "integrity, stewardship, commitment."

The context for all strategic and operational planning is provided by the County's vision of "a region that is Building Better Health, Living Safely and Thriving – *Live Well San Diego*." Strategic Initiatives focus the County's priorities in order to advance the County's vision. The 2018-2023 Strategic Initiatives are Healthy Families, Safe Communities, Sustainable Environments, and Operational Excellence.

The County of San Diego administers the following federal block grant programs:

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) - CDBG funds may be used for certain activities that serve low and moderate-income residents, as specified by the program regulations.

HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) - HOME funds may be used for housing activities that serve low and moderate-income residents, as specified by the program regulations.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) - ESG funds may be used for the prevention of homelessness and homeless assistance, as specified by the program regulations.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires cities, counties, and states that receive federal block grant funding to prepare consolidated plans. The County of San Diego Health and Human Services Agency, Housing and Community Development Services (HCDS), is responsible for the preparation of the Consolidated Plan and related Annual Action Plan (hereafter referred to as "Annual Plan") and Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER).

Consolidated Plan

The County of San Diego Consortium 2015-19 Consolidated Plan (Consolidated Plan) covers the jurisdictions within the County of San Diego Consortium. This includes areas known as the Urban County and HOME Consortium:

The Urban County – The Urban County is composed of the County unincorporated area and the CDBG participating cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway and Solana Beach.

The HOME Consortium – The HOME Consortium includes the Urban County and the participating cities of Carlsbad, Encinitas, La Mesa, San Marcos, Santee and Vista.

The Consolidated Plan was prepared in accordance with Title 24, Part 91 of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The main purpose of the Consolidated Plan is:

- To identify the grantee's housing and community development (including neighborhood and economic development) needs, priorities, goals and strategies and
- To specify funding allocation priorities for housing and community development activities.

Summary of the Objectives and Goals

The Consolidated Plan addresses the needs, priorities, goals, and allocation strategies for CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding for the period between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2020.

All activities carried out by the County must conform to its mission, values, and the '*Live Well San Diego*' vision. The County has determined to direct its funding to two objectives:

Consolidated Plan Objective 1: **Provide suitable living environments for our region's residents**

Consolidated Plan Objective 2: **Enhance the quality of life for residents by encouraging decent and affordable housing**

These objectives are to be achieved by the following three strategic goals:

Goal 1 - Housing and Supportive Services - Affordable, Livable, Supportive

Goal 2 - Public Improvements - Quality, Safety, Accessibility, Walkability

Goal 3 - Homeless Shelters and Services - Accessible, Available, Supportive

CAPER

This annual performance report describes activities that were undertaken during Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18, using Federal funds granted to the County of San Diego Consortium by HUD for the CDBG, HOME, and ESG Programs. The City of San Diego is the entitlement jurisdiction for the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program and, by agreement with the City, the County administers the HOPWA Program. Although reference is made in this document to the HOPWA program, the City of San Diego 2017-18 annual performance report will provide complete relevant information pertaining to the HOPWA Program.

The CAPER must be submitted electronically through HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). A copy of the CAPER, which will be submitted via IDIS, follows in this attachment.

More details on the three strategic goals are described in Section CR-05 Goals and Outcomes of the CAPER attachment.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

The jurisdiction has made the following progress in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This section provides an overview that includes goals and accomplishments that were proposed and executed throughout the program year. Goals are 1-year goals identified in the FY 2017/18 Annual Plan. Results are based on actual numbers served and funds drawn during FY 2017-18

1. **Strategic Goal Name:** Housing and Supportive Services – Affordable, Livable, Supportive
Goal Description: Increase the availability of affordable, supportive, and livable housing.

Goal Outcomes:

- Provided homeowner rehabilitation – Goal: 40 households to be assisted. Results: 48 low- income households (below 80 percent AMI) were assisted using \$477,252 in CDBG funds.
- Funded homebuyer assistance – Goal: 45 households to be assisted. Results: 34 low income households were provided financial assistance to purchase their home. Total HOME funds disbursed for homebuyer assistance is \$1,992,385.
- Provided funding for housing services – Goal: Provide funding for 10 shared housing clients, 400 social service referral clients, and 300 fair housing client referrals. Results: Provided funding for 5 shared housing clients (a total of 13 persons registered for this program), 435 social service referral clients and 684 fair housing client referrals. Out of the 13 new shared housing program registrants, 13 are moderate-income or low-income (below 80 percent AMI). Of the total 684 fair housing client referral clients, 644 are extremely-low, low-moderate, or moderate-income (below 80 percent AMI). Total CDBG funds expended for these public service activities is \$129,360.
- Administered Tenant-Based Rental Assistance – Goal: Assist 109 households. Results: 96 extremely-low and low-income households were provided rental assistance using a total of \$893,341 in HOME funds.
- Pursued and supported Rental Housing Development – Goal: Pursue and support 50 units of affordable housing to be constructed. Results: Construction of 41 affordable units is now complete. Of the 41 units, 11 are HOME-assisted (three at below 50 percent AMI and eight at 60 percent of AMI). A total of \$1,940,000 in HOME funds was provided, of which \$194,000 were disbursed this past year.

Additionally, construction began on a 62 unit affordable housing development. Of the 62 units, 61 will be HOME assisted units (13 at below 50 percent AMI, and 48 at below 60 percent AMI). A total of \$1,840,000 was awarded, of which \$1,656,000 was expended this year.

2. **Strategic Goal Name:** Public Improvements – Quality, Safety, Accessibility, Walkability
Goal Description: Improve the quality, safety, accessibility, and walkability of

communities by pursuing public facility improvement and ADA Improvement projects.

Goal Outcomes:

- Funded ADA improvements – Goal: Fund six ADA projects. Based on CDBG regulations, it is presumed that all six ADA projects will benefit low-income severely disabled adults. Results: A total of three ADA projects from previous program years for a total of \$237,063, were completed during program year 2017.
- Funded fire facilities and equipment – Goal: Fund one project. The project qualified based on the low to moderate-income Census Block Group data and project service area (area benefit). Results: One Type II Tactical Water Tender funded in program year 2016 was completed, totaling \$350,271, during this reporting period. P-25 800 MHz Radio Project and a Type III Engine funded in program year 2017 were also completed, totaling \$972,226.
- Funded public facilities and improvements – Goal: Fund five projects. All five projects either: 1) qualify based on the low to moderate income Census Block Group data and project service area (area benefit) or 2) qualify based on the low to moderate income clientele population served (limited clientele). Results: Overall, a total of 6 projects (including projects funded in previous program years) with CDBG for a total of \$1,559,560, including the three fire equipment projects, and the three ADA projects mentioned above, were completed during this reporting period. The total amount of CDBG funds disbursed for public facilities projects, including open projects, during this reporting period is \$2,535,853.

3. **Strategic Goal Name:** Homeless Shelters and Services - Accessible, Available, Supportive
Goal Description: Increase and maintain accessible, available, and supportive homeless shelters and services.

Goal Outcomes:

- Provided Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing assistance – Goal: Assist 100 persons. Results: Provided assistance to 86 persons who are extremely low-income (below 30 percent AMI). A total of \$360,213 in ESG funds was expended to support these activities.
- Supported Homeless Management Information System – Goal: Support 10,000 database clients. Results: Supported 31,637 database clients, 29,400 of whom are extremely low-income (below 30 percent AMI). A total of \$47,850 in CDBG funds was expended to support HMIS activities.
- Funded winter shelter programs – Goal: Assist 560 persons. Results: Assisted 1,008 persons who are extremely low-income (below 30 percent AMI). Total CDBG funds expended for the winter shelter program is \$25,575.

ATTACHMENT B

Comparison of the proposed goals versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explanation, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	5-Year Goal	3rd Year Outcome	Percent Complete	2017/18 Goal	2017/18 Outcome	Percent Complete
Housing & Services-Affordable , Livable, Supportive	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$129,360	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing	Persons Assisted	2,500	2,059	82%	700	684	98%
Housing & Services-Affordable , Livable, Supportive	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$1,850,000	Rental units rehabilitated or constructed	Household Housing Unit	100	41	41%	50	41	82%
Housing & Services-Affordable , Livable, Supportive	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$477,252	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	100	149	149%	40	48	120%
Housing & Services-Affordable , Livable, Supportive	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$1,963,891	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	100	168	168%	45	34	76%

ATTACHMENT B

Housing & Services-Affordable, Livable, Supportive	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$802,108	Tenant-based rental assistance/ Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	400	411	103%	109	96	88%
Pub. Imp. - Quality, Safety, Accessible, Walkable	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$2,535,853	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/ Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	435,000	149,657	34%	62,290	83,066	133%
Shelter & Services-Accessible, Available & Support	Homeless	CDBG: \$47,850	Public service activities other than Low/ Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50,000	108,229	216%	10,000	31,637	316%
Shelter & Services-Accessible, Available & Support	Homeless	CDBG: \$25,575	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	2,000	2,266	113%	560	1,008	180%
Shelter & Services-Accessible, Available & Support	Homeless	CDBG: \$10,004	Overnight/ Emergency Shelter/ Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	1,000	550	55%	200	312	156%
Shelter & Services-Accessible, Available & Support	Homeless	ESG: \$180,080	Homelessness Prevention/Rapid Rehousing	Persons Assisted	500	482	96%	100	86	86%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Description of how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CDBG funds are used to develop viable communities by providing safe and affordable housing, suitable living environments, and expanded economic opportunities.

Proposals were solicited from CDBG participating cities, residents, community groups, and County departments. CDBG cities also carried out their own public notice and participation processes and forwarded proposals for eligibility confirmation and approval. Eligible requests were referred to County departments for feasibility, cost estimates and, if appropriate, submission of department applications. Proposal review occurred in accordance with HUD requirements and Board approved policies and practices

Recognizing that resources are limited to help the underserved, HCDS targeted CDBG, HOME and ESG funds to meet gaps in service and housing. CDBG funds supported housing and supportive services, public improvements, and homeless shelters and services.

HOME Consortium funds were used for:

- Tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA).
- Acquisition, rehabilitation, or construction of affordable housing.
- Homebuyer Assistance.

As noted in the 2015-19 Consolidated Plan rental gaps analysis, the County has a significant unmet need in the provision of affordable rental units to extremely low-income, very low-income and special needs populations. HCDS offered HOME TBRA's to help reduce those gaps.

ESG funds were used to: engage homeless on the street, provide essential services, rapidly re-house, and prevent homelessness.

HCDS consulted with the Regional Taskforce on the Homeless (RTFH), previously the Regional Continuum of Care Council (RCCC), serving as the CoC in establishing ESG program prioritization and use, developing performance standards, evaluating outcomes and developing policies and procedures for the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). ESG funds supported projects within or serving the Urban County and were made available via a Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA) process. NOFA solicitation includes placement on the HCDS website and distribution to CoC providers. The obstacles facing the homeless population closely mirror obstacles to obtaining affordable housing in the San Diego region, yet in many ways the need is greater. The 2018 Point-in-Time count found 8,586 homeless persons with 4,990 unsheltered and 3,586 sheltered. There was an 11 percent decrease in the number of unsheltered homeless and a 3 percent increase in persons staying in shelters from 2017 to 2018.

HOPWA funds were used to assist persons with HIV/AIDS and their families by funding the following activities: housing, supportive services, housing information services, technical assistance, and administrative expenses. HCDS consulted with stakeholders in determining

HOPWA allocation priorities. HCDS administers the HOPWA program on behalf of the City of San Diego, the HOPWA grantee.

HCDS intends to continue its collaborative efforts with County departments, non-profit and for-profit organizations, governmental agencies, state agencies, and other stakeholders to address issues within its jurisdiction and the region. These efforts will include discussions of effective policies and procedures, leveraging of resources, sharing of knowledge and expertise and potential collaborative efforts to address community needs.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted
Description of the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted) 91.520(a).

	CDBG	HOME	ESG*
White	33,018	150	0
Black or African American	7,209	5	0
Asian	1,097	8	0
American Indian or American Native	601	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	433	0	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White		0	0
Asian & White		0	0
Black/African American & White		0	0
Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African		0	0
Other Multi-Racial		0	0
Total	42,358	163	0
Hispanic	13,664	117	0
Not Hispanic	28,694	46	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

***Please refer to Section CR-65 for ESG information.**

Narrative

HCDS collects data on racial and ethnic composition throughout the annual action plan year. Data is collected in various formats including: sub-recipient CAPERs, data in Sage, approval of individual applications for entitlement funds, semi-annual and quarterly sub-recipient reports.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)**Funding resources available**

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Public/Federal	9,089,982	4,369,168
HOME	Public/Federal	8,082,051	5,102,642
ESG	Public/Federal	561,222	360,213

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative**CDBG:**

The CDBG entitlement for FY 2017-18 was \$3,731,709. In addition, FY 2017-18 CDBG program income was estimated at \$325,000; actual program income received (which was derived from the City of Poway Residential Rehabilitation Program, the Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program, the Mobile home Occupancy Assistance Program and Housing Development Loan Payments/Residual Receipts), totaled \$1,333,955. The total amount of funds committed for all CDBG activities in FY 2017-18, including prior-year carryover funds, was \$7,813,873. The total expenditures (drawn and accrued) for FY 2017-18 were \$4,369,168. Of the \$4,369,168 expended in FY 2017-18, \$1,333,955 was from program income. Therefore, net expenditures for FY 2017-18 were \$3,038,580.

CDBG funds are distributed throughout the Urban County, which consists of the unincorporated area of the County, as well as the cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, and Solana Beach. Each year an effort is made to distribute CDBG funds across the Urban County. No specific census tracts received a concentration of the CDBG funds.

HOME:

HUD allocated \$2,295,097 in HOME funds to HCDS for FY 2017-18. \$1,500,000 was allocated to the HOME Consortium Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program, \$460,000 was allocated to support continued tenant-based rental assistance activities, \$1,605,588 was reserved to fund rental housing development activities and 10% (\$229,510) was allocated to administer the program. FY 2017-18 HOME program income was estimated at \$300,000; actual program income received from affordable rental housing development and recaptured homebuyer assistance loans totaled \$1,600,045.

The total amount of funds committed for all HOME activities in FY 2017-18, including prior-year carryover funds, was \$8,082,051, as of June 30, 2017. The total expenditures for FY 2017-18 were \$5,102,642. Of the \$5,102,642 expended in FY 2017-18, \$1,717,488 was from program income. The total net expenditures for FY 2017-18 were \$3,385,154. During FY 2017-18, \$1,345,678 was received from program income derived from affordable rental housing development and recaptured homebuyer assistance loans.

\$1,940,000 in prior year HOME funds allocated in previous years has been disbursed to finance construction of the Eastgate Family Affordable Housing Development; \$194,000 of which was disbursed during FY 2017-18. This mixed-use 42-unit development, including 11 HOME-assisted units, is located in the City of San Marcos (Census Tract 200.26) and was completed in the fall of 2017.

\$1,656,000 in prior year HOME funds allocated to the Schmale Family Senior Center in Ramona has been disbursed in FY 2017-18 from prior year allocations. Construction began in May of 2018 and is expected to be completed in summer of 2019.

ESG:

During FY 2017-18, HCDS received \$331,823 in ESG funding. No program income was generated under the ESG Program. The total amount of funds allocated for all ESG activities in FY 2017-18, including prior-year carryover funds, was \$561,222. \$24,886 was allocated for ESG program administration. Total ESG expenditures for FY 2017-18 were \$360,213. ESG funds were allocated in contracts, as follows: South Bay Community Services was awarded \$442,365 for a Homelessness Prevention, Rapid Re-housing and Street Outreach Program.

Geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Not applicable. The County has not designated specific geographic areas within its jurisdiction to target or direct assistance. Entitlement funds are available throughout the Urban County for eligible CDBG and ESG activities, throughout the HOME Consortium for eligible HOME activities and throughout the region for eligible HOPWA activities.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The County is highly engaged in the implementation and refinement of the Coordinated Entry System (CES). This effort is focused on developing a homeless coordinated system to house people experiencing homelessness. Other active members include philanthropy, private industry, local governments, Public Housing Authorities, non-profits and other stakeholders. These entities are aligning public and private resources to permanently house homeless person(s). During this reporting period, County staff participated in leadership roles on the: RTFH Board, Landlord Engagement Committee, and Regional Inclement Weather Committee.

The RTFH Board, which includes HCDS staff and multiple County leaders, is tasked with enhancing the capacity to coordinate and leverage resources for various community sectors of the region.

County staff routinely emphasize to applicants the need to leverage Federal funds with local funds in order to stretch the benefit of the Federal dollars. These efforts have been fruitful, and projects funded under CDBG, HOME, and ESG have substantially exceeded accomplishments that could be achieved from Federal funds alone. ESG requires a dollar-for-dollar match, which is yearly matched far in excess of the required minimum amount. Although there is no official match requirement in the CDBG program, in many cases other funds, such as private funds received through donors or fundraising activities, commercial loans, gas tax funds, and Park Lands Dedication Ordinance funds, are used to supplement and defray project costs. The HOME Match

Report is included as an appendix to this CAPER (Appendix5).

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$47,177,305
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	\$320,005
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	\$47,497,310
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	\$923,820.
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	\$46,573,490.

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non- Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
3072	12/14/17	35,000	0	0	0	0	0	35,000
3086	2/28/18	59,138	0	0	0	0	0	59,138
3087	3/15/18	64,171	0	0	0	0	0	64,171
3088	3/19/18	11,000	0	0	0	0	0	11,000
3121	4/23/18	45,993	0	0	0	0	0	45,993
3122	5/8/18	48,825	0	0	0	0	0	48,825
3123	5/22/18	27,459	0	0	0	0	0	27,459
3125	6/12/18	28,419	0	0	0	0	0	28,419

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

Program Income – Program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
1,721,817	1,345,678	1,445,782	0	1,621,713

Table 7 – Program Income

HOME Minority Business Enterprises/ Women Business Enterprises Report

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount		0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	N/A	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	N/A	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition		
Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	N/A	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	N/A	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	135	123
Number of special-needs households to be provided affordable housing units	109	96
Total	244	219

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance	109	96
Number of households supported through the production of new units	50	41
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units	40	48
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units	45	34
Total	244	219

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Problems encountered in meeting the goals and outcomes.

HCDS did not encounter any problems in meeting the goals and outcomes in FY 2017-18.

Outcomes that will impact future annual action plans.

In addition to funding rental housing and homeownership programs, HCDS funds various housing programs that are designed to maintain low-income families, seniors and disabled persons in their homes. CDBG and HOME funds are the primary source of funds for these program activities. The continued success of the Urban County Home Repair Program is an ideal example of using strategic planning in developing an annual action plan. The Urban County Home Repair Program provides low-interest deferred loans and grants to low-income homeowners and mobile home owners for eligible home repairs related to the health and safety of the homeowner. This program

helps to maintain and upgrade the housing stock. HCDS will continue its efforts to upgrade and preserve existing affordable housing stock through its rehabilitation and rental housing development activities.

Future annual action plans will continue to focus on funding projects such as the Urban County Home Repair Program that promote the County’s strategic goal of Housing and Supportive Services – Affordable, Livable, Supportive. The goal is measured by increasing the availability of affordable, supportive, and livable housing opportunities.

Table 13 (below) represents persons served through projects identified as Affordable Housing activities, the CDBG Home Repair Program, and the HOME Tenant Based Rental Assistance Programs and Down Payment Closing Costs Assistance program only.

The table below includes the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	11	70
Low-income	32	39
Moderate-income	27	49
Total	70	158

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

HCDS collects data on racial and ethnic composition throughout the annual action plan year. Data is collected in various formats including: the use of HOME Program Activity Set-up and Completion forms, sub-recipient CAPERs, approval of individual applications for entitlement funds, semi-annual and quarterly sub-recipient reports. Data collected includes the number of owner and renter households served which are either extremely low-income, low-income or moderate-income.

“Worst-case housing needs” are defined as low-income renters who pay more than half of their income for rent, live in seriously substandard housing, this includes homeless people, or people who have been involuntarily displaced. HCDS’ efforts to address “Worst case needs”, as well as the housing needs of persons with disabilities, are covered in Section CR-05, which also includes an evaluation of progress made in providing affordable housing assistance to these populations.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The County has consistently served as a key regional leader with the RTFH, serving on the Board. Four of the 25 occupied seats are filled by County representatives. States, local governments, private non-profit organizations, and other eligible applicants compete for HUD grant funds through a national selection process. Eligible activities include leasing of facilities to serve the homeless, operating costs, supportive services, planning and coordinated entry. Certain activities require local matching funds. Grants are competitive, and applications must meet strict HUD requirements. In the 2017 HUD NOFA funding cycle, the RTFH received \$20 million, including \$1.4 million for five permanent housing programs administered by HCDS. Awards funded 49 programs; 47 renewals, 10 new projects and one Planning Grant.

The County will continue its work with the RTFH to create regional, collaborative approaches to connect the most acute homeless residents with the housing and services that fit their individual needs through the implementation of Coordinated Entry System.

The RTFH Governance Board acts on behalf of the CoC and represents relevant stakeholders, including multiple County representatives. The Board is responsible for regional planning, performance monitoring, fundraising and establishing policies. Current RTFH strategic objectives are outlined below:

Objective 1: Create new permanent housing beds for chronically homeless individuals.

Objective 2: Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in permanent housing over six months to at least 92 percent.

Objective 3: Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from transitional housing to permanent housing to at least 70 percent.

Objective 4: Increase percentage of persons employed at program exit to at least 24 percent.

Objective 5: Decrease the number of homeless households with children.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

HCDS funds a rotational winter shelter program with CDBG and provides ESG funding for homelessness prevention and rapid rehousing programs.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Viable employment opportunities are central to the prevention of homelessness. Local agencies, governments and higher education institutions coordinated training and employment services, including services for the general homeless, veterans, youth and disabled. Various one-stop centers offer free training and job placement. Many agencies provided job assistance to the homeless through coordinated case management.

Housing Authorities played a pivotal role assisting with housing challenges by providing rental assistance, first-time homebuyer programs and housing rehabilitation. Section 8 Programs and special-needs TBRA Programs linked individuals and families to various supportive programs and resources. Numerous pamphlets and information sheets were distributed to individuals and agencies that serve the homeless. This assisted in the prevention of homelessness by providing reliable resources for securing housing opportunities.

RTFH providers coordinated regional efforts to improve communication and provide accurate resources information. Liaisons assisted in coordinating the efforts of the RTFH with other local efforts. The RTFH advised local providers of available resources and continues to engage in efforts to preserve affordable housing units.

The Housing Authority of the County of San Diego (HACSD) has an MOU with the RTFH and other non-profit case management partners to house vulnerable homeless individuals and families. The MOU allowed participating organizations to refer eligible homeless persons to be assigned Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers.

Efforts to develop comprehensive policies and plans for discharge from public systems of care are being pursued strategically and include:

Foster Care

The Foster Care System discharge planning protocol included the following:

- A transitional independent living plan is developed for each youth at the age of 16.
- Review of reports about the youth's dependency case, including family and placement histories and the whereabouts of any siblings who are in the juvenile court;
- Anticipated termination date of court jurisdiction;
- Assessment of health care plans (if not already covered by Medi-Cal);
- Preparation of a legal document portfolio: Social Security card, birth certificate, driver's license and/or DMV I.D. card, copies of parent(s) death certificate(s), and proof of citizenship/residence status;

- Creation of a housing plan including referral to transitional housing or assistance in securing other housing;
- Employment or other financial support plans, and;
- Educational/vocational plans including financial aid, where appropriate.

The Department of Social Services, Independent Living Program Policy Unit, Child and Youth Permanency Branch protocol contains the process identified by the State. When appropriate, youth exit foster care with assistance. Independent living skills and subsidized housing programs assist transition-age youth.

Health Care

The County's Health and Human Services Agency (HHSA) is an integrated health and social services entity. On July 1, 2016, Housing and Community Development Services joined HHSA, as the County recognized that someone cannot be healthy if they do not have a home. HHSA has been actively involved with the RTFH and the community to improve behavioral and public health services to individuals who are experiencing homelessness in the areas of mental health, substance abuse treatment, for individuals who have HIV/AIDS or Tuberculosis, for youth transitioning out of foster care, and for teen mothers who do not have a stable home, among others. Services are provided throughout the region, including the City of San Diego and the 17 other cities within the County, as well as the unincorporated area.

HHSA has worked collaboratively with community partners, including community clinics and Medi-Cal managed care plans to implement and sustain "Project 25," which provides intensive wrap-around case management and treatment services to individuals who are high utilizers of public systems and homeless. The project provides housing paired with services tailored to the individual's unique needs.

Mental Health

Behavioral Health Services (BHS) are funded through the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) which has provisions to serve homeless persons with serious mental illness who may have a co-occurring disorder. Treatment and an array of supportive housing services are provided in Full Service Partnerships/Assertive Community Treatment (FSP/ACT) programs. Supportive housing includes; short term, transitional and permanent supported housing. In addition, homeless person can access multiple BHS services to include Alcohol and Drug Services, Emergency services, Acute Care and Long-Term Care. Since April of this year, BHS began implementation of "Project One for All", which is a homeless program for persons with serious mental illness. This program will be a countywide service for 1,250 homeless persons with serious mental illness over the next three years. Treatment services will be paired with housing subsidies from different housing entities to include HCDS.

Corrections

HCDS collaborates with the San Diego Sheriff's Department (SDSD) in providing housing information in the community. SDSD has Correctional Counselors and Mental Health Clinicians

who provide reentry information to inmates upon release which includes housing, medical, mental health and substance abuse treatment in the community. During the booking process when people are admitted to the detention facilities, housing questions are asked, and the data is collected. SDS staff also encourage people to use 211 as an information source when they are in the community.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

As outlined previously and in the Consolidated Plan, the County participated in the regional development of the Coordinated Entry System. Staff roles included active participation in both leadership and advisory capacities. The initiative is focused on developing a homeless coordinated assessment and housing placement system region-wide. Other active members included philanthropy, private industry, the City of San Diego, local non-profits and other stakeholders. These entities worked to align public and private resources to permanently house homeless person(s).

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Introduction:

The HACSD owns and administers four public housing rental complexes located in the City of Chula Vista, totaling 121 units, which include four units (one for each complex) set aside for managers. These units are available to low-income families, senior citizens, and/or disabled persons:

- *Dorothy Street Manor (22 family units located in Chula Vista)*
- *L Street Manor (16 family units located in Chula Vista)*
- *Melrose Manor Apartments (24 family units located in Chula Vista)*
- *Towncentre Manor (59 senior units located in Chula Vista)*

HACSD received \$162,920 in Capital Funds in FY 2017-18 for the modernization and operation of the four public housing developments. HACSD procured the services of a property management company through the Request for Proposals process and entered into a new contract effective September 2015. The property management company carried out property

management, routine maintenance and tenant eligibility activities.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

In 2002, the HACSD established a Public Housing Resident Advisory Board (RAB) for the four public housing developments. The RAB meets twice a year to discuss HACSD program issues and recommendations, as well as public housing capital plans. The RAB is comprised of public housing and Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program participants. Applications to become a member of the RAB are included with annual eligibility packets and the semi-annual HACSD newsletters. In addition to the RAB meetings, a special capital plan meeting open to all public housing residents is held once a year. The HACSD currently has two tenant commissioners (appointed on June 1, 2017), who are participants of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. The two tenant commissioners each serve two-year terms on the HACSD Board of Commissioners.

The Consortium's First-Time Homebuyer Program is available to qualified residents of public housing and other families assisted by public agencies. HOME funds that are used for this program are intended to provide homeownership assistance for such residents, tenants and families. The homebuyer education component of the program helped assisted families to attain and maintain homeownership.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable. The HACSD is a High Performing Agency and is not a troubled PHA.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment.

The County and individual cities have little influence over market constraints but can provide incentives or assistance to overcome the impacts of market constraints. Major governmental constraints to affordable housing development include land use policies governed by the Land Use Element of each jurisdiction's General Plan; zoning and development codes; development and planning fees imposed by the County and individual cities; and, growth management policies.

The County's Housing Element was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 15, 2017, pursuant to State Housing Element Law. This Housing Element was written to provide long-range policy direction consistent with the General Plan Planning Horizon, combined with short-term implementation of programs for the current housing element cycle. The County's 2011 General Plan update provided for the adoption of zoning to implement the land use designations assigned by the Housing Element. The Housing Element is a dynamic document that is reviewed annually

and periodically updated to respond to changing community needs.

The County's Housing Element contains an inventory of vacant residential sites in the unincorporated area, along with the property's assigned density and zoning. The Housing Element shows that there is adequate housing capacity to meet the unincorporated County's share of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA).

As part of the update to the General Plan, new direction in land use policies adds flexibility to existing regulations. The flexibility applies to projects located in "Village" areas, where developers strive to achieve maximum yield. The Housing Element supports density bonus programs, as well as mechanisms to promote the production of housing for lower-income, moderate-income and special-needs populations, which includes alternative affordable housing options, such as the development of farm worker housing, second dwelling units, manufactured or mobile homes, shared housing and employee workforce housing.

Housing Element Goals include the following:

- Housing Development and Variety of Housing, Tenancy Types and Prices.
- Neighborhoods that Respect Local Character.
- Housing Affordability for all Economic Segments.
- Affordable Housing Preservation.
- (Limit) Governmental Constraints.
- Delivery of Housing Services.

The County will continue to collaborate with non-profit organizations in the development of affordable housing. In order to achieve this objective, County Housing Element policies have been recommended to make financial resources available to non-profit entities. The 2013-20 Housing Element can be obtained from the County's Department of Planning and Development Services' website at <http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/pds/generalplan.html>.

In 2015, the County updated its expedited permitting policy (A-68) to encourage affordable housing development by providing expedited permitting for eligible developments that provide housing units that are affordable to those earning 80 percent or below the Area Median Income.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG funds were primarily used to address public facility deficiencies in lower-income neighborhoods and for services related to housing and homelessness support/prevention. Proposals for community improvements were received from residents, community-based organizations and County departments. The highest priority proposals were recommended for inclusion in the Annual Funding Plan. The County facilitated community revitalization meetings on a regular basis to discuss community needs and problem-solve their resolutions. In addition, HCDS's annual community meeting and interactive presentations offered residents the opportunity to submit requests for public improvements to be funded through the CDBG program. The main obstacle to meeting all the identified community needs is limited funding. Given the funding challenge, HCDS plans to continue the above actions in order to best address underserved needs.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

HCDS continued to support lead-based paint hazard control efforts in compliance with lead-based paint reduction requirements in all housing activities covered by Sections 1012 and 1013 of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, as well as the Lead-Safe Housing Rule under 24 CFR Part 35. During the years since the regulations took effect, the Urban County Home Repair Program and HCDS's Affordable Housing Development Program have carried out lead assessment and reduction practices as required. Any property built prior to 1978 must undergo lead paint testing and, if lead hazards are found, those hazards must be eliminated as a requirement for participation in the programs.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The HACSD administered a Family-Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program for Housing Choice Voucher Program participants. Participating families sign five-year contracts of participation and work with program staff to develop employment-related goals. As the families achieve their goals, increase their incomes and pay more of their rents, the HACSD saves money by subsidizing less rent. The savings are set aside in escrow accounts for the families. If the families reach their goals, they are eligible to receive that money. Participating families receive referrals and resource information to assist them in meeting their goals. The FSS Program also offers scholarships to participants who are attending two- or four-year colleges, participating in vocational training or working toward their GEDs. At the end of FY 2017-18, 77 families were actively participating in the FSS program. Three families successfully met their goals in FY 2017-18 and received an average escrow payout of \$8,144.50.

The HACSD offers scholarships to FSS program participants who are attending two- or four-year colleges or vocational training. For school year 2017-18, eight students received a total of \$5,000 in scholarships.

HCDS is committed to continuing its Urban County Home Repair Program, which assists low-income homeowners with health and safety repairs to their residences. This program provides assistance to homeowners, many living in poverty, to help enable them to remain in their homes. While this program does not directly increase incomes, it contributes additional resources necessary for stable housing, which is often critical in allowing low-income families to seek educational and employment opportunities vital in attaining economic self-sufficiency.

HCDS is committed to continuing its tenant-based rental assistance programs to assist, in partnership with HHSA, foster youth who are aging out of the system and families who have developed the necessary skills and stability to reunite with their children and maintain stable households. Also, HCDS intends to continue to fund the Cold Weather Voucher Program, which provides temporary shelter during times of inclement weather and offers services to achieve stability and permanent housing.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The institutional structure identified in the Consolidated Plan included a coalition of various agencies of local government, non-profits and private entities involved in carrying out a range of

housing and supportive services programs. HCDS continued to play a significant role in regional housing and homeless issues. HCDS participated in intergovernmental activities that include the Regional Task Force on the Homeless, City/County Reinvestment Task Force, San Diego Regional Alliance For Fair Housing, Regional Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) (formerly Assessment of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice), Joint City/County HIV Housing Committee, Urban County CDBG Program, CoC Governance Board, CoC general membership, CoC Governance sub-committee, and HOME Consortium. HCDS will continue to work with partner agencies to address the region's issues.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As discussed above, HCDS participated in various intergovernmental activities that include multiple partnerships. HCDS plans to continue the coordination efforts in FY 2018-19.

Actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

As discussed above, HCDS participated in various intergovernmental activities that include the Regional Task Force on the Homeless, City/County Reinvestment Task Force, San Diego Regional Alliance For Fair Housing, Regional Assessment of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, Fair Housing testing (which included testing categories identified by the aforementioned Regional Assessment of Impediments), Joint City/County HIV Housing Committee, Urban County CDBG Program, CoC Governance Board, CoC general membership, CoC Governance sub-committee, 25 Cities Leadership and Design Team, and HOME Consortium. HCDS plans to continue the coordination efforts in FY 2018-19.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Description of the standards and procedures that will be used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and will be used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

HCDS's Compliance and Monitoring team conducted a yearly risk assessment prior to the commencement of the past fiscal year. The risk assessment process began with a review of factors such as a contractor or sub-recipient being new to federal programs, turnover in key staff positions, past compliance or performance problems, undertaking multiple federally-funded activities for the first time, and not submitting timely reports. HCDS developed a monitoring plan as a result of the risk assessment process, which included a combination of desk and on-site monitoring. Federal programs monitored include CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG, Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), in addition to local programs such as Redevelopment and Density Bonus.

HCDS ensures long term compliance with program requirements by providing monitoring result letters to the contractors and sub-recipients. Corrective action measures were implemented with

proof of satisfactory completion necessary to close out the annual monitoring process. These actions were undertaken to ensure overall compliance during the affordability period and/or were undertaken to ensure compliance during the contract term.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Description of the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

As outlined in the Consolidated Plan, HCDS carried out extensive efforts to encourage and broaden citizen participation of English and Spanish speaking residents, lower-income residents, and those with special needs through a variety of media including flyers, announcements, emails, letters, meetings, web-based presentations, and the distribution of English and Spanish-language surveys.

County HCDS took a number of actions in order to broaden and maximize citizen participation in the development of the FY 2017-18 Annual Funding Plan. After public notification through electronic and written mailings, social media, a web-site email notification (web- blast), and a press release, one citizen participation meeting was held and a new interactive presentation was made available on-line to interested residents and stakeholders. In addition, participating cities held their own citizen participation activities. Residents and stakeholders had the opportunity to comment at a public hearing during plan preparation and at a public hearing held to approve the proposed plan. At the beginning of each of the two 30-day public comment periods, notices were published in English and Spanish languages in the Union Tribune and La Prensa general circulation publications.

Any comments received from residents via public meetings, public hearings and surveys were evaluated in light of information contributed by other stakeholders and objective data derived from sources such as HUD’s CPD maps, the U.S. Census Bureau and 2-1-1 San Diego. In its totality, along with the County’s own priority goals and initiatives, as well as limitations posed by factors outside of the County’s control, a solid strategic framework was established for the planning and implementation elements of the Consolidated Plan. It was determined that information received from residents was generally consistent with other data sources.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Description of the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

HCDS did not change its program objectives as a result of its experiences. HCDS remains committed to its Consolidated Plan Objectives which are: 1) Provide suitable livable environments for our region’s residents; and 2) Enhance the quality of life for residents by encouraging decent and affordable housing.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**Explanation of the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

All affordable housing properties underwent Housing Quality Standards (HQS) Inspections and all units passed or were brought into compliance once repairs were completed. In addition, all projects underwent annual on-site monitoring or desk monitoring for the year. Most common examples of monitoring results include late submission of Annual Performance Reports and over charging rent of rent-restricted units to the low-income public.

Assessment Summary of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

As part of the monitoring process, all projects are required to demonstrate that they are administering their property in compliance with all Fair Housing Laws and free from discrimination. Verification of compliance includes copies of management plans and vacancy listings that contain language notifying the public that all operations will be administered without discrimination against any protected class.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

During program year 2017, a total of \$1,345,678 in program income was received from affordable rental housing developments and recaptured homebuyer assistance loans. Prior year program income was utilized as follows: \$1,445,782 for homebuyer assistance provided to 34 low-income households.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

All actions undertaken by HCDS under the HOME Program are listed in Section CR-05 of this report.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)**ESG Supplement to the 2017 CAPER
For Paperwork Reduction Act****1. Recipient and Contact Information****Basic Grant Information**

Recipient Name	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	009581646
EIN/TIN Number	956000934
Identify the Field Office	LOS ANGELES
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or sub-recipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	San Diego CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms.
First Name	Kelly
Middle Name	
Last Name	Salmons
Suffix	
Title	Program Coordinator

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	3989 Ruffin Rd.
Street Address 2	
City	San Diego
State	CA
ZIP Code	92123-1815
Phone Number	858-694-4806
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	Kelly.Salmons@sdcounty.ca.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mr.
First Name	Tom
Last Name	D'Lugo
Suffix	
Title	Housing Program Analyst IV
Phone Number	858-694-8704
Extension	
Email Address	Tom.DLugo@sdcounty.ca.gov

2. Reporting Period

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2017
 Program Year End Date 06/30/2018

3a. Sub-recipient Information

Sub-recipient or Contractor Name: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO HOUSING AUTHORITY
City: San Diego
State: CA
Zip Code: 92123, 1815
DUNS Number: 0948336466
Is sub-recipient a victim services provider: N
Sub-recipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Sub-grant or Contract Award Amount: \$0

Sub-recipient or Contractor Name: SOUTH BAY COMMUNITY SERVICES
City: Chula Vista
State: CA
Zip Code: 91910, 3711
DUNS Number: 113407779
Is sub-recipient a victim services provider: N
Sub-recipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Sub-grant or Contract Award Amount: \$442,364.59

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

ESG recipients are directed by HUD not to enter data in these tables. Effective October 1, 2017, ESG recipients must report aggregated ESG Program data, at the subrecipient level, using the newly developed Sage Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Reporting Repository which is subsequently submitted to HUD and can be found as an exhibit in this CAPER

4. Persons Served

4a. Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Shelter Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender Activities

	Total
Male	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Gender Information

6. Age Activities

	Total
Under 18	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served

Subpopulation	Number of Persons in Households			
	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>			
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	<i>HUD Requires the demographic information for ESG to be reported in Sage – See Exhibit 2</i>			
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 21 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0

Table 22 – Shelter Capacity

9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

HCDS collaborates with the RTFH and other local ESG entitlement jurisdictions to continue to build upon the assessment and evaluation instruments developed by the region for the ESG Program. The RTFH, as the CoC entity, is responsible for assisting with the evaluation of ESG project performance. This is accomplished through the RTFH’s HMIS lead during the compiling of data for the Annual Housing Inventory Count, as well as through HCDS’s monitoring of monthly claims in comparison with quarterly reports.

HCDS monitors grant activities to ensure compliance with program requirements by conducting onsite monitoring visits and desk reviews in conformance with HUD monitoring guidelines. All ESG contracts specify reporting requirements. Quarterly and Annual Progress Reports that include project accomplishments, expenditures, anticipated goals and accomplishments, activities underway, information on families assisted and project outcomes are reviewed to ensure programs are producing effective measurable results and to ensure compliance with program regulations. In addition, HCDS provides ongoing technical assistance throughout the year, and works with the providers, as well as the local HMIS lead, to ensure accurate data collection.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	92,659	13,282	75,423
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	25,813	15,817	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	5,000	26,012	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	123,472	55,111	75,423

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	98,748	7,181	114,428
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	114,723	6,409	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	73,876	19,686	65,652
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	287,347	33,276	180,080

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	63,281	0	
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	63,281	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
HMIS	15,037	0	7,850
Administration	23,971	24,518	24,814
Street Outreach	51,448	0	75,042

Table 26 – Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2015	2016	2017
\$1,040,670	564,556	112,905	363,209

Table 27 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Sources

	2015	2016	2017
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	139,657	58,442	0
Other Federal Funds	211,230	0	0
State Government	23,792	0	0
Local Government	74,040	226,269	57,720
Private Funds	103,783	64,696	0
Other	247,743	0	111,477
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	800,245	349,407	169,197

Table 28 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESGActivities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2015	2016	2017
\$2,359,519	1,364,801	462,312	532,406

Table 29 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESGActivities

